## Resultant and discriminant

## 1 Resultant

## Definition

The resultant $R(f, g)$ of two polynomials $f(x)=a_{0} x^{n}+\ldots+a_{n}$ and $g(x)=$ $b_{0} x^{m}+\ldots+b_{m}$ with $a_{0} b_{0} \neq 0$ is defined as

$$
R(f, g)=a_{0}^{m} b_{0}^{n} \prod_{i, j}\left(\alpha_{i}-\beta_{j}\right)
$$

where the $\alpha_{i}$ are the roots of $f(x)$ and the $\beta_{j}$ those of $g(x)$.

## Application

A trivial but useful observation is that if $f$ and $g$ are monic,

$$
\prod_{\alpha \text { root of } f} g(\alpha)=(-1)^{m n} \prod_{\beta \text { root of } g} f(\beta)
$$

(since $f(x)=\prod_{\alpha}(x-\alpha)$ and $g(x)=\prod_{\beta}(x-\beta)$ so that both sides are equal to $R(f, g))$.

For example,

$$
\prod_{\zeta^{n}=1}\left(\zeta^{2}-2\right)=\left(\sqrt{2}^{n}-1\right)\left((-\sqrt{2})^{n}-1\right) .
$$

## Properties

From the definition it is clear that $R(f, g)=0$ if and only if $f$ and $g$ have a common root.

Since $R(f, g)$ is a symmetric function of the roots of $f$ and $g$, it can be expressed in terms of the coefficients. The expression is the following determinant

$$
R(f, g)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & a_{n} & & & \\
& a_{0} & a_{1} & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & a_{n} & & \\
& & \ldots & & & & & & \\
& & & a_{0} & a_{1} & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots & a_{n} \\
b_{0} & b_{1} & \ldots & \ldots & b_{m} & & & & \\
& b_{0} & b_{1} & \ldots & \ldots & b_{m} & & & \\
& & \ldots & & & & & & \\
& & & \ldots & & & & & \\
& & & & b_{0} & b_{1} & \ldots & \ldots & b_{m}
\end{array}\right|
$$

of order $m+n$ (with $m$ rows containing coefficients of $f$ and $n$ rows containing coefficients of $g$ ).

Proof One has $R(f, g)=0$ if and only if $f$ and $g$ have a common root, that is, if and only if $f$ and $g$ have nontrivial g.c.d., that is, if and only if there are polynomials $r(x)$ and $s(x)$ of degrees not more than $m-1$ and $n-1$, respectively, such that $r(x) f(x)+s(x) g(x)=0$. Considering the $m+n$ coefficients of $r(x)$ and $s(x)$ as unknowns, this equation gives $m+n$ homogeneous equations in $m+n$ unknowns, with nontrivial solution iff the determinant vanishes. But both this determinant and $R(f, g)$ are expressions of degree $m$ in the $a_{i}$ and $n$ in the $b_{j}$. So, this determinant must equal $R(f, g)$ up to a constant, and looking at the coefficient of $a_{0}^{m} b_{m}^{n}$ shows that the constant is 1 .

If $a_{0} b_{0}=0$ we can take this determinant as the definition of $R(f, g)$. Now $R(f, g)=a_{0} R(f, \bar{g})$ if $b_{0}=0$, where $\bar{g}(x)$ is the polynomial of degree $m-1$ with $g(x)=\bar{g}(x)$, and similar for $a_{0}=0$. In particular, if $a_{0}=b_{0}=0$ then $R(f, g)=0$. This is natural if one passes to homogeneous polynomials $F(X, Y)=\sum a_{i} X^{n-i} Y^{i}$ and $G(X, Y)=\sum b_{i} X^{n-i} Y^{i}$. Now $R(f, g)=0$ expresses that the varieties $V(F)$ and $V(G)$ on the projective line have a common point, and when $a_{0}=b_{0}=0$ this common point is $(1,0)$.
Exercise The resultant $R(f(x), g(t-x))$ is a polynomial of degree $m n$ in the variable $t$, with the $m n$ roots $\alpha_{i}+\beta_{j}$.

Exercise The resultant $R(f, g)$ viewed as a polynomial in the coefficients $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ is homogeneous of degree $m n$ if the variables $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are taken to have weight $i$.

Exercise There exist polynomials $r(x)$ and $s(x)$ of degrees not more than $m-1$ and $n-1$, respectively, and with coefficients that are polynomials with integral coefficients in the $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$, such that $r(x) f(x)+s(x) g(x)=R(f, g)$.
(Hint: Solve $A y=b$ with Cramer's rule, where $A$ is the matrix with $\operatorname{det} A=$ $R(f, g)$, and $y$ is the column vector $\left(x^{n+m-1}, \ldots, 1\right)$, and $b$ is the column vector $\left.\left(x^{m-1} f(x), \ldots, f(x), x^{n-1} g(x), \ldots, g(x)\right).\right)$

## 2 Discriminant

The discriminant $D$ of a polynomial $f(x)$ as above is defined as

$$
D=a_{0}^{2 n-2}(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)=a_{0}^{2 n-2} \prod_{i<j}\left(\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}\right)^{2} .
$$

We have $R\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=(-1)^{n(n-1) / 2} a_{0} D$.
Indeed, from $f(x)=a_{0} \prod_{i}\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)$ we get $f^{\prime}(x)=a_{0} \sum_{j} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)$ so that $f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{j}\right)=a_{0} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(\alpha_{j}-\alpha_{i}\right)$ and $R\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=a_{0}^{n-1} \prod_{i} f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=a_{0}^{2 n-1} \prod_{i \neq j}\left(\alpha_{j}-\alpha_{i}\right)$.

Example For $f(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=2 a x+b$ we find

$$
R\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
a & b & c \\
2 a & b & 0 \\
0 & 2 a & b
\end{array}\right|=-a\left(b^{2}-4 a c\right)
$$

so that $D=b^{2}-4 a c$.

Example For $f(x)=x^{3}+b x+c$ and $f^{\prime}(x)=3 x^{2}+b$ we find

$$
R\left(f, f^{\prime}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & b & c & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & b & c \\
3 & 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 3 & 0 & b & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & b
\end{array}\right|=4 b^{3}+27 c^{2}
$$

so that $D=-4 b^{3}-27 c^{2}$.

## 3 Intersection multiplicity

Given two curves $f(x, y)=0$ and $g(x, y)=0$ without common component, we want to assign intersection multiplicities to their common points in such a way that Bezout's theorem holds. Let $f$ and $g$ have degrees $n$ and $m$, respectively, and choose coordinates in such a way that these curves do not pass through the origin $(0,0)$, and such that the origin does not lie on a line joining two intersection points of the curves. Write the equations homogeneously: $F(X, Y, Z)=0$ and $G(X, Y, Z)=0$, and consider $F$ and $G$ as polynomials in $Z$ with coefficients in $k[X, Y]$. Our assumptions imply that $F$ and $G$ are polynomials of degrees $n$ and $m$ in $Z$ with coefficients $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ that are homogeneous polynomials of degree $i$ in $X$ and $Y$. Now $R(F, G)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of total degree $m n$ in $X$ and $Y$, call it $R(X, Y)$, and we can define the intersection multiplicity of the curves $F=0$ and $G=0$ at $P=\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}, Z_{0}\right)$ to be the multiplicity of the root $\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)$ of $R(X, Y)$. (Remains of course to check that this definition does not depend on the choices made.) With this definition Bezout's theorem becomes the simple statement that the sum of the multiplicities of the roots of a polynomial equals the degree of that polynomial.
Example Consider the two curves $Y=X^{3}$ and $Y=X^{5}$. The homogeneous equations are $Y Z^{2}=X^{3}$ and $Y Z^{4}=X^{5}$, and the common points are the points $(0,0,1),(1,1,1),(-1,-1,1),(0,1,0)$. The point $(1,0,0)$ does not lie on a line joining two common points, so make this the origin by interchanging $X$ and $Z$. Now our polynomials are $F(X, Y, Z)=Z^{3}-X^{2} Y$ and $G(X, Y, Z)=Z^{5}-X^{4} Y$, and computing a determinant of order 8 we find $R(X, Y)=X^{10} Y^{3}\left(Y^{2}-X^{2}\right)$ with roots $(0,1),(1,0),(1,1),(1,-1)$ of multiplicities $10,3,1,1$, so that our two curves have intersection multiplicities $10,3,1,1$ at the points $(0,1,0),(0,0,1)$, $(1,1,1),(-1,-1,1)$.

