

Deviation inequalities: an overview

May 9, 2007

Denote

$$\delta_i f = \sup_{\sigma} f(\sigma^i) - f(\sigma)$$

the maximal effect of a spin-flip at site i for the function f , and, for $p \geq 1$

$$\|\delta f\|_p^p := \sum_i (\delta_i f)^p$$

for $p = 1$ this is the “triple norm” used by Liggett.

1 Gaussian and moment deviation bounds

1. Gaussian deviation bound

$$\mathbb{P}_{\mu} (|f - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f)| > t) \leq 2e^{-c \frac{t^2}{\|\delta f\|_2^2}} \quad (1.1)$$

is equivalent with **the exponential moment bound**

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(e^{\lambda(f - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f))}) \leq e^{c' \lambda^2 \|\delta f\|_2^2} \quad (1.2)$$

2. Devroye inequality

$$\text{Var}_{\mu}(f) = \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f))^2 \leq C \|\delta f\|_2^2 \quad (1.3)$$

3. Higher moment bounds

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f - \mathbb{E}_{\mu}(f))^{2p} \leq C_p \|\delta f\|_2^{2p} \quad (1.4)$$

for $p > 1$.

2 Log-Sobolev and Poincaré inequalities

Denote

$$\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \sum_i \int (\nabla_i f)^2 d\mu$$

this can be replaced by a more general Dirichlet form. In our context

$$\nabla_i f(\sigma) = f(\sigma^i) - f(\sigma)$$

Associated to a measure μ , we consider the reversible Glauber dynamics with generator

$$Lf = \sum_i c_i \nabla_i f \quad (2.1)$$

with c_i the flip rates such that

$$\mu(\sigma) c_i(\sigma) = \mu(\sigma^i) c_i(\sigma^i)$$

making μ into a reversible measure. The semigroup of the process generated by L is denoted by S_t .

1. Log Sobolev inequality

$$Ent_\mu(f^2) := \mathbb{E}_\mu \left(f^2 \log \left(\frac{f^2}{\mathbb{E}_\mu(f^2)} \right) \right) \leq c \mathcal{E}(f, f) \quad (2.2)$$

2. Poincaré inequality

$$Var_\mu(f) \leq c \mathcal{E}(f, f) \quad (2.3)$$

Log Sobolev implies Poincaré. Poincaré implies exponential relaxation in $L^2(\mu)$, i.e.,

$$Var_\mu(S_t f) \leq e^{-ct} \|f\|_2^2$$

Log-Sobolev implies exponential relaxation in $L^\infty(\mu)$.

3. Weak log-Sobolev inequality

There exist $s \mapsto \beta(s)$ such that for all $s > 0$

$$Ent_\mu(f^2) \leq \beta(s) \mathcal{E}(f, f) + s \|\delta f\|_2^2 \quad (2.4)$$

4. Weak Poincaré inequality

There exist $s \mapsto \beta(s)$ such that for all $s > 0$

$$Var_\mu(f) \leq \beta(s) \mathcal{E}(f, f) + s \|\delta f\|_2^2 \quad (2.5)$$

Typically, $\beta(s) \rightarrow \infty$ as $s \rightarrow 0$, otherwise we are back in the original case. Weak Poincaré implies relaxation of the semigroup with an estimate of the form

$$E_\mu((S_t f)^2) \leq \xi(t) \|\delta f\|_2^2$$

for f with $\int f d\mu = 0$, with ξ_t related to β :

$$\xi_t = \inf\{r > 0 : -\beta(r) \log(r) \leq 2t\}$$

idem for weak log-Sobolev and $L^\infty(\mu)$ relaxation. Weak log-Sobolev implies weak Poincare with

$$\beta_{WP}(s) = \frac{24\beta_{WL}(s/2 \log(1 + s/2))}{\log(1 + (1/2)s)}$$

Weak log-Sobolev implies *ordinary* Poincare if

$$\beta_{WP}(s) \leq c_1 \log(c_2/s)$$

for s small enough.

5. Log-Sobolev version Bobkov-Götze

$$Ent_\mu(e^f) \leq c \sum_i \int e^f (\nabla_i(f))^2 d\mu \quad (2.6)$$

Connections with previous inequalities:

- a) Poincare implies Devroye.
- b) Log Sobolev implies Gaussian deviation bound (Herbst argument) in the case the Dirichlet form comes from a *derivation*, e.g., for measures on \mathbb{R}^n , and

$$\mathcal{E}(f, f) = \int (\nabla f)^2 dx$$

with ∇ the (ordinary) gradient.

- c) Log-Sobolev version Bobkov-Götze implies Gaussian deviation bound.

3 Transportation cost inequalities

For two probability measures μ, ν on a metric space, we define the Wasserstein distances:

$$W_p(\mu, \nu) = \inf\left\{\left(\int d(x, y)^p \mathbb{P}(dx dy)\right)^{1/p} : \mathbb{P}_1 = \mu, \mathbb{P}_2 = \nu\right\} \quad (3.1)$$

only $p = 1, 2$ are of interest to us here. The information “distance” or relative entropy “distance” is defined via

$$h(\nu|\mu) = \int \log\left(\frac{d\nu}{d\mu}\right) d\nu \quad (3.2)$$

A transportation cost inequality $TC(p)$ is an inequality of the form

$$W_p(\nu, \mu) \leq \sqrt{2Ch(\nu|\mu)}$$

Implications with previous inequalities:

- a) TC (1) is equivalent with Gaussian deviation bound
- b) Log-Sobolev inequality implies TC(2).

References

- [1] S. Chatterjee, Concentration inequalities with exchangeable pairs, <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math/0507526>
- [2] J.R. Chazottes, P. Collet, C. Kuelske and F. Redig, <http://www.arxiv.org/abs/math/0503483>
- [3] M. Ledoux, The concentration of measure phenomenon, <http://www.lsp.upstlse.fr/Ledoux/amsweb.pdf>
- [4] P. Cattiaux, I. Gentil and A. Guillin, Weak logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and entropic convergence, <http://www.arxiv.org/pdf/math/0511255>