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#### Abstract

The smallest number of points of an incidence structure which is self-dual but not self-polar is 7 . For non-binary structures (where a point may occur more than once in a block) the number is 6 .
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At the IPM workshop in Tehran in August 2003, the second author asked for a small (preferably the smallest) example of an incidence structure whose incidence matrix $N$ is self-dual but not self-polar. That is, $N$ is a zero-one matrix such that there exist permutation matrices $P_{1}, P_{2}$ with $P_{1} N=N^{\top} P_{2}$, but there does not exist a permutation matrix $P_{3}$ with $P_{3} N=N^{\top} P_{3}^{\top}$.

Such matrices are known to exist, but the proofs depend on rather subtle properties of groups of Lie type and the matrices themselves are rather large. In this paper, we show:

Theorem 1 The smallest order of a self-dual but not self-polar incidence structure is 7. Up to isomorphism there are exactly eight incidence structures on 7 points with this property.

[^0]PROOF. The incidence graph $G$ of such a structure $G$ be a bipartite graph admitting an automorpism $\sigma$ of order $2^{d}$ which interchanges the two bipartite blocks, for some $d>1$, but no such automorphism of order 2 .

Suppose first that $d=2$. We claim that if $G$ has at most 12 vertices, then $G$ also admits an automorphism $\tau$ of order 2 interchanging the bipartite blocks. Let $\sigma$ have 4 -cycles $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}\right)$ for $i \in I$ and 2 -cycles $\left(e_{j}, f_{j}\right)$ for $j \in J$. We may assume that the points $a_{i}, c_{i}$ and $e_{j}$ form one bipartite block and $b_{i}, d_{i}$, and $f_{j}$ the other.

Our first candidate for $\tau$ will be a permutation whose structure on the set $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}, c_{i}, d_{i}\right\}$ is either $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\left(c_{i}, d_{i}\right)$ or $\left(a_{i}, d_{i}\right)\left(b_{i}, c_{i}\right)$, and which has cycles $\left(e_{j}, f_{j}\right)$ for $j \in J$. Since $\tau$ agrees with $\sigma$ on the union of the cycles of length 2 , it preserves all edges and non-edges here. Moreover, if, say, $f_{j}$ is joined to $a_{i}$, then it is also joined to $c_{i}$, while $e_{i}$ is joined to $b_{i}$ and $d_{i}$. So edges between 2 -cycles and 4 -cycles are preserved by $\tau$. Also, edges within a 4 -cycle are obviously preserved by $\tau$. This shows that, if $\sigma$ has only one 4 -cycle, then $\tau$ is an automorphism.

Consider two 4 -cycles of $\sigma$, say $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, d_{1}\right)$ and $\left(a_{2}, \ldots, d_{2}\right)$. Then $a_{1}$ is joined to both, one or neither of $b_{2}$ and $d_{2}$, and the other edges between the cycles follow from this. If $a_{1}$ is joined to both or neither, then either choice of $\tau$ on each cycle preserves these edges. If $a_{1}$ is joined to one of $b_{2}$ and $d_{2}$, then we can (and must) take $\tau=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)\left(c_{1}, d_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}, d_{2}\right)\left(b_{2}, c_{2}\right) \ldots$. So if $\sigma$ has two 4 -cycles then an automorphism $\tau$ exists. Hence we may assume that $\sigma$ has three 4 -cycles and there are 12 vertices altogether.

In this case, we can still choose $\tau$ unless a point of each cycle is joined to one point in each of the others; then the three requirements for $\tau$ conflict. The graph formed by the edges between the 4 -cycles is a 12 -gon, and the three 4 -cycles are the "squares" formed by the diagonals of length 3 . Whichever set of squares are chosen to be edges, there is always a reflection of the 12 -gon interchanging the two bipartite blocks.

Now suppose that there are 14 vertices. The argument shows that the induced subgraph on the 4 -cycles must be of the above form, and there is one 2 -cycle $(e, f)$. Now, in order to destroy the reflection symmetry, we must take a set $S_{1}$ of one or two squares to be edges of the graph, and a set $S_{2}$ of one or two squares whose vertices are joined alternately to $e$ and $f$ (where $S_{2}$ is not equal or complementary to $S_{1}$ ); moreover, we can choose whether or not to join $e$ and $f$. This gives eight graphs forming four complementary pairs within $K_{7,7}$; the numbers of edges are 20, 21, 24 (twice), 25 (twice), 28 and 29 . It is simple to check that the eight graphs all have the required property and are pairwise non isomorphic.

A similar but easier argument shows that no such graph on 14 or fewer vertices
can have a duality of order 8 but none of order 2 or 4 .

If we allow multiple edges, then 12 vertices suffice: we can take the 12 -gon whose vertices are the integers mod 12 and edges $\{i, i+1\}$, duplicate the edges $\{3 i, 3 i+1\}$, and add the diagonals $\{3 i, 3 i+3\}$. Similar arguments show that no smaller number of vertices is possible.


Graphs with fewest vertices, without and with multiple edges, are shown in the above figure; the 12 -gon is the outer boundary.

More generally, if we take a regular $2^{d}$-gon, erect a square on each side, and join one new vertex of each square alternately to one of two further vertices preserving the cyclic symmetry, we obtain a bipartite graph having $2\left(3 \cdot 2^{d-1}+1\right)$ vertices, whose automorphism group is cyclic of order $2^{d}$, such that an automorphism interchanges the two bipartite blocks if and only if it has order $2^{d}$. That is, there is an incidence structure with $3 \cdot 2^{d-1}+1$ points having a duality of order $2^{d}$ but none of smaller order.
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