# Representations of finite groups 


#### Abstract

A micro-introduction to the theory of representations of finite groups.


## 1 Representations

Let $G$ be a finite group. A linear representation of $G$ is a homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ where $G L(V)$ is the group of invertable linear transformations of the vector space $V$. We shall restrict ourselves to finite-dimensional $V$. The dimension $\operatorname{dim} V=n$ is called the degree of the representation. In order to make life easy, we only consider vector spaces over $\mathbf{C}$, the field of complex numbers.
(The theory is easy for finite groups because we can average over the group to get something that is invariant for the group action. In the averaging process we divide by the order of the group, and the theory (of modular representations) is more difficult when the characteristic of the field divides the order of $G$. For Schur's Lemma we need an eigenvalue, and life is a bit easier for algebraically closed fields.)

Two representations $\rho_{1}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\rho_{2}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{2}\right)$ are called equivalent when they are not really different: $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ have the same dimension, and for a suitable choice of bases in $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ the matrices of $\rho_{1}(g)$ and $\rho_{2}(g)$ are the same, for all $g \in G$. (Equivalently, $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are equivalent when there is a linear isomorphism $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ such that $f \rho_{1}(g)=\rho_{2}(g) f$ for all $g \in G$.)

A subspace $W$ of $V$ is called $\rho(G)$-invariant if $\rho(g) W \subseteq W$ for all $g \in G$. The first example of averaging is to get a $\rho(G)$-invariant complement of a $\rho(G)$ invariant subspace.

Theorem 1.1 (Maschke) Let $G$ be a finite group, let $V$ be a vector space over the field $F$, and let $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ be a linear representation of $G$ on $V$. If the subspace $W$ of $V$ is $\rho(G)$-invariant and $|G|$ is nonzero in $F$, then there is a $\rho(G)$-invariant subspace $U$ of $V$ such that $V=U \oplus W$.

Proof: Let $U_{0}$ be a complement of $W$ in $V$, so that $V=U_{0} \oplus W$. Let $P_{0}$ be the projection onto $W$ along $U_{0}$, that is, $P_{0} v=w$ when $v=u_{0}+w$ with $u_{0} \in U_{0}$ and $w \in W$. Put

$$
P=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho(g) P_{0} \rho(g)^{-1} .
$$

Then $P \rho(g)=\rho(g) P$ for all $g \in G$, and $P$ is a projection onto $W$. Now the kernel $U$ of $P$ is $\rho(G)$-invariant.

### 1.1 Direct sum

Given two representations $\rho_{1}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\rho_{2}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{2}\right)$, their direct sum $\rho_{1} \oplus \rho_{2}$ is the representation $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$, where $V=V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$, defined by $\rho(g) v=\rho_{1}(g) v_{1}+\rho_{2}(g) v_{2}$ for $v=v_{1}+v_{2}$ with $v_{1} \in V_{1}$ and $v_{2} \in V_{2}$. In matrix form, this says that the matrix $R(g)$ of $\rho(g)$ is given by

$$
R(g)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{1}(g) & 0 \\
0 & R_{2}(g)
\end{array}\right)
$$

The degree of a direct sum is the sum of the degrees of the summands. A representation $\rho$ is called irreducible if it is not the direct sum $\rho_{1} \oplus \rho_{2}$ of two representations of nonzero degree.

By induction on $\operatorname{dim} V$ we see that each representation is the direct sum $\rho_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{m}$ of (zero or more) irreducible representations.

### 1.2 Tensor product

Given two representations $\rho_{1}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\rho_{2}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{2}\right)$, their tensor product $\rho_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}$ is the representation $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$, where $V=V_{1} \otimes V_{2}$, defined by $\rho(g) v=\rho_{1}(g) v_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}(g) v_{2}$ for $v=v_{1} \otimes v_{2}$ with $v_{1} \in V_{1}$ and $v_{2} \in V_{2}$. In matrix form, this says that the matrix $R(g)$ of $\rho(g)$ is $R(g)=R_{1}(g) \otimes R_{2}(g)$. The degree of a tensor product is the product of the degrees of the factors.

### 1.3 Schur's Lemma

Theorem 1.2 (Schur) Let $\rho_{1}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\rho_{2}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{2}\right)$ be irreducible representations. Let $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ be a linear map with $\rho_{2}(g) f=f \rho_{1}(g)$ for all $g \in G$.
(i) If $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are not equivalent, then $f=0$.
(ii) If $V_{1}=V_{2}=V$ and $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}$, then $f=\lambda I$, where $I$ is the identity on $V$.

Proof: (i) We may suppose $f \neq 0$. The subspace $W_{1}=\operatorname{ker} f$ of $V_{1}$ is $\rho_{1}(G)$ invariant, so $W_{1}=0$ or $W_{1}=V_{1}$, but $f \neq 0$, so $W_{1}=0$. The subspace $W_{2}=\operatorname{im} f$ of $V_{2}$ is $\rho_{2}(G)$-invariant, so $W_{2}=0$ or $W_{2}=V_{2}$, but $f \neq 0$, so $W_{2}=V_{2}$. Now $f$ is an isomorphism, and $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are equivalent.
(ii) Let $\lambda$ be an eigenvalue of $f$ (there is one, since $\mathbf{C}$ is algebraically closed). Now apply the previous argument to $f-\lambda I$ instead of $f$. Since $f-\lambda I$ is not an isomorphism, is must be 0 .

By averaging over $G$ we can turn a linear map $f$ into one that satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1.2. This yields:
Corollary 1.3 Let $\rho_{1}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\rho_{2}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{2}\right)$ be irreducible representations. Let $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$ be linear and put $\tilde{f}=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \rho_{2}(g)^{-1} f \rho_{1}(g)$.
(i) If $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are not equivalent, then $\tilde{f}=0$.
(ii) If $V_{1}=V_{2}=V$ and $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}$, then $\tilde{f}=\lambda I$, where $\lambda=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} f$.

Proof: In case (ii), $\operatorname{tr} \tilde{f}=\operatorname{tr} f$ and $\operatorname{tr} I=n$.
Now choose bases, and let $f=E_{j k}$ be the linear map with a matrix that is zero everywhere except at the $(j, k)$-entry where it is 1 . We obtain orthogonality relations for the matrix entries of representations.

Corollary 1.4 Let $\rho_{1}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $\rho_{2}: G \rightarrow G L\left(V_{2}\right)$ be irreducible representations.
(i) If $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are not equivalent, then

$$
\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} R_{2}(g)_{i j}^{-1} R_{1}(g)_{k l}=0 \text { for all } i, j, k, l
$$

where $R_{i}(g)$ denotes the matrix of $\rho_{i}(g)$ for $i=1,2$ and $g \in G$.
(ii) If $V_{1}=V_{2}=V$ and $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=\rho$, then

$$
\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} R(g)_{i j}^{-1} R(g)_{k l}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
1 / n & \text { if } i=l \text { and } j=k \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $R(g)$ denotes the matrix of $\rho(g)$ for $g \in G$.
Proof: Apply the previous corollary, and take the $(i, l)$-matrix entry of $\tilde{f}$ where $f=E_{j k}$. In case (ii), $\operatorname{tr} f$ equals 0 if $j \neq k$ and 1 if $j=k$.

## 2 Characters

Given a representation $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$, let its character be the map $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by $\chi(g)=\operatorname{tr} \rho(g)$. It will turn out that $\rho$ is determined up to equivalence by its character $\chi$.

Lemma 2.1 Let $\chi=\chi_{\rho}$ denote the character of $\rho$. Then
(i) $\chi_{\rho_{1} \oplus \rho_{2}}=\chi_{\rho_{1}}+\chi_{\rho_{2}}$,
(ii) $\chi_{\rho_{1} \otimes \rho_{2}}=\chi_{\rho_{1}} \chi_{\rho_{2}}$,
(iii) $\chi_{\rho}(1)=n$ if $\rho$ has degree $n$,
(iv) $\chi\left(g^{-1}\right)=\overline{\chi(g)}$ for all $g \in G$,
(v) $\chi\left(h^{-1} g h\right)=\chi(g)$ for all $g, h \in G$.

Proof: Only part (iv) requires comment. Since $G$ is finite, $g$ has finite order, so $\rho(g)$ has finite order, and its eigenvalues are roots of unity. If $\rho(g)$ has eigenvalue $\zeta$, then $\rho\left(g^{-1}\right)$ has eigenvalue $\zeta^{-1}=\bar{\zeta}$. And the trace of $\rho\left(g^{-1}\right)$ is the sum of its eigenvalues.

### 2.1 Inner product

For functions $\phi, \psi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, put

$$
\langle\phi, \psi\rangle=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\phi(g)} \psi(g)
$$

Theorem 2.2 If the representation $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ is irreducible, then its character $\chi$ satisfies $\langle\chi, \chi\rangle=1$. If the irreducible representations $\rho, \rho^{\prime}$ are inequivalent, then their characters $\chi, \chi^{\prime}$ satisfy $\left\langle\chi, \chi^{\prime}\right\rangle=0$.
Proof: Since $\chi(g)=\operatorname{tr} \rho(g)=\sum_{i} R(g)_{i i}$ and $\overline{\chi(g)}=\chi\left(g^{-1}\right)$, we have

$$
\left\langle\chi, \chi^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi\left(g^{-1}\right) \chi^{\prime}(g)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \sum_{i, j} R(g)_{i i}^{-1} R^{\prime}(g)_{j j}
$$

Now apply Corollary 1.4.

### 2.2 The character determines the representation

Theorem 2.3 Let $\sigma: G \rightarrow G L(V)$ be a representation with character $\phi$, and let $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \sigma_{m}$ be a decomposition of $\sigma$ into irreducible representations. Let $\rho: G \rightarrow G L(W)$ be an irreducible representation with character $\chi$. Then the number of $\sigma_{i}$ equivalent to $\rho$ equals $\langle\phi, \chi\rangle$.

Proof: Let $\sigma_{i}$ have character $\phi_{i}$, so that $\phi=\phi_{1}+\cdots+\phi_{m}$. Then $\langle\phi, \chi\rangle=$ $\left\langle\phi_{1}, \chi\right\rangle+\cdots+\left\langle\phi_{m}, \chi\right\rangle$. Now apply Theorem 2.2.

It follows that although the decomposition into irreducible subspaces is not unique in general, the number of subspaces of given type is determined. (In fact, also the sum of all subspaces of given type is determined uniquely.)

Corollary 2.4 Two representations are equivalent if and only if they have the same character.

We saw that if $\rho$ is irreducible, then $\langle\chi, \chi\rangle=1$. But the opposite is also true: if $\langle\chi, \chi\rangle=1$ then $\rho$ is irreducible. Indeed, if $\chi=\sum_{i} m_{i} \chi_{i}$ where the $\chi_{i}$ are distinct irreducible characters (that is, characters of irreducible representations), then $\langle\chi, \chi\rangle=\sum_{i} m_{i}^{2}$, and this equals 1 only when there is only one summand and $m_{1}=1$.

### 2.3 The regular representation

So far, we have not constructed any representations. Let $G$ be a finite group. The regular representation of $G$ is the representation $\rho$ on the vector space $\mathbf{C}^{G}$ (with basis $G$ ) defined by $\rho(g) h=g h$ for $g, h \in G$. This representation has degree $n=|G|$, and character $\chi$ satisfying

$$
\chi(g)=\operatorname{tr} \rho(g)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
|G| & \text { if } g=1 \\
0 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $\chi_{i}$ is any irreducible character, of degree $n_{i}$, then

$$
\left\langle\chi, \chi_{i}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\chi(g)} \chi_{i}(g)=\chi_{i}(1)=n_{i}
$$

so that $\chi=\sum n_{i} \chi_{i}$ and $|G|=\sum_{i} n_{i}^{2}$.
It follows that there are only finitely many distinct irreducible characters, all found in the character of the regular representation.

### 2.4 Class functions

For $g \in G$, the conjugacy class $C(g)$ is the set $\left\{h^{-1} g h \mid h \in G\right\}$. Lemma $2.1(\mathrm{v})$ says that a character is a class function, that is, is constant on conjugacy classes. We shall see that conversely any class function is a linear combination of characters.

For a class function $\phi$ and a representation $\rho$, let $f_{\phi, \rho}=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\phi(g)} \rho(g)$, a linear transformation of the vector space $V$, weighted average of the $\rho(g)$.

Lemma 2.5 If $\rho$ is irreducible, then $f_{\phi, \rho}=\lambda I$, where $n \lambda=\operatorname{tr} f_{\phi, \rho}=\langle\phi, \chi\rangle$.

Proof: We have

$$
\rho(g)^{-1} f_{\phi, \rho} \rho(g)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} \overline{\phi(h)} \rho\left(g^{-1} h g\right)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G} \overline{\phi\left(g h g^{-1}\right)} \rho(h)=f_{\phi, \rho} .
$$

Now by Schur's Lemma (Theorem 1.2) $f_{\phi, \rho}=\lambda I$ for some constant $\lambda$, and $\lambda$ is found by taking traces.

Theorem 2.6 The irreducible characters form an orthonormal basis for the vector space of class functions. In particular, the number of irreducible characters equals the number of conjugacy classes of the group $G$.

Proof: The 'orthonormal' part is the content of Theorem 2.2. Remains 'basis'. If $\phi$ is a class function orthogonal to all irreducible characters $\chi_{i}$, then consider the linear transformation $f_{\phi, \rho}$ for various $\rho$. The above lemma says that $f_{\phi, \rho}=0$ when $\rho$ is irreducible. For arbitrary $\rho$ the function $f_{\phi, \rho}$ is a direct sum of the functions $f_{\phi, \rho_{j}}$ for the irreducible constituents $\rho_{j}$ of $\rho$, hence $f_{\phi, \rho}=0$ for all $\rho$. Now let $\rho$ be the regular representation and compute the image of $f_{\phi, \rho}$ on the basis vector 1 . Since $\rho(g) 1=g$, we find $0=f_{\phi, \rho} 1=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \overline{\phi(g)} g$, so that all coefficients $\overline{\phi(g)}$ vanish, and $\phi=0$.

### 2.5 Character table

The square matrix $X$ with rows indexed by the irreducible characters $\chi_{i}$ and columns by the conjugacy classes of $G$ and entries $X_{\chi, C}=\chi(g)$ for $g \in C$, is called the character table of $G$.

Let $D$ be the diagonal matrix with rows and columns indexed by the conjugacy classes of $G$, where $D_{C C}=\frac{|C|}{|G|}$, so that $\operatorname{tr} D=1$.

The fact that different characters are orthogonal is expressed by $X D \bar{X}^{\top}=I$. But if $A B=I$ then also $B A=I$, so it follows that $\bar{X}^{\top} X=D^{-1}$. This shows that also the columns of $X$ are orthogonal, and that the sizes of the conjugacy classes can be seen from $X$.

## 3 Example: Sym(5)

Let $G$ be the symmetric group $\operatorname{Sym}(5)$. Its character table is

|  | 1 | $(12)(34)$ | $(123)$ | $(12345)$ | $(12)$ | $(1234)$ | $(12)(345)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\chi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\chi_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| $\chi_{3}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 2 | 0 | -1 |
| $\chi_{4}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -2 | 0 | 1 |
| $\chi_{5}$ | 5 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 1 |
| $\chi_{6}$ | 5 | 1 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| $\chi_{7}$ | 6 | -2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

The table is square, with 7 rows and columns. The columns are labeled by representatives of the conjugacy classes. The conjugacy classes have sizes 1,15 ,
$20,24,10,30,20$, respectively. The sum of the squares of the character degrees $1^{2}+1^{2}+4^{2}+4^{2}+5^{2}+5^{2}+6^{2}=120$ equals $|G|$.

How was this table constructed? By finding some easy characters and decomposing those into irreducibles.

1. The first is the trivial character, the character of the trivial representation that maps every $g \in G$ to the identity $I=(1)$ of order 1 . This gives $\chi_{1}$.
2. The second is the sign character. A permutation can be even or odd, and the sign character is 1 on even and -1 on odd permutations. This gives $\chi_{2}$.
3. The third construction is that of a permutation character. If $G$ acts as a group of permutations on a set $\Omega$, we find a representation in $\mathbf{C}^{\Omega}$. (The regular representation is the example where $G$ acts on itself.) Now $\chi(g)=\operatorname{tr} \rho(g)$ is the number of fixpoints of $g$.

The group $\operatorname{Sym}(5)$ has an obvious action on the set $S=\{1,2,3,4,5\}$, and the permutation character is $\pi=(5,1,2,0,3,1,0)$ with entries in the order of the columns of the table. Now $\langle\pi, \pi\rangle=2$, so this is the sum of two irreducible characters. And $\left\langle\pi, \chi_{1}\right\rangle=1$, so $\chi_{1}$ is one of them. Then $\chi_{3}=\pi-\chi_{1}$ must be the other. This gives $\chi_{3}$.

The group $\operatorname{Sym}(5)$ also has an action on the ten pairs from $S$. The corresponding permutation character is $\pi_{2}=(10,2,1,0,4,0,1)$. Now $\left\langle\pi_{2}, \pi_{2}\right\rangle=3$, and $\left\langle\pi_{2}, \chi_{1}\right\rangle=1$, and $\left\langle\pi_{2}, \chi_{3}\right\rangle=1$, so $\pi_{2}$ decomposes into three irreducibles, namely $\chi_{1}$ and $\chi_{3}$ and $\chi_{5}=\pi_{2}-\chi_{1}-\chi_{3}$. This gives $\chi_{5}$.
4. The fourth construction is that of taking tensor products. We find irreducible characters $\chi_{4}=\chi_{2} \chi_{3}$ and $\chi_{6}=\chi_{2} \chi_{5}$. Now only $\chi_{7}$ is left, and we can write it down using the orthogonality relations of the columns. But we can also compute the product $\chi_{3}^{2}$ and find that it decomposes as $\chi_{3}^{2}=\chi_{1}+\chi_{3}+\chi_{5}+\chi_{7}$. That completes the table.

### 3.1 Alt(5)

The even permutations in $\operatorname{Sym}(5)$ form the alternating group Alt(5). It has character table

|  | 1 | $(12)(34)$ | $(123)$ | $(12345)$ | $(12354)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\chi_{1}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\chi_{2}$ | 3 | -1 | 0 | $s$ | $t$ |
| $\chi_{3}$ | 3 | -1 | 0 | $t$ | $s$ |
| $\chi_{4}$ | 4 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| $\chi_{5}$ | 5 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 |

where $s=(1-\sqrt{5}) / 2$ and $t=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$.
We lose the classes of odd permutations, but the class of 5 -cycles now splits into two, since (12345) and (12354) are no longer conjugate. (In Sym(5) one had $(12354)=(45)(12345)(45)$, but (45) is odd.) The restrictions of the characters of $\operatorname{Sym}(5)$ to $\operatorname{Alt}(5)$ give characters again, and we find $\chi_{1}, \chi_{4}, \chi_{5}$ and $\chi_{2}+\chi_{3}$. (The formula for the inner product of two characters involves a factor $\frac{1}{|G|}$, so if the irreducible character $\chi$ of $\operatorname{Sym}(5)$ vanishes outside $\operatorname{Alt}(5)$, and $\chi^{\prime}$ is the restriction of $\chi$ to $\operatorname{Alt}(5)$, then $\left\langle\chi^{\prime}, \chi^{\prime}\right\rangle=2$.) If $x$ is an element of $\operatorname{Sym}(5) \backslash \operatorname{Alt}(5)$, and $\chi$ a character of $\operatorname{Alt}(5)$, then also $\chi^{\prime}$ defined by $\chi^{\prime}(g)=\chi\left(x^{-1} g x\right)$ is a character of Alt(5). The characters $\chi_{2}$ and $\chi_{3}$ must be related this way, so have the same value on the classes that do not split, and all that remains is to find $s$
and $t$. The orthogonality relations give $s^{2}+t^{2}=3$ and $s t=-1$ (and we already knew $s+t=1$ ), and this determines $s, t$. That completes the table.

Exercise Construct matrices for a representation with character $\chi_{2}$.

## 4 Some additional material

### 4.1 Frobenius reciprocity

Let $G$ be a group and $H$ a subgroup and let $\phi: H \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a class function on $H$. The function $\phi^{G}$ obtained by inducing $\phi$ up to $G$ is by definition $\phi^{G}(g)=$ $\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{x \in G} \hat{\phi}\left(x^{-1} g x\right)$, where $\hat{\phi}: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is defined by $\hat{\phi}(h)=\phi(h)$ for $h \in H$, and $\hat{\phi}(x)=0$ for $x \in G \backslash H$. Now $\phi^{G}$ is a class function on $G$. Conversely, if $\psi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a class function on $G$, then the restriction $\left.\psi\right|_{H}$ of $\psi$ to $H$ is a class function on $H$.

Proposition 4.1 Let $\phi: H \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a class function on $H$ and $\psi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C} a$ class function on $G$. Then $\left\langle\phi^{G}, \psi\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi,\left.\psi\right|_{H}\right\rangle$. In particular, if $\phi$ is a character of $H$, then $\phi^{G}$ is a character of $G$.

## Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\psi, \phi^{G}\right\rangle & =\frac{1}{|G| .|H|} \sum_{g, x \in G} \overline{\psi(g)} \hat{\phi}\left(x^{-1} g x\right)=\frac{1}{|G| .|H|} \sum_{g, x \in G} \overline{\psi\left(x^{-1} g x\right)} \hat{\phi}(g) \\
& =\frac{1}{|H|} \sum_{g \in H} \overline{\psi(g)} \phi(g)=\left\langle\left.\psi\right|_{H}, \phi\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Necessary and sufficient in order to be a character is that the inner product with all irreducibles is a nonnegative integer.

Another useful identity is $\left(\left.\phi \cdot \psi\right|_{H}\right)^{G}=\phi^{G} \cdot \psi$.

### 4.2 Permutation characters

Recall that if $G$ acts as a group of permutations on a set $\Omega$, then the corresponding permutation character is the function $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ defined by $\pi(g)=$ $\#\{\omega \in \Omega \mid g \omega=\omega\}$, the number of fixpoints of $g$ in this action.

If $G$ acts transitively on $\Omega$, then the rank of the action is the number of orbits of a point stabilizer, or, what is the same, the number of orbits of $G$ in the natural action on $\Omega \times \Omega$.

For a group $G$, let $1_{G}$ be the trivial character on $G$ (that is identically 1 ).
Proposition 4.2 Let $\pi$ be the permutation character of a permutation representation of the group $G$ on the set $\Omega$. Let $G$ have orbits $\Omega_{1}, \ldots, \Omega_{m}$. Let, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, the group $H_{i}$ be the stabilizer in $G$ of some element in $\Omega_{i}$. Then $\pi=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(1_{H_{i}}\right)^{G}$. In particular,
(i) The number of orbits $m$ of $G$ equals $\langle 1, \pi\rangle$.
(ii) If $G$ is transitive, then it has rank $\langle\pi, \pi\rangle$.
(iii) For $m=2$, the number of orbits of $G$ on $\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}$ (which equals the number of orbits of $H_{1}$ on $\Omega_{2}$ and the number of orbits of $H_{2}$ on $\Omega_{1}$ ) equals $\left\langle\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right\rangle$.

Proof: The permutation representation on $\Omega$ is the direct sum of the representations on the $\Omega_{i}$, so we may assume that $G$ is transitive, i.e., $m=1$. Put $H=H_{1}$. Now $\Omega$ can be identified with the set of left cosets $g H$ of $H$, with $G$ acting by left multiplication. A left coset $x H$ is fixed by multiplication by $g$ when $g x H=x H$, i.e., when $x^{-1} g x \in H$ (and each left coset $x H$ has $|H|$ representatives $x$ ). Now by definition $\left(1_{H}\right)^{G}(g)=\frac{1}{|H|} \#\left\{x \in G \mid x^{-1} g x \in H\right\}=\pi(g)$, so that $\pi=\left(1_{H}\right)^{G}$.

For (i), $\left\langle 1_{G}, \pi\right\rangle=\left\langle 1_{H}, 1_{H}\right\rangle=1$.
For (ii): the action of $G$ on $\Omega \times \Omega$ (via $g(a, b)=(g a, g b))$ has character $\pi^{2}$. Now the rank is $\left\langle 1, \pi^{2}\right\rangle=\langle\pi, \pi\rangle$.

For (iii): the action of $G$ on $\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}$ (via $\left.g(a, b)=(g a, g b)\right)$ has character $\pi_{1} \pi_{2}$. Now the number of orbits is $\left\langle 1, \pi_{1} \pi_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right\rangle$.

