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Let $\Gamma$ be a finite graph with vertex set $V=V \Gamma$. A subset $D$ of $V$ is called dominating when each vertex in $V \backslash D$ has a neighbour in $D$. The following theorem answers a question by S. Akbari.

Theorem The number of dominating sets of a finite graph is odd.
Today, there are three proofs, by Andries Brouwer, Péter Csorba and Lex Schrijver, respectively. Let us give all three.

First proof: Let us write $S^{+}$for the set of vertices in $S$ or with a neighbour in $S$. By induction on $|V|$, and for fixed $|V|$ on $|S|$, we prove the following two claims for $S \subseteq V$ :
(i) $\#\left\{D \mid S \subseteq D \subseteq V, D^{+}=V\right\} \equiv \#\left\{E \mid E \subseteq V, E^{+}=V \backslash S\right\}(\bmod 2)$,
(ii) $\#\left\{D \mid D \subseteq V \backslash S, D^{+}=V\right\} \equiv \#\left\{E \mid E \subseteq V, V \backslash S \subseteq E^{+}\right\}(\bmod 2)$.

Indeed, if $S=\emptyset$ both (i) and (ii) are trivial. Assume $S \neq \emptyset$.
Let $U=S^{+} \backslash S$ and $W=V \backslash S$. Then (i) is equivalent to
(i') $\#\left\{D \mid D \subseteq W, W \backslash U \subseteq D^{+}\right\} \equiv \#\left\{E \mid E \subseteq W \backslash U, E^{+}=W\right\}(\bmod 2)$. for $U \subseteq W$. But this is precisely (ii), with $W$ instead of $V$, and since $|W|<|V|$ this holds by induction. This proves (i).

If we sum the equality (ii) over all $S \subseteq T$, where $T \subseteq V$, the left hand side counts pairs $(D, S)$ with $D^{+}=V$ and $S \subseteq T \backslash D$, so that each $D$ is seen $2^{|T \backslash D|}$ times, which is $0(\bmod 2)$ except when $T \subseteq D$. The right hand side counts pairs $(E, S)$ with $V \backslash T \subseteq V \backslash S \subseteq E^{+}$, so that each $E$ is seen $2^{\left|E^{+} \backslash(V \backslash T)\right|}$ times, which is $0(\bmod 2)$ except when $E^{+}=V \backslash T$. The result is

$$
\#\left\{D \mid T \subseteq D \subseteq V, D^{+}=V\right\} \equiv \#\left\{E \mid E \subseteq V, E^{+}=V \backslash T\right\}(\bmod 2)
$$

which is precisely (i), but using the variable $T$ instead of $S$. Since (i) holds, and by induction (ii) holds for all proper subsets $S$ of $T$, it follows that (ii) also holds for $S=T$. This completes the proof of (i) and (ii).

Now we can prove the theorem. If $V=\emptyset$ then there is precisely one dominating set. Otherwise, let $x \in V$ and put $W=V \backslash x$ and $S=N(x)$, the set of neighbours of $x$. The dominating sets in $V$ are the dominating sets $D$ in $W$ that intersect $S$, and the sets $E \cup\{x\}$ where $E \subseteq W$ with $W \backslash S \subseteq E^{+}$. By induction, the number of dominating sets (of the graph $\Gamma \backslash x$ ) in $W$ is odd. Adding equation (ii) (with $W$ instead of $V$ ) yields the desired conclusion.

Second proof: Let $n>0$, and look at the simplicial complex $P$ of all nonempty non-dominating sets. The Euler characteristic $\chi(P)$ is an alternating sum, and mod 2 one has $|P|=\chi(P)$. The Euler characteristic of a simplicial complex equals that of its barycentric subdivision. In this case that means that we go to the simplicial complex of all chains in the poset $P$.

Let $f(A)$ be the set of all vertices of $\Gamma$ not equal or adjacent to anything in $A$. If $A$ is non-dominating, then also $f(A)$ is non-dominating, and $f$ defines a Galois correspondence so that $f^{2}$ is a closure operator.

Consider an increasing chain $C=\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}\right)$ in $P$. If all $A_{j}$ in $C$ are closed, then pair $C$ with $\left(f\left(A_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(A_{m}\right)\right)$. Otherwise, if $A_{j}$ is the last nonclosed element in the chain, and $f^{2}\left(A_{j}\right)=A_{j+1}$ then pair $C$ with $C \backslash A_{j+1}$, otherwise pair $C$ with $C \cup f^{2}\left(A_{j}\right)$.

This pairing shows that the complex of all chains in the poset $P$ has an even number of vertices, and hence $|P|$ is even. Including the empty set we see that the total number of non-dominating sets is odd, and therefore the number of dominating sets is odd.

Third proof: Let

$$
A:=\{(S, T) \mid S, T \subseteq V, S \cap T=\emptyset, s \nsim t \text { for all } s \in S, t \in T\}
$$

A subset $S$ of $V$ is dominating precisely when $\#\{T \mid(S, T) \in A\}$ is odd, and hence the number of dominating sets equals $|A|(\bmod 2)$. But $(S, T) \in A$ iff $(T, S) \in A$, and $(S, T)=(T, S)$ only if $S=T=\emptyset$, so $|A|$ is odd.

