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#### Abstract

We show that the kernel $I$ of the ring homomorphism $\mathbb{R}\left[y_{i j} \mid i, j \in\right.$ $\mathbb{N}, i>j] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\left[s_{i}, t_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right]$ determined by $y_{i j} \mapsto s_{i} s_{j}+t_{i} t_{j}$ is generated by two types of polynomials: off-diagonal $3 \times 3$-minors and pentads. This confirms a conjecture by Drton, Sturmfels, and Sullivant on the Gaussian two-factor model. Our proof is computational: inspired by work of Aschenbrenner and Hillar we introduce the concept of $G$-Gröbner basis, where $G$ is a monoid acting on an infinite set of variables, and we report on a computation that yielded a finite $G$-Gröbner basis of $I$ relative to the monoid $G$ of strictly increasing functions $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.


## 1. Introduction and results

The Gaussian $k$-factor model with $n$ observed variables consists of all covariance matrices of $n$ jointly Gaussian random variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, the observed variables, consistent with the hypothesis that there exist $k$ further variables $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{k}$, the hidden variables, such that the joint distribution of the $X_{i}$ and the $Z_{j}$ is Gaussian and such that the $X_{i}$ are pairwise independent given all $Z_{j}$. This set of covariance matrices turns out to be
$F_{k, n}:=\left\{D+S S^{T} \mid D \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R})\right.$ diagonal and positive definite, and $\left.S \in M_{n, k}(\mathbb{R})\right\}$, where $M_{n, k}(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of real $n \times k$-matrices, and $M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ is the space of real $n \times n$-matrices. In [7] this model is studied from an algebraic point of view. In particular, the ideal of polynomials vanishing on $F_{k, n}$ is determined for $k=2,3$ and $n \leq 9$. The case where $k=1$ had already been done in [4]. The authors of [7] pose some very intriguing finiteness questions. In particular, one might hope that for fixed $k$ the ideal of $F_{k, n}$ stabilises, as $n$ grows, modulo its natural symmetries coming from simultaneously permuting rows and columns. For $k=1$ this is indeed the case, and for arbitrary $k$ it is true in a weaker, set-theoretic sense [5]. In this paper we prove that the ideals of $F_{2, n}$ stabilise at $n=6$. To state our theorem we denote by $y_{i j}$ the coordinates on the space of symmetric $n \times n$-matrices; we will identify $y_{j i}$ with $y_{i j}$. Recall from [7] that the ideal of $F_{2,5}$ is generated by a single polynomial

$$
P:=\frac{1}{10} \sum_{\pi \in \operatorname{Sym}(5)} \operatorname{sgn}(\pi) y_{\pi(1), \pi(2)} y_{\pi(2), \pi(3)} y_{\pi(3), \pi(4)} y_{\pi(4), \pi(5)} y_{\pi(5), \pi(1)},
$$

called the pentad. The normalisation factor is important only because it ensures that all coefficients are $\pm 1$-indeed, the stabiliser in $\operatorname{Sym}(5)$ of each monomial in the

[^0]pentad is the dihedral group of order 10 . We consider $P$ an element of $\mathbb{Z}\left[y_{i j} \mid i \geq j\right]$. The ideal of $F_{2,6}$ contains another type of equation: the off-diagonal minor
$$
M:=\operatorname{det}(y[\{4,5,6\},\{1,2,3\}]) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[y_{i j} \mid i \geq j\right]
$$
the determinant of the square submatrix of $y$ sitting in the lower left corner of $y$. If $f$ is any polynomial in $\mathbb{R}\left[y_{i j} \mid i \geq j\right]$ that vanishes on $F_{2, n}$ and if we regard $f$ as an element of $\mathbb{R}\left[y_{i j} \mid i>j\right]\left[y_{11}, \ldots, y_{n n}\right]$, then each of the coefficients of the monomials in the diagonal variables $y_{i i}$ is a polynomial in the off-diagonal variables that vanishes on $F_{2, n}$, as well. Therefore the following theorem settles the conjecture of Drton, Sturmfels, and Sullivant, that pentads and off-diagonal minors generate the ideal of $F_{2, n}$ for all $n$; see [7, Conjecture 26].

Theorem 1.1 (Main Theorem). For any field $K$ and any natural number $n \geq 6$ the kernel $I_{n}(K)$ of the homomorphism $K\left[y_{i j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n\right] \rightarrow K\left[s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ determined by $y_{i j} \mapsto s_{i} s_{j}+t_{i} t_{j}$ is generated, as an ideal, by the orbits of $P$ and $M$ under the symmetric group $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$.

Remark 1.2. In [8] it is proved that $F_{2, n}$ equals the set of all positive definite matrices with the property that every principal $6 \times 6$-minor lies in $F_{2,6}$. Our Main Theorem implies an analogous statement for the Zariski closures of $F_{2, n}$ and $F_{2,6}$.

We sketch the proof of the Main Theorem along with the organisation of the paper. In Section 3 we introduce equivariant Gröbner bases, which are a generalisation of Gröbner bases to a setting where a monoid $G$ acts on the set of variables preserving the term order. Finite equivariant Gröbner bases do not always exist, even for ideals that are finitely generated modulo the action of $G$. Nevertheless, one can generalise the usual S-polynomial criterion to a finite test whether a given finite set of polynomials is an equivariant Gröbner basis. In Section 4 we put a suitable elimination order on the monomials in $y_{i j}, i, j \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq j$, and report on a computation that yields a finite $G$-Gröbner basis for the determinantal ideal generated by all $3 \times 3$-minors of $y$. Intersecting this $G$-Gröbner basis with the ring in the off-diagonal matrix entries gives the Main Theorem.
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## 3. Equivariant Gröbner bases

Consider a potentially infinite set $X$ of variables. The free commutative monoid generated by $X$ is denoted Mon; its elements are called monomials. Suppose that we have
(1) a monomial order, i.e., a well-order $\leq$ on Mon such that $m \leq m^{\prime} \Rightarrow x m \leq$ $x m^{\prime}$ for all $x \in X, m, m^{\prime} \in$ Mon; and
(2) a monoid $G$ (i.e., a semigroup with identity) acting on $X$ such that the induced action of $G$ by homomorphisms on Mon preserves the strict order: $m<m^{\prime} \Rightarrow g m<g m^{\prime}$ for all $g \in G, m, m^{\prime} \in$ Mon.
Example 3.1. The setting that Aschenbrenner and Hillar study in [1] fits into this framework, and indeed inspired our set-up. There $X=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ and $G$ is the monoid $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$ of all increasing maps $\pi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ acting on $X$ by $\pi x_{i}=x_{\pi(i)}$.

As a monomial order one can choose the lexicographic order with $x_{i}>x_{j}$ if $i>$ $j$. Aschenbrenner and Hillar have also turned their proof of finite generation of $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$-stable ideals in $K\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right]$ into an algorithm; see [2].
Remark 3.2. Note that $G$ acts by injective maps on $X$ (and on Mon) by the second requirement. It is essential that we allow $G$ to be a monoid rather than a group. Indeed, the image of $G$ in the monoid of injective maps $X \rightarrow X$ contains no other invertible elements than the identity: If $\pi: X \rightarrow X$ is an element in the image of $G$ and if $\pi(x) \neq x$, then $\pi(x)>x$ since otherwise $x>\pi(x)>\pi^{2}(x)>\ldots$ would be an infinite strictly decreasing chain. But then, if $\pi$ is invertible, we have $\pi(x)>x>\pi^{-1}(x)>\pi^{-2}(x)>\ldots$, another infinite decreasing chain.

Let $K$ be a field and let $K[X]=K$ Mon be the polynomial $K$-algebra in the variables $X$, or, equivalently, the monoid $K$-algebra of Mon. Then $G$ acts naturally on $K[X]$ by means of homomorphisms. A $G$-orbit is a set of the form $G z=\{g z \mid g \in$ $G\}$, where $z$ is in a set on which $G$ acts. Note that the ideal generated by the union of $G$-orbits in $K[X]$ is automatically $G$-stable, that is, closed under multiplication with elements from $G$.

We use the notation $\operatorname{lm}(f)$ for the leading monomial of $f$, i.e., the $\leq$-largest monomial having non-zero coefficient in $f$. The coeffient in $f$ of that monomial, the leading coefficient, is denoted $\operatorname{lc}(f)$, and $\operatorname{lt}(f)=\operatorname{lc}(f) \operatorname{lm}(f)$ is the leading term of $f$. By the requirement that $G$ preserve the order, we have $\operatorname{lm}(g f)=g \operatorname{lm}(f)$. Given an ideal $I$ of $K[X], \operatorname{lm}(I)$ is an ideal in the monoid Mon. If $I$ is $G$-stable, then so is $\operatorname{lm}(I)$.
Definition 3.3 (Equivariant Gröbner basis). Let $I$ be a $G$-stable ideal in $K[X]$. A $G$-Gröbner basis of $I \subseteq K[X]$ is a subset $B$ of $I$ for which $\operatorname{lm}(G B)(=\{\operatorname{lm}(g b) \mid$ $b \in B, g \in G\}$ ) generates the ideal $\operatorname{lm}(I)$ in Mon. If $G$ is fixed in the context, we also call $B$ an equivariant Gröbner basis.

Remark 3.4. At MEGA 2009, Viktor Levandovskyy pointed out to the second author that our equivariant Gröbner bases are in fact a special case of Gröbner $S$ bases in the sense of [6], which were invented for analysing certain two-sided ideals in free associative algebras.

Lemma 3.5. If $I$ is $G$-stable and $B$ is a $G$-Gröbner basis of $I$, then $G B=\{g b \mid$ $b \in B, g \in G\}$ generates the ideal $I$.

Proof. If not, then take an $f \in I \backslash\langle G B\rangle$ with $\operatorname{lm}(f)$ minimal. Take $b \in B$ and $g \in G$ with $\operatorname{lm}(g b) \mid \operatorname{lm}(f)$. Subtracting a suitable multiple of $g b$ from $f$ yields an element in $I \backslash\langle G B\rangle$ with leading term strictly smaller than that of $f$, a contradiction.
Algorithm 3.6 (Equivariant remainder). Given $f \in K[X]$ and $B \subseteq K[X]$, proceed as follows: if $g \operatorname{lm}(b) \mid \operatorname{lm}(f)$ for some $g \in G$ and $b \in B$, then subtract the multiple of $g b$ from $f$ that lowers the latter's leading monomial. Do this until no such pair $(g, b)$ exists anymore. The resulting polynomial is called a $G$-remainder (or an equivariant remainder, if $G$ is fixed) of $f$ modulo $B$.

This procedure is non-deterministic, but necessarily finishes after a finite number of steps, since $\leq$ is a well-order. Any potential outcome is called an equivariant remainder of $f$ modulo $B$.
Definition 3.7 (Equivariant S-polynomials). Consider two polynomials $b_{0}, b_{1}$ with leading monomials $m_{0}, m_{1}$, respectively. Let $H$ be a set of pairs $\left(h_{0}, h_{1}\right) \in G \times G$
for which $G b_{0} \times G b_{1}=\bigcup_{\left(h_{0}, h_{1}\right) \in H}\left\{\left(g h_{0} b_{0}, g h_{1} b_{1}\right) \mid g \in G\right\}$. For every element $\left(h_{0}, h_{1}\right) \in H$ we consider the ordinary S-polynomial

$$
S\left(h_{0} b_{0}, h_{1} b_{1}\right):=\operatorname{lc}\left(b_{1}\right) \frac{\operatorname{lcm}\left(h_{0} m_{0}, h_{1} m_{1}\right)}{h_{0} m_{0}} h_{0} b_{0}-\operatorname{lc}\left(b_{0}\right) \frac{\operatorname{lcm}\left(h_{0} m_{0}, h_{1} m_{1}\right)}{h_{1} m_{1}} h_{1} b_{1}
$$

The set $\left\{S\left(h_{0} b_{0}, h_{1} b_{1}\right) \mid\left(h_{0}, h_{1}\right) \in H\right\}$ is called a complete set of equivariant $S$ polynomials for $b_{0}, b_{1}$. It depends on the choice of $H$. In our applications, $H$ can be chosen finite.

Theorem 3.8 (Equivariant Buchberger criterion). Let $B$ be a subset of $K[X]$. Assume that for all $b_{0}, b_{1} \in B$ there exists a complete set of $S$-polynomials, each of which has 0 as a $G$-remainder modulo $B$. Then $B$ is a $G$-Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by $G B$.
Proof. We may and will assume that all elements of $B$ are monic. Let $I$ denote the ideal generated by $G B$. If $\operatorname{lm}(G B)$ does not generate the ideal $\operatorname{lm}(I)$ in Mon then there exists a polynomial of the form

$$
f=\sum_{g \in G, b \in B} f_{g, b} g b
$$

with only finitely many of the $f_{g, b}$ non-zero, whose leading monomial is not in the ideal generated by $\operatorname{lm}(B)$. We may choose the expression above such that first, the maximum $m$ of $\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g, b} g b\right)=\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g, b}\right) g \operatorname{lm}(b)$ over all $(g, b)$ for which $f_{g, b}$ is non-zero is minimal and second, the number of pairs $(g, b)$ with $\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g, b} g b\right)=m$ is also minimal. The maximum is then attained for at least two pairs $\left(g_{0}, b_{0}\right),\left(g_{1}, b_{1}\right)$, because otherwise $m$ would be the leading monomial of $f$. Write $m_{i}:=\operatorname{lm}\left(b_{i}\right)$ for $i=0,1$. We have

$$
m=\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g_{0}, b_{0}}\right) g_{0} m_{0}=\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g_{1}, b_{1}}\right) g_{1} m_{1}
$$

Now let $H$ be a set of pairs $\left(h_{0}, h_{1}\right) \in G \times G$ giving rise to a complete set of Spolynomials for $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ that $G$-reduce to zero; such a set exists by assumption. Then we may write $g_{0} m_{0}=g_{2} h_{0} m_{0}, g_{1} m_{1}=g_{2} h_{1} m_{1}$ for some $\left(h_{0}, h_{1}\right) \in H$ and $g_{2} \in G$. Let $\operatorname{lcm}\left(h_{0} m_{0}, h_{1} m_{1}\right)=t_{0} h_{0} m_{0}=t_{1} h_{1} m_{1}$, so that

$$
S:=S\left(h_{0} b_{0}, h_{1} b_{1}\right)=t_{0} h_{0} b_{0}-t_{1} h_{1} b_{1}
$$

where we have used that $b_{0}$ and $b_{1}$ are monic. We have

$$
\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g_{0}, b_{0}}\right) g_{2} h_{0} m_{0}=\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g_{1}, b_{1}}\right) g_{2} h_{1} m_{1}
$$

This implies that the left-hand side is a multiple of $\operatorname{lcm}\left(g_{2} h_{0} m_{0}, g_{2} h_{1} m_{1}\right)$, which equals $g_{2} \operatorname{lcm}\left(h_{0} m_{0}, h_{1} m_{1}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g_{0}, b_{0}}\right)$ is divisible by $g_{2} t_{0}$; set

$$
A:=\frac{\operatorname{lt}\left(f_{g_{0}, b_{0}}\right)}{g_{2} t_{0}}
$$

Now 0 is a $G$-remainder of $S$ modulo $B$, which implies that we can write $S$ as a sum

$$
\sum_{g \in G, b \in B} s_{g, b} g b
$$

with only finitely many non-zero terms that moreover satisfy $\operatorname{lm}\left(s_{g, b} g b\right) \leq \operatorname{lm}(S)<$ $\operatorname{lcm}\left(h_{0} m_{0}, h_{1} m_{1}\right)$ for all $g, b$. Then we may rewrite $f$ as

$$
f=f-A g_{2}\left(S-\sum_{g, b} s_{g, b} g b\right)=\sum_{g, b}\left(f_{g, b}+f_{g, b}^{\prime}+f_{g, b}^{\prime \prime}\right) g b
$$

where

$$
f_{g, b}^{\prime}=\sum_{g^{\prime} \in G, g_{2} g^{\prime}=g} A g_{2} s_{g^{\prime}, b}
$$

and

$$
f_{g, b}^{\prime \prime}= \begin{cases}-\operatorname{lt}\left(f_{g_{0}, b_{0}}\right) & \text { if }(g, b)=\left(g_{0}, b_{0}\right) \\ \operatorname{lc}\left(f_{g_{0}, b_{0}}\right) \operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g_{1}, b_{1}}\right) & \text { if }(g, b)=\left(g_{1}, b_{1}\right) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

If $g_{2} g^{\prime}=g$ then for all $b$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{lm}\left(\left(A g_{2} s_{g^{\prime}, b}\right)(g b)\right) & =\operatorname{lm}\left(A g_{2}\left(s_{g^{\prime}, b} g^{\prime} b\right)\right)<\frac{\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g_{0}, b_{0}}\right)}{g_{2} t_{0}} g_{2} \operatorname{lcm}\left(h_{0} m_{0}, h_{1} m_{1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g_{0}, b_{0}}\right) g_{0} m_{0}=m
\end{aligned}
$$

so for all pairs $(g, b)$ we have $\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g, b}^{\prime} g b\right)<m$. Moreover, $\operatorname{lm}\left(\left(f_{g_{0}, b_{0}}+f_{g_{0}, b_{0}}^{\prime \prime}\right) g_{0} b_{0}\right)$ is strictly smaller than $m$. Finally, $\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g_{1}, b_{1}}^{\prime \prime} g_{1} b_{1}\right)=m$. We conclude that either $\max _{g, b} \operatorname{lm}\left(\left(f_{g, b}+f_{g, b}^{\prime}+f_{g, b}^{\prime \prime}\right) g b\right)$ is strictly smaller than $m$, or else the number of pairs $(g, b)$ for which it equals $m$ is smaller than the number of pairs $(g, b)$ for which $\operatorname{lm}\left(f_{g, b} g b\right)$ equals $m$. This contradicts the minimality of the expression chosen above.

In addition to our set-up so far-a monomial order on monomials in the variables in $X$ and an action of a monoid $G$ on $X$ preserving the strict order-we make the following finiteness assumption:
(*) $\forall b_{0}, b_{1} \in K[X]$ the set $G b_{0} \times G b_{1}$ is the union of a finite number of $G$-orbits.
This ensures that a finite, complete set of equivariant S-polynomials exists for any pair $b_{0}, b_{1}$. We then have the following theoretical algorithm. We do not claim that it terminates, but if it does, then it returns a finite equivariant Gröbner basis by Theorem 3.8.

Algorithm 3.9 (Equivariant Buchberger algorithm).
Input: a finite subset $B$ of $K[X]$.
Output (assuming termination): a finite equivariant Gröbner basis of the ideal generated by $G B$.
Procedure: (1) $P:=B \times B$;
(2) while $P \neq \emptyset$ do
(a) choose $\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right) \in P$ and set $P:=P \backslash\left\{\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right)\right\}$;
(b) let $\mathcal{S}$ be a finite complete set of equivariant $S$-polynomials for $\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right)$;
(c) for all $f \in \mathcal{S}$ compute a $G$-remainder $r$ of $f$ modulo $B$; if $r \neq 0$ then set $B:=B \cup\{r\}$ and $P:=P \cup(B \times r)$;
(3) return $B$.

Note the order in which $B$ and $P$ are updated: one needs to add $(r, r)$ to $P$, as well.

## 4. A $G$-Gröbner basis for the 2-factor model

Our main theorem will follow from the following result. Let $X=\left\{y_{i j} \mid i, j \in\right.$ $\mathbb{N}, i \geq j\}$ be a set of variables representing the entries of a symmetric matrix. We consider the lexicographic monomial order on Mon in which the diagonal variables

| $l(p)$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\# p \in B$ | 1 | 6 | 11 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 1 |
| degrees | $3^{1}$ | $3^{6}$ | $3^{10} 5^{1}$ | $3^{5} 5^{5}$ | $5^{8}$ | $5^{5}$ | $5^{1}$ |
| $\# p \in B \cap K\left[y_{i j} \mid i>j\right]$ |  |  | 1 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 1 |
| degrees |  |  | $5^{1}$ | $3^{5} 5^{5}$ | $5^{8}$ | $5^{5}$ | $5^{1}$ |

TABLE 1. Largest indices and degrees of the $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$-Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathbb{N}}(K)$; multiplicities written as exponents.
$y_{i i}$ are larger than all variables $y_{i j}$ with $i>j$, and apart from that $y_{i j} \geq y_{i^{\prime} j^{\prime}}$ if and only if $i>i^{\prime}$ or $i=i^{\prime}$ and $j \geq j^{\prime}$. So for instance we have

$$
y_{2,2}>y_{1,1}>y_{5,2}>y_{4,3}
$$

Note that this monomial order is compatible with the action of the monoid $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$ of all increasing maps $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. For any polynomial $p \in K[X]$ let $l(p)$ denote the largest index of $p$, i.e., the largest index appearing in any of the variables in any of the monomials of $p$.

Theorem 4.1. For any field $K$, let $I_{\mathbb{N}}(K)$ be the ideal in $K[X]$ generated by all $3 \times 3$-minors of the matrix $y$ (recall that we identify $y_{j i}$ for $j<i$ with $y_{i j}$ ). Relative to the monomial order $\leq$ the ideal $I_{\mathbb{N}}(K)$ has an $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$-Gröbner basis $B$ consisting of 42 polynomials. The intersection $B \cap K\left[y_{i j} \mid i>j\right]$ is an $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$-Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathbb{N}}(K) \cap K\left[y_{i j} \mid i>j\right]$ consisting of 20 polynomials. The largest indices and the degrees of the elements in these bases are summarised in Table 4.1.

Remark 4.2. The polynomial with largest index 5 in the $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$-Gröbner basis $B \cap K\left[y_{i j} \mid i>j\right]$ is the pentad $P$. The five degree-3 polynomials with largest index 6 in that Gröbner basis form the $\operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{N})$-orbit of the off-diagonal minor $M$. All 14 remaining polynomials are already in the $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$-stable ideal generated by these polynomials; this latter statement also follows from the result in [7] that at least up to $n=9$ the ideal of the two-factor model is generated by pentads and off-diagonal minors.

Remark 4.3. A Gröbner basis of the ideal of the two-factor model $F_{2, n}$ relative to circular term orders was already found in [10]. The proof involves general techniques for determining the ideal of secant varieties, especially of toric varieties; see also [9]. The Gröbner basis found there, however, does not stabilise as $n$ grows-and indeed, circular term orders are not compatible with the action of $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$. It would be interesting to find a direct translation between Sullivant's Gröbner basis and ours.

Theorem 4.1 implies our Main Theorem.
Proof of the Main Theorem. It is well known that the $(k+1) \times(k+1)$-minors of the symmetric matrix $\left(y_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, n}$ generate the ideal of all polynomials vanishing on all rank- $k$ matrices (for a recent combinatorial proof of this fact, see [9, Example 4.12]; in characteristic 0 this fact is known as the Second Fundamental Theorem for the orthogonal group). Hence the ideal $I_{n}(K)$ is the intersection of the ideal $J_{n}$ generated by the $3 \times 3$-minors of $\left(y_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1, \ldots, n}$ with the ring $K\left[y_{i j} \mid i>j\right]$. Theorem 4.1 implies that one obtains a Gröbner basis of $J_{n}$, relative to the restriction of the monomial order on $K\left[y_{i j} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq j\right]$ to $K\left[y_{i j} \mid 1 \leq j<i \leq n\right]$ by applying
all increasing maps $\{1, \ldots, l(p)\} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, n\}$ to all $p \in B \cap K\left[y_{i j} \mid i>j\right]$ with $l(p) \leq n$. Such an increasing map can be extended to an element of $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$, and Remark 4.2 concludes the proof.

We conclude with some remarks on the computation that proved Theorem 4.1. First we need to verify Condition (*).
Lemma 4.4. For all $b_{0}, b_{1} \in K\left[y_{i j} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq j\right]$ the $\operatorname{set}\left(\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N}) b_{0}\right) \times\left(\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N}) b_{1}\right)$ is the union of a finite number of $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$-orbits.

Proof. Consider all pairs $\left(S_{0}, S_{1}\right)$ of sets $S_{0}, S_{1} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ with $\left|S_{i}\right|=l\left(b_{i}\right)$ for which $S_{0} \cup S_{1}$ is an interval of the form $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ for some $k$, which is then at most $l\left(b_{0}\right)+l\left(b_{1}\right)$. Note that there are only finitely many such pairs $\left(S_{0}, S_{1}\right)$. For each such pair let $\left(\pi_{0}, \pi_{1}\right)$ be a pair of elements of $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$ such that $\pi_{i}$ maps $\left\{1, \ldots, l\left(b_{i}\right)\right\}$ onto $S_{i}$; it is irrelevant how $\pi$ acts on the rest of $\mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N}) b_{0} \times \operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N}) b_{1}=\bigcup_{\left(S_{0}, S_{1}\right)} \operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})\left(\pi_{0} b_{0}, \pi_{1} b_{1}\right)
$$

where the union is over all pairs $\left(S_{0}, S_{1}\right)$ as above.
Computational proof of Theorem 4.1. The 42 polynomials of $B$ were constructed by computing a Gröbner basis for $I_{9}(\mathbb{Q})$ with Singular and retaining only those polynomials $p$ for which the set of indices occurring in their variables form an interval of the form $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ with $k \leq 9$. All elements of $B$ are monic and have integral coefficients (in fact, equal to $\pm 1$ except for the $3 \times 3$-minor with largest index 3 , which has a coefficient 2). By the equivariant Buchberger criterion and the proof of Lemma 4.4, we need only $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$-reduce modulo $B$ all S-polynomials of pairs $\left(\pi_{0} b_{0}, \pi_{1} b_{1}\right)$ with $b_{0}, b_{1} \in B$ and $\pi_{i}:\left\{1, \ldots, l\left(b_{i}\right)\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ increasing and such that $\operatorname{im} \pi_{0} \cup \operatorname{im} \pi_{1}=\{1, \ldots, k\}$ for some $k$. For instance, for $b_{0}=b_{1}=b$ equal to the polynomial in $B$ with largest index 9 , we having to $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N})$-reduce $S\left(\pi_{0} b, \pi_{1} b\right)$ modulo $B$ for all increasing maps $\pi_{0}, \pi_{1}:\{1, \ldots, 9\} \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, 18\}$ whose image union is an interval $\{1, \ldots, k\}$. However, if $k=17$ or $k=18$, then $\pi_{0} b$ and $\pi_{1} b$ turn out to have leading monomials with gcd 1 , so these cases can be skipped. This reduces the theorem to a finite computation involving polynomials with largest indices up to 16 , which we have implemented directly in C. Finally, to deduce the result for all base fields - and to speed up the computation-we used the following trick. Since $\operatorname{Inc}(\mathbb{N}) B \cap K\left[y_{i j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq i \leq n\right]$ is a subset of the ideal of $3 \times 3$-minors, it is a Gröbner basis if and only if the ideal generated by $\operatorname{lm}(B)$ has the same Hilbert series as the ideal generated by $3 \times 3$-minors. Since this Hilbert series is known and does not depend on the field [3], we may do all our computations over one field and conclude that it holds over all fields. We have verified the equivariant Buchberger criterion over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, which made the computation slightly faster than working over $\mathbb{Q}$.
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## Appendix: the basis $B$

Below is the complete equivariant Gröbner basis of Theorem 4.1. To distinguish the diagonal entries $y_{i i}$ from the off-diagonal entries, we have denoted them $a_{i}$. We precede the polynomials by graphs representing their leading monomials; here the variable $y_{i j}$ is depicted as an undirected edge between $i$ and $j$. For larger indices, the edges have been given different shades; this is only to make the pictures more readable. Ideally, one would hope to prove Theorem 4.1 by hand by giving a bijection between the standard monomials relative to $B$ and the known standard monomials relative to the Gröbner basis of [3], but we have not yet found such a bijection so far.

## Largest index 3. <br> $\begin{array}{lll}8 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 & 3\end{array}$

$$
a_{3} * a_{2} * a_{1}-a_{3} * y_{21}^{2}-a_{2} * y_{31}^{2}-a_{1} * y_{32}^{2}+2 * y_{32} * y_{31} * y_{21}
$$

## Largest index 4.


$a_{2} * a_{1} * y_{43}-a_{2} * y_{41} * y_{31}-a_{1} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{43} * y_{21}^{2}+y_{42} * y_{31} * y_{21}+y_{41} * y_{32} * y_{21}$
$a_{3} * a_{1} * y_{42}-a_{3} * y_{41} * y_{21}-a_{1} * y_{43} * y_{32}+y_{43} * y_{31} * y_{21}-y_{42} * y_{31}^{2}+y_{41} * y_{32} * y_{31}$
$a_{3} * a_{2} * y_{41}-a_{3} * y_{42} * y_{21}-a_{2} * y_{43} * y_{31}+y_{43} * y_{32} * y_{21}+y_{42} * y_{32} * y_{31}-y_{41} * y_{32}^{2}$
$a_{4} * a_{1} * y_{32}-a_{4} * y_{31} * y_{21}-a_{1} * y_{43} * y_{42}+y_{43} * y_{41} * y_{21}+y_{42} * y_{41} * y_{31}-y_{41}^{2} * y_{32}$
$a_{4} * a_{2} * y_{31}-a_{4} * y_{32} * y_{21}-a_{2} * y_{43} * y_{41}+y_{43} * y_{42} * y_{21}-y_{42}^{2} * y_{31}+y_{42} * y_{41} * y_{32}$
$a_{4} * a_{3} * y_{21}-a_{4} * y_{32} * y_{31}-a_{3} * y_{42} * y_{41}-y_{43}^{2} * y_{21}+y_{43} * y_{42} * y_{31}+y_{43} * y_{41} * y_{32}$

## Largest index 5, degree 3.


$a_{1} * y_{53} * y_{42}-a_{1} * y_{52} * y_{43}-y_{53} * y_{41} * y_{21}+y_{52} * y_{41} * y_{31}+y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{21}-y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{31}$
$a_{1} * y_{54} * y_{32}-a_{1} * y_{52} * y_{43}-y_{54} * y_{31} * y_{21}+y_{52} * y_{41} * y_{31}+y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{21}-y_{51} * y_{41} * y_{32}$
$a_{2} * y_{53} * y_{41}-a_{2} * y_{51} * y_{43}-y_{53} * y_{42} * y_{21}+y_{52} * y_{43} * y_{21}-y_{52} * y_{41} * y_{32}+y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{32}$
$a_{2} * y_{54} * y_{31}-a_{2} * y_{51} * y_{43}-y_{54} * y_{32} * y_{21}+y_{52} * y_{43} * y_{21}-y_{52} * y_{42} * y_{31}+y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{32}$
$a_{3} * y_{52} * y_{41}-a_{3} * y_{51} * y_{42}+y_{53} * y_{42} * y_{31}-y_{53} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{52} * y_{43} * y_{31}+y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32}$
$a_{3} * y_{54} * y_{21}-a_{3} * y_{51} * y_{42}-y_{54} * y_{32} * y_{31}-y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{21}+y_{53} * y_{42} * y_{31}+y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32}$
$a_{4} * y_{52} * y_{31}-a_{4} * y_{51} * y_{32}-y_{54} * y_{42} * y_{31}+y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{52} * y_{43} * y_{41}+y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42}$
$a_{4} * y_{53} * y_{21}-a_{4} * y_{51} * y_{32}-y_{54} * y_{43} * y_{21}+y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{53} * y_{42} * y_{41}+y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42}$
$a_{5} * y_{42} * y_{31}-a_{5} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{31}+y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{32}+y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{41}-y_{53} * y_{51} * y_{42}$
$a_{5} * y_{43} * y_{21}-a_{5} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{21}+y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{32}+y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{41}-y_{52} * y_{51} * y_{43}$

Largest index 5, degree 5 .


$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{42} * y_{31} * y_{21}-y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{41} * y_{32} * y_{21}-y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{43} * y_{31} * y_{21} \\
& +y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{41} * y_{32} * y_{31}+y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32} * y_{21}-y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{32} * y_{31} \\
& +y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{43} * y_{41} * y_{21}-y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{42} * y_{41} * y_{31}-y_{53} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42} * y_{21} \\
& +y_{53} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{41} * y_{32}+y_{52} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42} * y_{31}-y_{52} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{41} * y_{32}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Largest index 6, degree 3 .



Largest index 6, degree 5 .


$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{42} * y_{31} * y_{21}-y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32} * y_{21}-y_{63} * y_{51} * y_{42}^{2} * y_{31} \\
& +y_{63} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{43} * y_{31} * y_{21}+y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32} * y_{31} \\
& +y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{41} * y_{21}-y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{42} * y_{41} * y_{31}+y_{62} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42} * y_{31} \\
& -y_{62} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{41} * y_{32}+y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{43} * y_{32} * y_{21}-y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{42} * y_{32} * y_{31} \\
& -y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{42} * y_{21}+y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{42}^{2} * y_{31} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{31} * y_{21}-y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{32} * y_{21}-y_{63} * y_{52} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{31} \\
+y_{63} * y_{51}^{2} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{31} * y_{21}+y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{32} * y_{31} \\
+y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{21}-y_{62} * y_{51}^{2} * y_{43} * y_{32}+y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{32} * y_{21} \\
-y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{32} * y_{31}-y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{43} * y_{21}+y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{42} * y_{31} \\
-y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{32}+y_{61} * y_{52} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32}
\end{array} \\
& y_{64} * y_{63} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{31}-y_{64} * y_{63} * y_{51} * y_{41} * y_{32}+y_{64} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{41} * y_{31} \\
& -y_{64} * y_{62} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{31}-y_{64} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{42} * y_{31}+y_{64} * y_{61} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32} \\
& -y_{63} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{31}+y_{63} * y_{62} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{41}+y_{63} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32} \\
& -y_{63} * y_{61} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42}+y_{62} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{43} * y_{31}-y_{62} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{41} \\
& -y_{61}^{2} * y_{54} * y_{43} * y_{32}+y_{61}^{2} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{42} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
y_{65} * y_{64} * y_{52} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{65} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{65} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32} \\
+y_{65} * y_{62} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42}+y_{65} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{65} * y_{61} * y_{52} * y_{43} * y_{42} \\
+y_{64} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{32}-y_{64} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{41}-y_{64} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{32} \\
+y_{64} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{42}+y_{62}^{2} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{41}-y_{62}^{2} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{43} \\
-y_{62} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{42}+y_{62} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{43} \\
y_{65} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{65} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32}-y_{65} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32} \\
+y_{65} * y_{63} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42}+y_{65} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{43} * y_{32}-y_{65} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{42} \\
+y_{64} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{32}-y_{64} * y_{63} * y_{53} * y_{51} * y_{42}-y_{64} * y_{62} * y_{53}^{2} * y_{41} \\
+y_{64} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{51} * y_{43}-y_{64} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{32}+y_{64} * y_{61} * y_{53}^{2} * y_{42} \\
+y_{63} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{41}-y_{63} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{43}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Largest index 7, first half.



$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{73} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{31} * y_{21}-y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{32} * y_{21}-y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{52} * y_{42} * y_{31} \\
& +y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{72} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{31} * y_{21}+y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{32} * y_{31} \\
& +y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{21}-y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32}+y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{32} * y_{21} \\
& +y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{52} * y_{42} * y_{31}-y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{32} * y_{31} \\
& -y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{21}+y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32} \\
& y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{31}-y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{42} * y_{31} \\
& +y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32}+y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{41} * y_{31}-y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{31} \\
& -y_{72} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{31}+y_{72} * y_{63} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{41}+y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{43} * y_{31} \\
& -y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{41}-y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{42} * y_{31}+y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32} \\
& +y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{42} * y_{31}-y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42}-y_{71} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{43} * y_{32} \\
& +y_{71} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{42} \\
& y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{52} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{74} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{41} \\
& +y_{74} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{42}-y_{72} * y_{65} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32}+y_{72} * y_{65} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42} \\
& +y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{32}+y_{72} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{41}-y_{72} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{43} \\
& -y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{42}+y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{54} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{52} * y_{43} * y_{42} \\
& -y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{32}+y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{43}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Largest index 7, second half.



$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{73} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{31} * y_{21}-y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{32} * y_{21}-y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{52} * y_{42} * y_{31} \\
& +y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{72} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{31} * y_{21}+y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{32} * y_{31} \\
& +y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{21}-y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32}+y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{32} * y_{21} \\
& +y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{52} * y_{42} * y_{31}-y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{32} * y_{31} \\
& -y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{21}+y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32} \\
& y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{31}-y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{42} * y_{31} \\
& +y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32}+y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{41} * y_{31}-y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{31} \\
& -y_{72} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{31}+y_{72} * y_{63} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{41}+y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{43} * y_{31} \\
& -y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{41}-y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{42} * y_{31}+y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32} \\
& +y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{42} * y_{31}-y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42}-y_{71} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{43} * y_{32} \\
& +y_{71} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{42} \\
& y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{52} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{51} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{74} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{41} \\
& +y_{74} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{42}-y_{72} * y_{65} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32}+y_{72} * y_{65} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42} \\
& +y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{32}+y_{72} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{41}-y_{72} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{43} \\
& -y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{42}+y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{54} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{52} * y_{43} * y_{42} \\
& -y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{32}+y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{43} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{53} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{32}-y_{74} * y_{62} * y_{53}^{2} * y_{41} \\
+y_{74} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{43}-y_{73} * y_{65} * y_{54} * y_{41} * y_{32}+y_{73} * y_{65} * y_{51} * y_{43} * y_{42} \\
+y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{32}-y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{51} * y_{42}+y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{42} \\
-y_{73} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{43}+y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{51} * y_{43}+y_{72} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{41} \\
-y_{72} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{51} * y_{43}-y_{72} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{43}+y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{54} * y_{43} * y_{32} \\
-y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{53} * y_{43} * y_{42}-y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{32}+y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{53}^{2} * y_{42} \\
-y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{52} * y_{43}-y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{42}+y_{71} * y_{63} * y_{54} * y_{52} * y_{43} \\
+y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{53} * y_{43}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Largest index 8.



$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{84} * y_{72} * y_{65} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{84} * y_{72} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{41}-y_{84} * y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{42} * y_{32} \\
& +y_{84} * y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{42}-y_{82} * y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{41} * y_{32}+y_{82} * y_{74} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{41} \\
& +y_{82} * y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{43} * y_{42}+y_{82} * y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{32}-y_{82} * y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{42} \\
& -y_{82} * y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{43}+y_{81} * y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{42} * y_{32}-y_{81} * y_{74} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{42} \\
& -y_{81} * y_{72} * y_{65} * y_{43} * y_{42}-y_{81} * y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{32}+y_{81} * y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{42} \\
& +y_{81} * y_{72} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{43} \\
& y_{84} * y_{73} * y_{65} * y_{41} * y_{32}-y_{84} * y_{72} * y_{63} * y_{53} * y_{41}-y_{84} * y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{43} * y_{32} \\
& +y_{84} * y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{43}-y_{83} * y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{41} * y_{32}+y_{83} * y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{43} * y_{42} \\
& +y_{83} * y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{32}-y_{83} * y_{71} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{43}+y_{82} * y_{74} * y_{63} * y_{53} * y_{41} \\
& -y_{82} * y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{43}+y_{81} * y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{43} * y_{32}-y_{81} * y_{74} * y_{62} * y_{53} * y_{43} \\
& -y_{81} * y_{73} * y_{65} * y_{43} * y_{42}-y_{81} * y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{32}+y_{81} * y_{73} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{43} \\
& +y_{81} * y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{43} \\
& y_{84} * y_{75} * y_{61} * y_{53} * y_{42}-y_{84} * y_{75} * y_{61} * y_{52} * y_{43}-y_{84} * y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{53} * y_{42} \\
& +y_{84} * y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{52} * y_{43}+y_{83} * y_{75} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{42}-y_{83} * y_{75} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{42} \\
& -y_{83} * y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{51} * y_{42}+y_{83} * y_{74} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{52}+y_{83} * y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{54} * y_{42} \\
& -y_{83} * y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{52}-y_{82} * y_{75} * y_{64} * y_{51} * y_{43}+y_{82} * y_{75} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{43} \\
& +y_{82} * y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{51} * y_{43}-y_{82} * y_{74} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{53}-y_{82} * y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{54} * y_{43} \\
& +y_{82} * y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{54} * y_{53}-y_{81} * y_{75} * y_{64} * y_{53} * y_{42}+y_{81} * y_{75} * y_{64} * y_{52} * y_{43} \\
& +y_{81} * y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{53} * y_{42}-y_{81} * y_{74} * y_{65} * y_{52} * y_{43}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
y_{85} * y_{76} * y_{62} * y_{51} * y_{43}-y_{85} * y_{76} * y_{61} * y_{52} * y_{43}
$$

$$
-y_{85} * y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{63} * y_{51}+y_{85} * y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{63} * y_{52}-y_{82} * y_{76} * y_{65} * y_{51} * y_{43}
$$

$$
+y_{82} * y_{76} * y_{61} * y_{54} * y_{53}+y_{82} * y_{75} * y_{65} * y_{61} * y_{43}+y_{82} * y_{73} * y_{65} * y_{64} * y_{51}
$$

$$
-y_{82} * y_{73} * y_{65} * y_{61} * y_{54}-y_{82} * y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{64} * y_{53}+y_{81} * y_{76} * y_{65} * y_{52} * y_{43}
$$

$$
-y_{81} * y_{76} * y_{62} * y_{54} * y_{53}-y_{81} * y_{75} * y_{65} * y_{62} * y_{43}-y_{81} * y_{73} * y_{65} * y_{64} * y_{52}
$$

$$
+y_{81} * y_{73} * y_{65} * y_{62} * y_{54}+y_{81} * y_{72} * y_{65} * y_{64} * y_{53}
$$

$$
y_{85} * y_{76} * y_{72} * y_{51} * y_{43}-y_{85} * y_{76} * y_{71} * y_{52} * y_{43}-y_{85} * y_{73} * y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{51}
$$

$$
+y_{85} * y_{73} * y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{52}-y_{82} * y_{76} * y_{75} * y_{51} * y_{43}+y_{82} * y_{76} * y_{71} * y_{54} * y_{53}
$$

$$
+y_{82} * y_{75} * y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{51}+y_{82} * y_{75} * y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{43}-y_{82} * y_{75} * y_{71} * y_{64} * y_{53}
$$

$$
-y_{82} * y_{73} * y_{71} * y_{65} * y_{54}+y_{81} * y_{76} * y_{75} * y_{52} * y_{43}-y_{81} * y_{76} * y_{72} * y_{54} * y_{53}
$$

$$
-y_{81} * y_{75} * y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{52}-y_{81} * y_{75} * y_{72} * y_{65} * y_{43}+y_{81} * y_{75} * y_{72} * y_{64} * y_{53}
$$

$$
+y_{81} * y_{73} * y_{72} * y_{65} * y_{54}
$$

## Largest index 9.



$$
y_{95} * y_{82} * y_{76} * y_{51} * y_{43}-y_{95} * y_{82} * y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{51}-y_{95} * y_{81} * y_{76} * y_{52} * y_{43}
$$

$$
+y_{95} * y_{81} * y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{52}-y_{92} * y_{85} * y_{76} * y_{51} * y_{43}+y_{92} * y_{85} * y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{51}
$$

$$
+y_{92} * y_{81} * y_{76} * y_{54} * y_{53}+y_{92} * y_{81} * y_{75} * y_{65} * y_{43}-y_{92} * y_{81} * y_{75} * y_{64} * y_{53}
$$

$$
-y_{92} * y_{81} * y_{73} * y_{65} * y_{54}+y_{91} * y_{85} * y_{76} * y_{52} * y_{43}-y_{91} * y_{85} * y_{73} * y_{64} * y_{52}
$$

$$
-y_{91} * y_{82} * y_{76} * y_{54} * y_{53}-y_{91} * y_{82} * y_{75} * y_{65} * y_{43}+y_{91} * y_{82} * y_{75} * y_{64} * y_{53}
$$

$$
+y_{91} * y_{82} * y_{73} * y_{65} * y_{54}
$$
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