## The number of dominating sets of a finite graph is odd

## A. E. Brouwer

June 2, 2009

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite graph with vertex set  $V = V\Gamma$ . A subset D of V is called *dominating* when each vertex in  $V \setminus D$  has a neighbour in D. The following theorem answers a question by S. Akbari.

**Theorem** The number of dominating sets of a finite graph is odd.

Today, there are three proofs, by Andries Brouwer, Péter Csorba and Lex Schrijver, respectively. Let us give all three.

**First proof:** Let us write  $S^+$  for the set of vertices in S or with a neighbour in S. By induction on |V|, and for fixed |V| on |S|, we prove the following two claims for  $S \subseteq V$ :

(i) 
$$\#\{D \mid S \subseteq D \subseteq V, D^+ = V\} \equiv \#\{E \mid E \subseteq V, E^+ = V \setminus S\} \pmod{2}$$
,  
(ii)  $\#\{D \mid D \subseteq V \setminus S, D^+ = V\} \equiv \#\{E \mid E \subseteq V, V \setminus S \subseteq E^+\} \pmod{2}$ .

Indeed, if  $S = \emptyset$  both (i) and (ii) are trivial. Assume  $S \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $U = S^+ \setminus S$  and  $W = V \setminus S$ . Then (i) is equivalent to

(i') 
$$\#\{D \mid D \subseteq W, W \setminus U \subseteq D^+\} \equiv \#\{E \mid E \subseteq W \setminus U, E^+ = W\} \pmod{2}$$
.

for  $U \subseteq W$ . But this is precisely (ii), with W instead of V, and since |W| < |V| this holds by induction. This proves (i).

If we sum the equality (ii) over all  $S \subseteq T$ , where  $T \subseteq V$ , the left hand side counts pairs (D, S) with  $D^+ = V$  and  $S \subseteq T \setminus D$ , so that each D is seen  $2^{|T \setminus D|}$ times, which is 0 (mod 2) except when  $T \subseteq D$ . The right hand side counts pairs (E, S) with  $V \setminus T \subseteq V \setminus S \subseteq E^+$ , so that each E is seen  $2^{|E^+ \setminus (V \setminus T)|}$  times, which is 0 (mod 2) except when  $E^+ = V \setminus T$ . The result is

$$#\{D \mid T \subseteq D \subseteq V, \ D^+ = V\} \equiv #\{E \mid E \subseteq V, \ E^+ = V \setminus T\} \pmod{2}$$

which is precisely (i), but using the variable T instead of S. Since (i) holds, and by induction (ii) holds for all proper subsets S of T, it follows that (ii) also holds for S = T. This completes the proof of (i) and (ii).

Now we can prove the theorem. If  $V = \emptyset$  then there is precisely one dominating set. Otherwise, let  $x \in V$  and put  $W = V \setminus x$  and S = N(x), the set of neighbours of x. The dominating sets in V are the dominating sets D in Wthat intersect S, and the sets  $E \cup \{x\}$  where  $E \subseteq W$  with  $W \setminus S \subseteq E^+$ . By induction, the number of dominating sets (of the graph  $\Gamma \setminus x$ ) in W is odd. Adding equation (ii) (with W instead of V) yields the desired conclusion.  $\Box$  **Second proof:** Let n > 0, and look at the simplicial complex P of all nonempty non-dominating sets. The Euler characteristic  $\chi(P)$  is an alternating sum, and mod 2 one has  $|P| = \chi(P)$ . The Euler characteristic of a simplicial complex equals that of its barycentric subdivision. In this case that means that we go to the simplicial complex of all chains in the poset P.

Let f(A) be the set of all vertices of  $\Gamma$  not equal or adjacent to anything in A. If A is non-dominating, then also f(A) is non-dominating, and f defines a Galois correspondence so that  $f^2$  is a closure operator.

Consider an increasing chain  $C = (A_1, ..., A_m)$  in P. If all  $A_j$  in C are closed, then pair C with  $(f(A_1), ..., f(A_m))$ . Otherwise, if  $A_j$  is the last nonclosed element in the chain, and  $f^2(A_j) = A_{j+1}$  then pair C with  $C \setminus A_{j+1}$ , otherwise pair C with  $C \cup f^2(A_j)$ .

This pairing shows that the complex of all chains in the poset P has an even number of vertices, and hence |P| is even. Including the empty set we see that the total number of non-dominating sets is odd, and therefore the number of dominating sets is odd.

## Third proof: Let

$$A := \{ (S,T) \mid S, T \subseteq V, \ S \cap T = \emptyset, \ s \not\sim t \text{ for all } s \in S, t \in T \}.$$

A subset S of V is dominating precisely when  $\#\{T \mid (S,T) \in A\}$  is odd, and hence the number of dominating sets equals  $|A| \pmod{2}$ . But  $(S,T) \in A$  iff  $(T,S) \in A$ , and (S,T) = (T,S) only if  $S = T = \emptyset$ , so |A| is odd.  $\Box$