

# 1 Blowup

## 1.1 Example

The curve  $y^2 = x^3 + x^2$  has a singularity (double point) at the origin, but it feels as if nothing is wrong there: if one traces the curve then the curve appears nice and smooth - it is just that we visit the same point twice.

So, with an additional coordinate  $t$  (time) the singularity is gone. If we study curves up to birational equivalence, then the new coordinate should be a rational function of the old coordinates, and  $t = y/x$  works: the two passes through the origin have  $t = 1$  and  $t = -1$ .

Now the pair of equations  $y^2 = x^3 + x^2$ ,  $y = tx$  in  $(x, y, t)$ -space defines the union of a lifted version of the planar  $y^2 = x^3 + x^2$ , and the vertical line  $x = y = 0$ . Substitute  $y = tx$  in the first equation and divide by  $x^2$  to get the pair  $t^2 = x + 1$ ,  $y = tx$  defining a smooth space curve, birationally equivalent to the original curve. The maps are  $(x, y, t) \mapsto (x, y)$  and  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y, y/x)$ , well-defined and inverses of each other outside the origin.

## 1.2 Blowing up a point in affine space

This can be done more generally. Given affine space with coordinates  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , introduce new projective coordinates  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  restricted by  $x_i t_j = x_j t_i$ . This defines a subvariety of  $\mathbf{A}^n \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ . The map  $\phi$  given by  $(x_1, \dots, x_n, t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is 1-1 outside the inverse image of the origin, with inverse  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . (At the origin this inverse is not defined since a projective point cannot have all coordinates zero.) The inverse image of the origin is the entire projective space  $\{0\} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ .

This variety is irreducible, since the inverse image of (the irreducible variety)  $\mathbf{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$  is dense.

## 1.3 Blowing up a point in a subvariety of affine space

Now if  $Y$  is a subvariety of  $\mathbf{A}^n$ , then the blow-up of  $Y$  at the origin is by definition the closure in  $\mathbf{A}^n \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  of  $\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus \{0\})$ .

(The example we started with is the special case where  $n = 2$  and instead of projective coordinates  $(s, t)$  with  $sy = xt$  we used the affine part with  $s = 1$ .)

## 1.4 Blowing up a subvariety of a variety

If  $X$  is a variety with coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  and  $Y$  is a subvariety with ideal  $I(Y)$  generated by functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ , then we have a map

$$(x_1, \dots, x_m) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_m, f_1(x_1, \dots, x_m), \dots, f_n(x_1, \dots, x_m))$$

from  $X \setminus Y$  into  $\mathbf{A}^m \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ . Now the blowup of  $X$  along  $Y$  is defined as the closure in  $\mathbf{A}^m \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  of the image of this map.

## 1.5 Resolution of singularities

It turns out to be possible to show that an arbitrary algebraic variety is birationally equivalent to a smooth one (i.e., one without singularities), and that nonsingular model can be reached by a series of blowups.

**Theorem 1.1** (Hironaka) *Let  $X$  be any variety over a field of characteristic zero. Then there exists a variety  $Y$  and a regular map  $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$  that is a birational equivalence.*

The analog of this theorem in char  $p$  is open, but has been proved for curves and surfaces.

## 2 Quadratic transformations

Blowup has the disadvantage that the dimension goes up. For the case of curves in the plane one can find a reasonably good model while staying in the plane.

The transformation used is the map  $\phi$  given (in projective coordinates) by  $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (YZ, XZ, XY)$ . It is defined on  $\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus \{P, P', P''\}$  where  $P = (0, 0, 1)$ ,  $P' = (0, 1, 0)$  and  $P'' = (1, 0, 0)$ . Let  $U$  be the open set  $\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus V(XYZ)$ . Then  $\phi$  is 1-1 on  $U$ , and its own inverse. Hence  $\phi$  is a birational isomorphism. It maps the line  $Z = 0$  to the point  $P$ , so  $\phi^{-1}$  looks like a blowup: it blows up the point  $P$  into a line.

**Theorem 2.1** *Any curve in the plane can be brought into a form where the only singularities are ordinary multiple points.*

(An ordinary multiple point is a multiple point without multiple tangent.)

We give a full proof.

Let  $C$  be an irreducible curve, defined by the equation  $F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ . Let the points  $P, P', P''$  have multiplicities  $m, m', m''$  on  $C$ , respectively. Then the image  $C^\phi$  under  $\phi$  is given by the equation  $F^\phi(X, Y, Z) = 0$ , where

$$F^\phi(X, Y, Z) = F(YZ, XZ, XY)/(X^{m''} Y^{m'} Z^m).$$

Indeed, by definition of multiplicity, if  $P$  has multiplicity  $m$  on  $C$ , and  $F$  has degree  $n$ , then  $F(X, Y, Z) = F_m(X, Y)Z^{n-m} + \dots + F_n(X, Y)$ , with  $F_j(X, Y)$  homogeneous of degree  $j$ . Now

$$F(YZ, XZ, XY) = F_m(YZ, XZ)(XY)^{n-m} + \dots + F_n(YZ, XZ)$$

has precisely  $m$  factors  $Z$ . This shows that  $F^\phi$  is a polynomial, homogeneous of degree  $2n - m - m' - m''$ , and this polynomial is irreducible (since  $F$  is).

The point  $P$  has multiplicity  $n - m' - m''$  on  $C^\phi$ :

Indeed, expanding  $F^\phi$  in powers of  $Z$  we find

$$F^\phi(X, Y, Z) = F_m(Y, X)X^{n-m-m''}Y^{n-m-m'} + \dots + F_n(Y, X)X^{-m''}Y^{-m'}Z^{n-m}$$

with highest  $Z$ -exponent in the last term.

We have  $(F^\phi)^\phi = F$ .

Indeed,  $F^{\phi\phi}(X, Y, Z) = F^\phi(YZ, XZ, XY)/(X^{n-m-m'}Y^{n-m-m''}Z^{n-m'-m''}) = F(XYZX, XYZY, XYZZ)/(X^{n-m-m'}Y^{n-m-m''}Z^{n-m'-m''}(XY)^m(XZ)^{m'}(YZ)^{m''}) = F(X, Y, Z)$ .

That settles the relation between  $F$  and  $F^\phi$ . The goal is to choose coordinates in such a way that things improve around  $P$  and do not get worse elsewhere.

The quadratic transformation is 1-1 outside the triangle  $V(XYZ)$ , so nothing happens there. In order to control what happens near the corners of the triangle we must choose the triangle in *good position*. That is, by definition, choose them such that none of the three lines  $X = 0$ ,  $Y = 0$ ,  $Z = 0$  is tangent to  $C$  at  $P$ ,  $P'$  or  $P''$ .

If the triangle is in good position with respect to  $C$ , then it is also in good position with respect to  $C^\phi$ .

Indeed, the line  $Z = 0$  is tangent to  $C^\phi$  at  $P' = (0, 1, 0)$  iff

$$I(P', C^\phi \cap Z) > m_{P'}(C^\phi),$$

i.e.,

$$I(P', F_m(Y, X)X^{n-m-m''}Y^{n-m-m'} \cap Z) > n - m - m'',$$

i.e.,

$$I(P', F_m(Y, X) \cap Z) > 0,$$

i.e.,  $F_m(1, 0) = 0$ , i.e.,  $Y = 0$  is a tangent to  $C$  at  $P = (0, 0, 1)$ , which it is not.

For a triangle in good position we have control over the ‘blowup’ of  $P$ : Suppose  $C^\phi$  meets the line  $Z = 0$  in the points  $P_1, \dots, P_s$  distinct from  $P', P''$  (and possibly also in  $P', P''$ ). Then for each  $i$  the multiplicity of  $P_i$  as a point of  $C^\phi$  is bounded by  $m_{P_i} \leq I(P_i, C^\phi \cap Z)$ , and the sum of these intersection numbers equals  $m$ .

Indeed  $\sum_i I(P_i, C^\phi \cap Z) = \sum_i I(P_i, F_m(Y, X) \cap Z) = m$ . (The powers of  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $I(P_i, F_m(Y, X)X^{n-m-m''}Y^{n-m-m'} \cap Z)$  disappear because  $P_i$  is distinct from  $P'$  and  $P''$  and hence does not lie on  $X = 0$  or  $Y = 0$ . By the assumption of good position, the points  $P'$  and  $P''$  do not occur in the sum. Since the field is algebraically closed and  $F_m$  has degree  $m$ , we find  $m$  roots.)

So far, everything was symmetric in  $P, P', P''$ . Introduce asymmetry now. The triangle is in *excellent position* if it is in good position, and moreover  $Z = 0$  intersects  $C$  in  $n$  distinct points different from  $P', P''$  and the lines  $X = 0$  and  $Y = 0$  intersect  $C$  in  $n - m$  distinct points different from  $P, P', P''$ . (That is: we know that  $P$  is an  $m$ -fold point. All other intersections of  $C$  with the triangle must be simple, and  $C$  must not meet the other two corners.)

It is clear that given  $P$  we can change coordinates in such a way that the triangle is in excellent position (since the field is infinite).

Now  $C^\phi$  has the following multiple points:

(i) Outside the triangle,  $C$  and  $C^\phi$  are isomorphic, and the same multiplicities occur.

(ii)  $P, P', P''$  are ordinary multiple points on  $C^\phi$  with multiplicities  $n, n - m, n - m$ , respectively. (This follows from excellent position.)

(iii)  $C^\phi$  has no points on  $X = 0$  or  $Y = 0$  other than  $P, P', P''$ . (The sum of the intersection multiplicities equals the multiplicity of  $P'$  or  $P''$  on  $C$ , that is, 0.)

Have we made progress? One arbitrary singularity was turned into three ordinary singularities and a number of points on the line  $Z = 0$ , that may be bad themselves. Let us introduce a parameter to measure progress.

Let the irreducible plane projective curve  $C$  have degree  $n$  and multiple points of multiplicity  $m_i = m_{P_i}(C)$ . Put

$$g^*(C) = (n-1)(n-2)/2 - \sum m_i(m_i-1)/2.$$

Then  $g^* \geq 0$ .

We prove this below. But let us assume  $g^* \geq 0$  for the moment, and compute. We have  $2g^*(C^\phi) = (2n-m-1)(2n-m-2) - \sum m_i(m_i-1) + m(m-1) - n(n-1) - 2(n-m)(n-m-1) - \sigma = 2g^*(C) - \sigma$ , where  $\sigma = \sum m_i(m_i-1)$  summed over the points of  $C^\phi$  on  $Z=0$ . Thus, either  $g^*$  decreases, or it stays the same, but then  $\sigma = 0$ , and hence all points of  $C^\phi$  on  $Z=0$  are simple points. This shows that after finitely many steps all multiple points will be ordinary multiple points.

Remains to show that  $g^* \geq 0$ . This will follow from Bezout.

First apply Bezout to  $F$  and its derivative  $F' = (\partial/\partial Z)F$ . If  $F$  has multiple points  $P_i$  with multiplicities  $m_i$ , then these same points have multiplicity at least  $m_i-1$  for  $F'$ , and Bezout tells us that  $n(n-1) \geq \sum m_i(m_i-1)$ . (Needed:  $F$  and  $F'$  do not have a common factor, that is,  $F$  does not have a squared factor.)

Next improve this inequality by picking a different curve to intersect  $F$  with. Take a polynomial  $G$ , homogeneous of degree  $n-1$  (there are  $n(n+1)/2$  coefficients to choose), and require for each  $i$  that  $P_i$  has multiplicity at least  $m_i-1$  on  $G$  (this imposes  $m_i(m_i-1)/2$  linear conditions). We know that  $n(n-1)/2 \geq \sum m_i(m_i-1)/2$ , so this leaves at least  $n$  degrees of freedom, and we can require  $G$  to pass through  $t := n(n+1)/2 - 1 - \sum m_i(m_i-1)/2$  further points of  $F$ , and still have a nonzero solution. Now Bezout tells us that  $n(n-1) \geq \sum m_i(m_i-1) + t$ , i.e.,  $g^* \geq 0$ . (Needed:  $F$  and  $G$  do not have a common factor. Since  $G$  is unknown of degree  $n-1$  we need that  $F$  is irreducible.)