

MATHEMATICAL CENTRE TRACTS 106 (1979) 99-105.

7

TURÁN THEORY AND THE LOTTO PROBLEM

A.E. BROUWER & M. VOORHOEVE

REPRINT FROM:  
MATHEMATICAL CENTRE TRACTS 106 (1979)  
"PACKING AND COVERING IN COMBINATORICS"  
(A. SCHRIJVER, ed.)

TURÁN THEORY AND THE LOTTO PROBLEM

A.E. BROUWER & M. VOORHOEVE

1. TURÁN THEORY

Let  $k, \ell, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k \leq \ell \leq n$ . We define the *Turán number*  $T(n, k, \ell)$  as the smallest number of  $k$ -subsets of an  $n$ -set  $X$  such that any  $\ell$ -subset of  $X$  contains at least one of these  $k$ -subsets. For example:  $T(7, 4, 5) = 7$ . (Take  $X = \{0, 1, \dots, 6\}$ ; the 4-subsets are all translates (mod 7) of  $\{1, 2, 3, 5\}$ ; this is easily seen to be optimal.) The relation between Turán numbers and covering numbers is discussed in Chapter 4 and 5. The above definition can be formulated in the language of hypergraphs (see Chapter 1) as follows: for a hypergraph  $H = (X, \mathcal{E})$ , let its *stability number*  $\beta(H)$  be the maximal cardinality of a stable subset of  $H$  (i.e. a set containing no edge). Then  $T(n, k, \ell)$  is the minimal number of edges of a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph  $H$  with  $n$  vertices such that  $\beta(H) < \ell$ . P. TURÁN [10] posed the problem of determining  $T(n, k, \ell)$ . In this section we give some estimates for this number. Notice that  $T(n, k, \ell)$  is increasing in  $n$  and  $k$  and decreasing in  $\ell$ . Trivially,  $T(n, 1, \ell) = n - \ell + 1$ . The numbers  $T(n, 2, \ell)$  and the corresponding graphs are determined by the following theorem of TURÁN [9].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $n \geq \ell \geq 2$  and let  $G_{n, \ell}$  be the graph on  $n$  points consisting of  $\ell - 1$  disjoint cliques of cardinality either  $\lfloor \frac{n}{\ell - 1} \rfloor$  or  $\lceil \frac{n}{\ell - 1} \rceil$ . Then every graph  $G$  with  $n$  vertices and stability number less than  $\ell$  that has the smallest possible number of edges is isomorphic to  $G_{n, \ell}$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $\ell \leq n \leq 2\ell - 2$ , the theorem is immediate. We proceed by induction on  $n$ . Denote the number of edges of a graph  $G$  by  $m(G)$ . Let  $G_X$  be a graph with vertex set  $X$ ,  $|X| = n + \ell - 1$ , and stability number  $< \ell$  such that  $m(G_X)$  is minimal. Then  $\beta(G) = \ell - 1$ . Let  $S$  be a stable subset of  $X$  with  $|S| = \ell - 1$ . Let  $G_{X \setminus S}$  be the subgraph of  $G_X$  induced by  $X \setminus S$  (see Chapter 1).

By the maximality of  $S$ , each point in  $X \setminus S$  is adjacent to a point in  $S$ ,

so

$$m(G_X) - m(G_{X \setminus S}) \geq n.$$

By the induction hypothesis,  $m(G_{X \setminus S}) \geq m(G_{n, \ell})$ , so

$$m(G_X) \geq m(G_{n, \ell}) + n.$$

It is easily checked by counting edges that

$$m(G_{n+\ell-1, \ell}) = m(G_{n, \ell}) + n.$$

Hence  $m(G_X) = m(G_{n+\ell-1, \ell})$  and all the inequalities must therefore have been equalities. So  $m(G_{X \setminus S}) = m(G_{n, \ell})$  and, by the induction hypothesis,  $G_{X \setminus S} = G_{n, \ell}$ . Furthermore, each point of  $X \setminus S$  is adjacent in  $G_X$  to one and only one point of  $S$ . If two points from different cliques were adjacent to the same point  $s$  in  $S$ , this would contradict the maximality of  $S$ , so  $G_X$  consists of  $\ell-1$  disjoint cliques of size

$$\lfloor \frac{n}{\ell-1} \rfloor + 1 \text{ or } \lceil \frac{n}{\ell-1} \rceil + 1, \text{ so } G_X \cong G_{n+\ell-1, \ell}. \quad \square$$

REMARK. The case  $\ell = 3$  appeared in 1910 as problem 28, by W. Mantel, in "Wiskundige Opgaven" of the Dutch Mathematical Society.

COROLLARY.  $T(n, 2, \ell) = (q-1)(n-1)(\ell-1)q$ , where  $q = \lfloor \frac{n}{\ell-1} \rfloor$ .

Generalizing the above idea of taking disjoint cliques, we find for general  $k$  the upper bound

$$(1) \quad T(n, k, \ell) \leq \binom{n}{k} \left[ \frac{\ell-1}{k-1} \right]^{1-k}.$$

(Partition  $X$  into  $\lfloor \frac{\ell-1}{k-1} \rfloor$  subsets  $S_i$  of almost equal size and take for  $E$  the collection of all  $k$ -subsets of each  $S_i$ .)

KATONA, NEMETZ & SIMONOVITS [6] proved that

$$T(n, k, \ell) \geq \frac{n}{n-k} T(n-1, k, \ell).$$

(Proof: For each point  $x \in X$  there are at least  $T(n-1, k, \ell)$   $k$ -sets not containing  $x$ . Now count pairs  $(x, E)$ , where  $x \notin E \in E$ .)

Since  $T(\ell, k, \ell) = 1$ , we find by induction

THEOREM 2.  $T(n, k, \ell) \geq \left[ \frac{n}{n-k} \cdot \left[ \frac{n-1}{n-k-1} \cdot \dots \cdot \left[ \frac{\ell}{\ell-k+1} \right] \dots \right] \right] \geq \binom{n}{k} / \binom{\ell}{k}$ .

COROLLARY. For any hypergraph  $H = (X, E)$  such that each edge of  $H$  contains at least  $k$  points, we have  $\beta(H) \geq \lfloor |X| / \sqrt[k]{|E|} \rfloor$ .

(Proof: Let  $n = |X|$  and  $m = |E|$ . If  $m \leq (n/\ell)^k$  then  $m < \binom{n}{k} / \binom{\ell}{k} \leq T(n, k, \ell)$ , so  $\beta(H) \geq \ell$ .)

ERDŐS & SPENCER [4] generalized Theorem 2 by proving

PROPOSITION.  $T(n, k, \ell) \geq (a - (\ell - 1)) \binom{n}{k} / \binom{a}{k}$  for  $\ell \leq a \leq n$ .

PROOF.  $T(n, k, \ell) \geq \frac{n}{n-k} T(n-1, k, \ell) \geq \dots \geq \left( \frac{n}{k} \right) / \binom{a}{k} T(a, k, \ell)$ . Now notice that  $T(a, k, \ell) \geq T(a, 1, \ell) = a - \ell + 1$ .  $\square$

We can also use  $T(a, k, \ell) \geq T(a, 2, \ell)$  and Turán's theorem (Theorem 1) to obtain for  $k \geq 2$

$$T(n, k, \ell) \geq \left( \left[ \frac{a}{\ell-1} \right] - 1 \right) (a - \frac{1}{2}(\ell-1) \left[ \frac{a}{\ell-1} \right]) \binom{n}{k} / \binom{a}{k}, \quad \text{for } \ell \leq a \leq n.$$

This is stronger than Theorem 2 and Erdős & Spencer's result, but only in extreme cases is it essentially stronger.

CHVÁTAL [3] showed how to use lower bounds on  $T(n, k, \ell)$  in order to obtain upper bounds for the same function (with different parameters). He proved

THEOREM 3.  $T\left(\binom{n}{k}, \binom{\ell}{k}, \binom{n}{k} - T(n, k, \ell) + 1\right) \leq \binom{n}{\ell}$ .

PROOF. Let  $X = P_k(U)$ , where  $U$  is an  $n$ -set and choose an  $(\binom{n}{k} - T(n, k, \ell) + 1)$ -subset  $Z$  of  $X$ . Then  $X \setminus Z$  has  $T(n, k, \ell) - 1$  elements, so there is a  $Y_1 \in P_\ell(U)$  such that no  $k$ -subset of  $Y_1$  is an element of  $X \setminus Z$ . Hence  $P_k(Y_1) \subset Z$ . This proves that each  $(\binom{n}{k} - T(n, k, \ell) + 1)$ -subset of  $X$  contains a set of the collection  $E = \{P_k(Y) \mid Y \in P_\ell(U)\}$ . Since  $|E| = \binom{n}{\ell}$ , this proves the theorem.  $\square$

COROLLARY.  $T(n, k, \ell) < 1 + \binom{n}{k} (1 - \binom{n}{\ell}^{-1/t})$ , where  $t = \binom{\ell}{k}$ .

PROOF. Set  $M = \binom{n}{k}$ ,  $N = \binom{n}{k} - T(n, k, \ell) + 1$ ,  $S = \binom{\ell}{k}$ . By Theorems 2 and 3

$$\binom{n}{\ell} \geq T(M, S, N) \geq \binom{M}{S} / \binom{N}{S} > (M/N)^S.$$

Substituting the given expressions for  $M, N$  and  $S$  we obtain the corollary.  $\square$

For certain  $n, k, \ell$  this is an improvement of Turán's bound (1).

LOREA [7] determines some Turán numbers with the help of the affine spaces  $AG(k, 2)$ . By a result of BROUWER & SCHRIJVER [2] the minimum cardinality of a vertex subset of  $AG(k, 2)$  intersecting all hyperplanes is  $k+1$ . So each set of cardinality  $2^k - k$  contains a hyperplane. Since there are  $2 \cdot (2^k - 1)$  hyperplanes, this proves

$$T(2^k, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k-k}) \leq 2 \cdot (2^k - 1).$$

By a direct application of Theorem 2 we find

$$T(2^k, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k-k}) \geq 2 \cdot (2^k - 1).$$

Hence

$$T(2^k, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k-k}) = 2(2^k - 1),$$

and  $AG(k, 2)$  with the hyperplanes form a so-called *Turán hypergraph*.

## 2. THE LOTTO PROBLEM

In this section we treat the problem of determining the minimal number of lotto forms one must fill in to be assured of winning a prize. Formalized, this becomes the question of finding the minimum number  $L(n, k, \ell, t)$  of  $k$ -subsets of an  $n$ -set  $X$ , such that any  $\ell$ -subset of  $X$  meets one of these  $k$ -subsets in at least  $t$  points. (Assume  $0 \leq t \leq k, \ell \leq n$ .)

For lotto in Holland,  $n = 41$ ,  $k = 6$ ,  $\ell = 7$ ,  $t = 4$ ; in Germany  $n = 49$ ,  $k = \ell = 6$ ,  $t = 3$ . The number  $L(n, k, \ell, t)$  is increasing in  $n$  and  $t$  and decreasing in  $k$  and  $\ell$ . Trivially,  $L(n, k, \ell, 0) = 1$  and  $L(n, k, \ell, 1) = \lceil \frac{n - \ell + 1}{k} \rceil$ . When  $t = \ell$  we have the covering problem:  $L(n, k, t, t) = C(t, k, n)$ . When  $t = k$  we have Turán's problem:  $L(n, k, \ell, k) = T(n, k, \ell)$ . Bounds for  $C(t, k, v)$  and  $T(n, k, \ell)$  usually can be generalized to bounds for  $L(n, k, \ell, t)$ . The analogue of Theorem 1 becomes

THEOREM 5. (HANANI, ORNSTEIN & SÓS [4])

$$(2) \quad L(n, k, \ell, 2) \geq \frac{n(n - \ell + 1)}{k(k - 1)(\ell - 1)},$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(n, k, \ell, 2) \cdot \frac{k \cdot (k - 1)(\ell - 1)}{n(n - \ell + 1)} = 1.$$

Equality in (2) holds iff  $n = m(\ell-1)$  ( $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and there exists an  $S(2, k, m)$  Steiner system. (In particular when  $k \leq 5$  and  $m \equiv 1$  or  $k \pmod{k(k-1)}$ .)

PROOF. Suppose  $H = (X, E)$  is a  $k$ -uniform hypergraph with  $n$  vertices and  $L(n, k, \ell, 2)$  edges such that each  $\ell$ -subset of  $X$  meets some edge in at least 2 points. Construct the graph  $G = (X, E^*)$  whose edges are all pairs of points contained in any edge of  $H$ . Then

$$|E^*| \geq T(n, 2, \ell) \geq \frac{1}{2}n(n-\ell+1)/(\ell-1)$$

by Theorem 1, since each  $\ell$ -set contains an edge of  $G$ . Since each edge  $E$  of  $H$  contains only  $\binom{k}{2}$  pairs, we have

$$L(n, k, \ell, 2) = |E| \geq \frac{n(n-\ell+1)}{k(k-1)(\ell-1)}.$$

If equality holds in (2), then necessarily  $T(n, 2, \ell) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-\ell+1)/(\ell-1)$ , so  $(\ell-1) | n$ . The graph  $G$  then consists of  $\ell-1$  cliques of cardinality  $m = n/(\ell-1)$ . For equality in (2) it is also necessary that the pairs in these  $m$ -cliques are covered by  $k$ -sets, each pair lying in precisely one  $k$ -set, so each  $m$ -clique carries an  $S(2, k, m)$  Steiner system. These conditions are clearly also sufficient. For the asymptotic result, notice that

$$L(n, k, \ell, 2) \leq (\ell-1) \cdot C(2, k, \lceil \frac{n}{\ell-1} \rceil).$$

By Wilson's theorem (see Chapter 5)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C(2, k, m)}{\binom{m}{2} / \binom{k}{2}} = 1.$$

Combining these results we find

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(n, k, \ell, 2) \cdot k(k-1)}{\left(\lceil \frac{n}{\ell-1} \rceil\right) \cdot \left(\lceil \frac{n}{\ell-1} \rceil - 1\right) (\ell-1)} = 1$$

thus completing the proof.  $\square$

When  $C(2, k, m)$  is close to the Schönheim bound for  $m$  near  $\frac{n}{\ell-1}$  it is often possible to determine  $L(n, k, \ell, 2)$  exactly. For instance:

$$L(2m+1, 3, 3, 2) = C(2, 3, m) + C(2, 3, m+1),$$

$$L(4m+2, 3, 3, 2) = 2 \cdot C(2, 3, 2m+1),$$

$$L(4m, 3, 3, 2) = C(2, 3, 2m-1) + C(2, 3, 2m+1)$$

(see BROUWER [1]). Generalizing the above idea, we find

THEOREM 5.  $L(n, k, \ell, t) \geq T(n, t, \ell) / \binom{k}{t}$ .

Hence, by Theorem 2, we have

COROLLARY.  $L(n, k, \ell, t) \geq \frac{\binom{n}{t}}{\binom{\ell}{t} \binom{k}{t}}$ .

F. STERBOUL [8] gives the following two estimates, which are sometimes stronger for small  $n$ , though weaker for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $k, \ell, t$  fixed.

THEOREM 6.

$$(i) \quad L(n, k, \ell, t) \geq \max_{\ell \leq a \leq n} \left[ \binom{a-\ell+1}{k-t+1} \binom{n}{a} / \sum_{i=t}^k \binom{k}{i} \binom{n-k}{a-i} \right]$$

$$(ii) \quad L(n, k, \ell, t) \geq \max_{\ell \leq a \leq n} \left[ (a-\ell+1) \binom{n}{a} / \sum_{i=t}^k \binom{k}{i} \binom{n-k}{a-i} (i-t+1) \right].$$

Regarding upper bounds, no good general constructions are known.

STERBOUL [8] gives a construction for the French (and German) lotto, proving that

$$L(49, 6, 6, 3) \leq 175.$$

The reader is hereby invited to give a construction for the Dutch lotto.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.E. BROUWER, *Some lotto numbers*, Math. Centre report (to appear).
- [2] A.E. BROUWER & A. SCHRIJVER, *The Blocking Number of an Affine Space*, J. Combinatorial Theory (A) 24 (1978) 251-253.
- [3] V. CHVÁTAL, *Hypergraphs and Ramseyan theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1971) 434-440.
- [4] P. ERDŐS & J. SPENCER, *Probabilistic methods in combinatorics*, Academic Press, New York, 1974.

- [5] H. HANANI, D. ORNSTEIN & V.T. SÓS, *On the lottery problem*, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl. 9 (1964) 155-158.
- [6] G. KATONA, T. NEMETZ & M. SIMONOVITS, *On a graph-problem of Turán*, Mat. Lapok 15 (1964) 228-238 (in Hungarian; Russian and English summaries).
- [7] M. LOREA, *On Turán hypergraphs*, Discrete Math. 22 (1978) 281-285.
- [8] F. STERBOUL, *Le problème du loto*, Proc. Coll. Intern. "Mathématiques Discrètes: Codes et hypergraphes" (Brussels, 1978) (to appear).
- [9] P. TURÁN, *Egy gráfelméleti szélsőérték feladatrol*, Mat. Fiz. Lapok 48 (1941) 436-452; see also *On the theory of graphs*, Colloq. Math. 3 (1954) 19-30.
- [10] P. TURÁN, *Research problems*, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl. 6 (1961) 417-423.