Buekenhout-Tits geometries and chain calculus

Abstract
We say some introductory words on Buekenhout-Tits geometries and describe chain calculus in $A_n$, $D_n$, $E_6$, $E_7$, $E_8$.

1 Buekenhout-Tits geometries

A Buekenhout-Tits geometry is a set $X$ of objects provided with a symmetric relation $*$ called incidence and a function $t : X \rightarrow I$ that assigns a type to each object, such that two objects of the same type are never incident. The set $I$ is the set of types. The cardinality $|I|$ is called the rank of the geometry.

Let us call the geometry $\Gamma(X, *, I, t)$.

A Buekenhout-Tits geometry can be viewed as a multipartite graph $\Gamma$ with vertex set $X$ and partition $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ (with $X_i = t^{-1}(i)$), with incidence taken as adjacency.

(Also common is the slightly different definition that adds a loop at every vertex of $\Gamma$, so that two objects of the same type are incident if and only if they coincide. It will not make any difference whether we draw a loop at every vertex or at no vertex.)

The geometry $\Gamma$ is called connected when the graph $\Gamma$ is connected. (Note that the graph without vertices is not connected: a connected graph has precisely 1 connected component, while the graph without vertices has no connected component.)

A flag $F$ in $\Gamma$ is a clique, a complete subgraph, a set of mutually incident objects. No two elements of a flag have the same type. The rank of $F$ is $|t(F)|$ (that is, $|F|$). The corank of $F$ is $|I \setminus t(F)|$.

The residue $\text{Res}(F)$ (also written $\Gamma_F$) is the geometry with set of objects $Y = \{y \in X \setminus F \mid F \cup \{y\}$ is a flag$\}$, incidence inherited from $\Gamma$, set of types $I \setminus t(F)$, and type function inherited from $\Gamma$.

The geometry $\Gamma$ is called residually connected when every residue of rank at least two is connected (and hence nonempty), and every residue of rank one is nonempty.

The intuition that belongs to a Buekenhout-Tits geometry is that of a collection of geometrical objects of various types (points, lines, planes, circles, ...) together with some incidence between them. An axiom system is imposed by giving a Buekenhout-Tits diagram.

1.1 Buekenhout-Tits diagrams

Let $D$ be a labelled graph on $I$, where for $i, j \in I$ the label $D_{ij}$ is a class of rank 2 geometries. We say that $D$ is a Buekenhout-Tits diagram for the geometry.
Γ = (X, *, I, t) when for every flag F of Γ of corank 2, say \( t(F) = I \setminus \{i, j\} \), the residue \( \Gamma_F \) belongs to the class of geometries \( \mathcal{D}_{ij} \).

This is a recursive definition of the meaning of a diagram in terms of what the labelled edges mean for rank 2 geometries.

There is a dictionary of traditional labels.

- \( \Diamond \) : Every \( i \)-object is incident to every \( j \)-object.
- \( \longrightarrow \) : The \( i \)-objects and \( j \)-objects form the points and lines of a projective plane.
- \( \longleftrightarrow \) : The \( i \)-objects and \( j \)-objects form the points and lines of a generalized quadrangle.
- \( \Longrightarrow \) : The \( i \)-objects and \( j \)-objects form the points and lines of a generalized hexagon.
- \( \bullet \overline{\bullet} \) : The \( i \)-objects and \( j \)-objects form the points and lines of an affine plane.
- \( \overline{\bullet} \bullet \) : The \( i \)-objects and \( j \)-objects form the points and edges of a complete graph.

Etc.

**Examples**

The geometry of points, lines and planes in a 3-dimensional projective space satisfies the axioms given by the diagram \( \bullet \overline{\bullet} \overline{\bullet} \).

The geometry of points, lines and planes in a 3-dimensional affine space satisfies the axioms given by the diagram \( \overline{\bullet} \bullet \bullet \).

The geometry of 8 corners, 12 edges and 6 faces of a cube satisfies the axioms given by the diagram \( \bullet \overline{\bullet} \overline{\bullet} \).

The geometry of totally singular points, lines, planes and solids in a geometry of type \( O^+_8(F) \) satisfies the axioms given by the diagram \( \overline{\bullet} \bullet \bullet \bullet \).

The geometry of totally singular points, lines, solids of the first kind, and solids of the second kind, in a geometry of type \( O^-_8(F) \) satisfies the axioms given by the diagram \( \bullet \overline{\bullet} \).

### 1.2 Simple properties

**Proposition 1.1** Let \( \Gamma \) be a residually connected Buekenhout-Tits geometry of finite rank.

(i) For any two distinct types \( i, j \in I \) the subgraph induced on \( X_i \cup X_j \) is connected.

(ii) If the types \( i, j \) belong to different connected components of the Buekenhout-Tits diagram, then each \( i \)-object is incident with each \( j \)-object.

**Proof:**

(i) Induction on the rank. The case of rank at most 2 holds by definition. Since \( \Gamma \) is connected, we can join two objects in \( X_i \cup X_j \) by a chain \( x_0 \ast x_1 \ast \cdots \ast x_l \). Next, for each \( x_h \) in this chain with a type different from \( i \) and \( j \), we can replace \( x_h \) by a chain in \( X_i \cup X_j \) in Res(\( x_h \)) (by the induction hypothesis and residual connectedness).

(ii) Induction on the rank. The case of rank at most 2 holds by definition. Using part (i) we can join two objects \( x \in X_i \) and \( y \in X_j \) by a chain \( x = x_0 \ast x_1 \ast \cdots \ast x_l = y \) contained in \( X_i \cup X_j \) (so that the types alternate between
i and j). Let the length l be chosen minimal, and suppose that l > 1. Let k
be a third type different from i and j. We may suppose that j and k belong
to different connected components of the Buekenhout-Tits diagram. In Res(x)
we can replace x0 * x1 * x2 by a path x0 = x0' * x1' * ... * x'n = x2 using only types
i and k. Now x3 and its two predecessors in the chain have types k-i-j, and
by the induction hypothesis we can omit the middle object (of type i). Then
x3 and its two predecessors have types i-k-j, and again we can omit the middle
object. It follows after m steps that x0 * x3, so that l was not minimal.

After this preparation, it is an easy exercise to prove the Veblen-Young axiom
from the An diagram, so that a (thick) geometry satisfying the An diagram is
a projective space.

2 Chain calculus

2.1 Existence of chains

We shall talk about chains x0 * x1 * ... * x_l (in some residually connected
Buekenhout-Tits geometry satisfying a given diagram) by just giving the se-
quence of types t_0, t_1, ..., t_l, where the object x_i is of type t_i.

A sequence of types given as a statement, denotes the claim that arbitrary
objects x_0 and x_l of the types occurring first and last can be joined by a chain of
objects of the indicated types, each incident with the preceding and following.
In the proofs we shall modify chains, but always keep the ends fixed.

\[ 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n-1 \rightarrow n, \quad A_n. \]

**Proposition A_n:** For 2 ≤ i ≤ n we have 1-i-(i-1). In particular, for n ≥ 2,
we have 1-2-1.

**Proof:** If i < n, then by induction we find that if 1-2-1-i-(i-1), then 1-2-
(i+1)-i-(i-1), hence 1-(i+1)-(i-1), hence 1-(i-1), so chains 1-(2-1)i-(i-1)
can be shortened to 1-i-(i-1), and by residual connectedness we are done. By
definition of A_2 we have 1-2-1 in A_2. Remains the case i = n ≥ 3. But there
we have 1-2-1-(n-1), so 1-2-n-(n-1), so 1-n-(n-1), by induction and since
1-2-1 holds.

\[ 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow n-2 \rightarrow n, \quad D_n. \]

**Proposition D_n:** Let n ≥ 2. Then the following hold.
(a) 1-(n-1)-n.
(b) 1-i-(i-1)-i for 2 ≤ i ≤ n - 2. In particular: 1-2-1-2.
(c) If n is even, then (n-1)-1-n. If n is odd, then n-1-n.

**Proof:** In D_2 we have 1-2, implying all our claims. For n = 3 everything
follows from Proposition A_3. Now use induction on n. For part (a) we find
by induction and Proposition A_n: if 1-2-1-(n-1)-n then 1-2-n-(n-1)-n so
1-n-(n-2)-n so 1-(n-1)-n, by induction and since 1-2-1 holds.

For part (b): 1-(n-1)-n-i, so 1-(n-1)-(i-1)-i, so 1-i-(i-1)-i.
For part (c): if \(n\) is even, then (by induction): \((n - 1)\cdot n - 1\cdot n - 2\cdot n\), so \((n - 1)\cdot (n - 1)\cdot n - 1\cdot n - 1\cdot n - 2\cdot (n - 1)\cdot n\), so \(n - 1\cdot (n - 1)\cdot n\), so \(n - 2\cdot n\), so \(n - 1\cdot n\), and if \(n\) is odd, then \(n - 1\cdot (n - 1)\cdot n\), so \(n - 1\cdot n\), so \(n - 1\cdot n\), so \(n - 1\cdot n\).

For \(E_6, E_7, E_8\) we shall omit the ‘-’ in type sequences.

**Proposition** \(E_6\):

(a) 151,
(b) 12165,
(c) if 1215 then 165.

**Proof:**

(c) 1215 yields 1265 and then 165.
(a) 12151 yields 1651, 1641, 1541, 151.
(b) 1515 yields 14615, 14625, 121625, 121325, 121365, 12165.
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**Proposition** \(E_7\):

(a) 1216,
(b) 1612,
(c) if 12121 then 161.

**Proof:**

(c) 12121 yields 12621 and then 161.
(a) 121216 yields 1616, 1626, 12126, 1216.
(b) 12121212 yields 161212, 161262, 16162, 16262, 121262, 12162, 12126, 1612.
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**Proposition** \(E_8\):

(a) 17171,
(b) if 17121 then 12121,
(c) 121212.

**Proof:**

(b) 17121 yields 172321, 17231, 121231, 12131, 12121.
(a) 1717121 yields 1712121, 1212121, 121232721, 1213271, 12723271, 1721271, 17171.
(c) 12121212 yields 1212123272, 121213272, 1212723272, 12171272, (by (b)) 1212172, 12123272, 1213272, 12723272, 17223272, 17172, 171212, 121212.
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For the collinearity graph \(\Gamma\) (vertices: objects of type 1; adjacency: both in the residue of some flag of cotype 1 - in our cases this is equivalent to both incident to some object of type 2) the above means the following:

\(A_n\): \(\Gamma\) is a clique (has diameter 1)
\(D_n\): \(\Gamma\) has diameter 2; any line carries a point at distance at most one from a given point
\(E_6\): \(\Gamma\) has diameter 2 - indeed, any two vertices are in a \(D_5\) subgraph
$E_7$: $\Gamma$ has diameter 3; any two vertices at distance 2 are in a $D_6$ subgraph; any line carries a point at distance at most two from a given point

$E_8$: $\Gamma$ has diameter 3; if $x$ and $y$ are two points at distance 2 in a $D_7$ subgraph, then $y$ has no neighbours at distance 3 from $x$; any line carries a point at distance at most two from a given point

For the relation between points $x$ and symplecta $S$ (objects of type $m - 1$) in $E_m$, the above implies:

- $E_6$: $x^\perp \cup S$ is either empty or a projective 4-space.
- $E_7$: $x^\perp \cup S$ is either a single point or a projective 5-space.
- $E_8$: $x^\perp \cup S$ is either empty or a line or a projective 6-space.