Algorithms for Model Checking (2IW55) Lecture 12 Retrospect + Outlook

Tim Willemse (timw@win.tue.nl) http://www.win.tue.nl/~timw MF 7.073



Part I: basics

- ▶ Lecture 2: Symbolic algorithm for CTL and fair CTL
- ▶ Lecture 3: Counterexamples and witnesses for fragments of CTL



part II: complexity

- ▶ Lecture 5: Boolean equation systems
- ► Lecture 6: Parity Games
- ▶ Lecture 7: Recursive algorithm
- ► Lecture 8: Small Progress Measures

part III: data

- - Symbolic encoding the model checking problem as a PBES
 - · Redundant parameter detection and elimination
 - Instantiating to a BES and solving the BES
 - Symbolic approximation + Gauß Elimination



Model Checking:

- Problem is in NP∩co-NP; what is its true complexity?
- ▶ Bigstep algorithm (=Recursive+SPM) for Parity Games has best worst-case performance when $\mathcal{O}(d) \ll n$, viz. roughly $\mathcal{O}(n^{d/3})$, and the Subexponential algorithm has asymptotically best worst-case complexity, viz. roughly $\mathcal{O}(n^{\sqrt{n}})$.

Model Checking:

- Problem is in NP∩co-NP; what is its true complexity?
- ▶ Bigstep algorithm (=Recursive+SPM) for Parity Games has best worst-case performance when $\mathcal{O}(d) \ll n$, viz. roughly $\mathcal{O}(n^{d/3})$, and the Subexponential algorithm has asymptotically best worst-case complexity, viz. roughly $\mathcal{O}(n^{\sqrt{n}})$.

Parity Games:

- Solve Parity Games/BESs using Integer Equation Systems;
- Investigate runtime complexity of parity game algorithms on special games;



Model Checking:

- Problem is in NP∩co-NP; what is its true complexity?
- ▶ Bigstep algorithm (=Recursive+SPM) for Parity Games has best worst-case performance when $\mathcal{O}(d) \ll n$, viz. roughly $\mathcal{O}(n^{d/3})$, and the Subexponential algorithm has asymptotically best worst-case complexity, viz. roughly $\mathcal{O}(n^{\sqrt{n}})$.

Parity Games:

- Solve Parity Games/BESs using Integer Equation Systems;
- Investigate runtime complexity of parity game algorithms on special games;

PBES technology:

- Symmetry detection and reduction for reducing the complexity
- Abstract Interpretation and predicate abstraction

Model Checking:

- ▶ Problem is in NP∩co-NP; what is its true complexity?
- ▶ Bigstep algorithm (=Recursive+SPM) for Parity Games has best worst-case performance when $\mathcal{O}(d) \ll n$, viz. roughly $\mathcal{O}(n^{d/3})$, and the Subexponential algorithm has asymptotically best worst-case complexity, viz. roughly $\mathcal{O}(n^{\sqrt{n}})$.

Parity Games:

- Solve Parity Games/BESs using Integer Equation Systems;
- Investigate runtime complexity of parity game algorithms on special games;

PBES technology:

- Symmetry detection and reduction for reducing the complexity
- Abstract Interpretation and predicate abstraction

Verification:

Analyse DSL programs using dedicated transformations to parity games/PBES;



Control software for the Large Hadron Collider

- ► Hierarchical system of >25 000 communicating FSMs
- Nearly fully semi-formally described
- ▶ BDD-based analysis of a subtree consisting of:
 - 7 FSMs: 5 10⁶ states, 24 10⁶ transitions; +/- 1 minute
 - 9 FSMs: 800 10⁶ states; +/- 10 minutes
 - 11 FSMs: 120 10⁹ states; +/- half a day
 - Dedicated verification: SAT solving techniques
- Results:
 - Approx. 5% of all FSMs suffer from livelocks (20% of the FSMs that *can* be affected)
 - Approx. 4% of all FSMs suffer from reachability issues



The DIRAC grid solution used at the Large Hadron Collider beauty experiment

- cooperating distributed services
- light-weight agents delivering the workload to the Grid resources
- agents run concurrently
- ▶ State spaces of 160 10⁶ states are no exception
- Results:
 - Livelocks
 - Race conditions
 - Dead jobs reviving (zombies)
 - .

Internship/final projects (possibly at CERN?)/research for fun? Contact me!

