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ABSTRACT

We give a partition of the thirty Steiner triple systems on seven points into three sets of ten, each set covering all triples exactly twice. In the language of designs, considering the 35 triples on seven points as points, we look for block designs with the restriction that each block is a Steiner triple system. In particular $k = 7$ and $b = 35$. It is well known since Cayley that such a design does not exist for $\lambda = 1$, while it was known for $\lambda = 3$. Here we give it for $\lambda = 2$ thus proving the existence of the design for each $\lambda > 1$.
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0. INTRODUCTION

If \( E \) is a design (i.e. a collection of subsets of a finite set) and \( D \) is a collection of designs then a \( \lambda \)-cover of \( E \) by \( D \), called \( C_\lambda(E,D) \), is a collection \( \{ D_j \mid j \in J \} \) of designs such that

(i) each \( D_j \) is isomorphic to an element of \( D \)
(ii) \( \bigcup \{ D_j \mid j \in J \} = E \)
(iii) each \( E \) occurs in exactly \( \lambda \) of the \( D_j \).

If \( \lambda = 1 \) we will drop the subscript.

Specializing \( D \) and \( E \) we get all kinds of familiar designs some of which are needed below.

1. Let \( I_n \) be a fixed set of size \( n \), and let \( \mathcal{B}_k(n) \) be the collection of all \( k \)-subsets of \( I_n \). Then \( C_{\lambda}(\mathcal{B}_k(n), \{ \mathcal{B}_k(k) \mid k \in K \}) \) is a pairwise balanced design with block sizes in \( K \): a PBD\((K,\lambda;n)\). In particular \( C_{\lambda}(\mathcal{B}_3(n), \{ \mathcal{B}_3(k) \}) \) is a BIBD\((b,v,r,k,\lambda)\). More generally, \( C_{\lambda}(\mathcal{S}_C(v), \{ \mathcal{S}_C(k) \}) \) is a t-design.

2. Let \( D \) be the collection of all Steiner triple systems on \( v \) points:
   \[ D := \mathcal{S}_3(v) := \text{STS}(v) \] and \( E \) the collection of all triples on \( v \) points:
   \[ E := \mathcal{S}_3(v) \], then an \( A_{\lambda}(v) := C_{\lambda}(D,E) \) is a \( \lambda \)-fold cover of all triples with Steiner triple systems.

In particular an \( A_1(v) \) is a partition of all triples in disjoint STS\((v)\)'s. Such partitions have been found among others by T.P. Kirkman [3], R.H.F. Denniston [2], A. Rosa [5] and L. Teirlinck [6]; it is conjectured that they exist for each \( v \neq 7 \) (for which an STS\((v)\) exists, that is, for \( v = 1 \) or \( 3 \) (mod 6)), and they are known for all \( v < 100 \) except \( v = 37, 85, 97 \). For \( v = 7 \) however the maximum number of pairwise disjoint STSs is 2 (Cayley [1]), so it is impossible to cover \( \mathcal{S}_3(7) \) with 5 disjoint STS\((7)\). Lindner & Rosa [4] showed the existence of an \( A_2(7) \), and in this note we will show the existence of exactly two different \( A_2(7) \) so that for each \( \lambda > 1 \) an \( A_\lambda(7) \) exists.

3. Finally, take \( D = A_2(7) \) and let \( \mathcal{S}_5 \) be the collection of all STS\((7)\).

Note that \( |A| = 5 \) for each \( A \in A_2(7) \) and \( |\mathcal{S}_5| = 30 \). Obviously a \( C(\mathcal{S}_5, A_2(7)) \) can exist only if \( 5|30 \) i.e. if \( \lambda \in \{1,2,3,6\} \). For \( \lambda = 6 \)
we have the trivial $C(S_p, A_p(7))$ obtained by taking $\{S_p\}$. Lindner & Rosa showed the existence of a $C(S_p, A_p(7))$ i.e. a partition of the 30 STS(7) in two $A_p(7)$'s, and here we will show the existence of exactly two:

- $C(S_p, A_p(7))$ one containing $A_p(7)$'s of different types while the other contains three isomorphic $A_p(7)$. Finally, since $A_p(7)$ does not exist neither does $C(S_p, A_p(7))$. This settles all cases.

1. TRIPLES

On 7 points there are $\binom{7}{3} = 35$ triples. These triples can be divided into five cyclic 1-designs (represent a triple by a characteristic vector of length 7)

- A: circ(1110000)
- B: circ(1001100)
- C: circ(1010100)
- D: circ(1011000)
- E: circ(1101000)

In this way each triple gets a name: $A_0 = (1110000)$, $A_1 = (0111000)$, $C_0 = (0100101)$ etc.

2. STEINER TRIPLE SYSTEMS

On 7 points there are 30 Steiner triple systems (all isomorphic), partitioned into six orbits (with sizes 7,7,7,7,1,1) under the action of a cyclic shift.

We give each of the orbits $X$ the element $X_0$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L₀</th>
<th>110000 i.e.</th>
<th>A₀</th>
<th>I₁₀₀₀₀</th>
<th>0001101</th>
<th>K₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1001100</td>
<td>B₀</td>
<td>0110001</td>
<td>D₆</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000011</td>
<td>A₃</td>
<td>1000011</td>
<td>A₃</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0101010</td>
<td>C₁</td>
<td>0011010</td>
<td>E₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0100101</td>
<td>C₄</td>
<td>0100110</td>
<td>B₁</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0011001</td>
<td>B₆</td>
<td>1010100</td>
<td>C₀</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0010110</td>
<td>D₂</td>
<td>1101000</td>
<td>F₀</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
That there are no more Steiner triple systems can be seen for instance from the fact that $V$ has an automorphism group of order $168 = 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 4$ (and the fact that all STS(7) are isomorphic, which is readily seen by looking at $I_0$).

Each triple occurs in six STS(7), i.e. we have the trivial $A_6(7) = S_7$ and therefore a unique $C(S_7, A_6(7))$.

Incidence between triples and STSs can be read off the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>IV</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>VI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>013</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>D-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>023</td>
<td>0-6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here the entry 05 in row A, column I means that $I_0$ contains the triples $A_0$ and $A_6$ (and hence $I_1$ contains $A_1$ and $A_6$ etc.).

Intersections between STSs: two STS(7) have either 0 or 1 or 3 triples in common. If we take all STS(7) with intersection 1 with a given STS(7), then it is found that these STS(7) also have mutual intersection 1. In this
Given a pair of intersecting triples, there are usually 2 STS(10)'s containing both, and these differ in at least one or two of the 3, hence are in different $P_3(9)$ sub-
sets. If we let both STS's be the set $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5\}$ of points (5 vs 8)
and the triples be lines (6 vs 8), then each point is incident with 8 lines (7 vs 9)
and each line contains 8 points (8 vs 1), and 8 points determine a line (9 vs 9).
This yields a representation of $PG(3,2)$ (as STS(8)).
way we get the two sets \((I_{0,6}, IV_{0,6}, VI)\) and \((II_{0,6}, III_{0,6}, V)\); where two
STS(7) have exactly one triple in common iff they are in the same set.
Each of these two sets covers all triples exactly twice, that is, we have
here two isomorphic \(A_3(7)\) covering \(S_2\); see \(C(S_2,A_3(7))\).
If two STS(7) have intersection \(3\) then the three triples they have in com-
mon intersect in a singleton; for instance \(I_0 \cap IV_0 = (B_0, B_6, C_1)\) and
\(B_0 \cap B_6 = B_0 \cap C_1 = B_6 \cap C_1 = B_0 \cap B_6 \cap C_1 = (3)\):
\[
\begin{align*}
B_0 &= (1001000) \\
B_6 &= (0011001) \\
C_1 &= (0101010) \\
(3) &= (0001000)
\end{align*}
\]
Conversely, given a singleton, then it is associated in this way with 90
pairs of STS(7) with intersection \(3\).
The following table gives for each singleton and a representative from
each orbit of STS(7)'s the uniquely determined STS(7) such that the singu-
ton is associated with this pair of triple systems.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(I_0)</td>
<td>(I_1)</td>
<td>(I_2)</td>
<td>(I_3)</td>
<td>(I_4)</td>
<td>(I_5)</td>
<td>(I_6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{0,6})</td>
<td>(I_{0,6})</td>
<td>(I_{0,6})</td>
<td>(I_{0,6})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{0,6})</td>
<td>(I_{1,6})</td>
<td>(I_{2,6})</td>
<td>(I_{3,6})</td>
<td>(I_{4,6})</td>
<td>(I_{5,6})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{0,6})</td>
<td>(I_{1,6})</td>
<td>(I_{2,6})</td>
<td>(I_{3,6})</td>
<td>(I_{4,6})</td>
<td>(I_{5,6})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{0,6})</td>
<td>(I_{1,6})</td>
<td>(I_{2,6})</td>
<td>(I_{3,6})</td>
<td>(I_{4,6})</td>
<td>(I_{5,6})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{0,6})</td>
<td>(I_{1,6})</td>
<td>(I_{2,6})</td>
<td>(I_{3,6})</td>
<td>(I_{4,6})</td>
<td>(I_{5,6})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{0,6})</td>
<td>(I_{1,6})</td>
<td>(I_{2,6})</td>
<td>(I_{3,6})</td>
<td>(I_{4,6})</td>
<td>(I_{5,6})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{0,6})</td>
<td>(I_{1,6})</td>
<td>(I_{2,6})</td>
<td>(I_{3,6})</td>
<td>(I_{4,6})</td>
<td>(I_{5,6})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_{0,6})</td>
<td>(I_{1,6})</td>
<td>(I_{2,6})</td>
<td>(I_{3,6})</td>
<td>(I_{4,6})</td>
<td>(I_{5,6})</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Finally, each STS(7) is disjoint from (the remaining) 8 systems; for example
\(I_0\) is disjoint from \(II_2, II_4, II_5, III_0, III_1, III_2, III_3, V\). Since these
8 systems have always mutual intersection \(1\), it follows that there are
no three mutually disjoint STS(7). In particular it is not possible to cover
all triples with 5 mutually disjoint STS(7), i.e. an \(A_3(7)\) does not exist.
Gebruikt de volgende Johnsonsford:

\[ A(n, 2\delta, w) < \frac{\delta}{\ln(\omega + 1)} \quad \text{(naar koren positief)} \]

dan

\[ A(35, 12, 7) < \frac{6.35}{\ln(6.35 - 7(35 - 7))} = \frac{6.35}{\ln(6.35 - 28)} = 5. \]

Dit STS(16) in veld PG(3,2).
3. NOTE ON THE $A_3(7)$. 

The $A_3(7)$ indicated above is an interesting system. Firstly, if we view the 35 triples as points, then the 15 STS(7) are vectors of length 35 and weight 7 and mutual distance 12. But by the Johnson bound the maximum number of vectors with these properties is 15, i.e. $A_3(7)$ is an optimal constant weight code showing that $A(35,12,7) = 15$.

Secondly, if we view the 15 STS(7) as points then each triple determines a triple of STS(7) (since the 15 STS(7) cover all triples thrice), while each pair of STS(7) determines one triple [their intersection]. Therefore we have a block design with $v = 15$, $b = 35$, $k = 3$, $r = 7$, $\lambda = 1$ in other words, an STS(15).

It is easy to see that there are other $A_3(7)$'s besides the one indicated above. In fact, if one picks a triple (say $D_6$) then the three STS(7) from $H_1 := \{I_0, I_4, I_5, I_6, V, V, V\}$ and the three STS(7) from $H_2 := \{I_{11}, I_{12}, I_{13}, I_{14}, V, V, V\}$ contain $D_6$ (ac. III, III, III, III, III, III, III, III, III) contain the same triples, namely besides $D_6$ both sets of three STS(7) cover exactly those triples which intersect $D_6$ in a single point. Therefore one might exchange $H_1 := \{I_4, I_5, I_6, V, V, V\}$ and $H_2 := \{I_{11}, I_{12}, I_{13}, I_{14}, V, V, V\}$, thus obtaining two new $A_3(7)$: $H'_{1,2}$ and $H''_{1,2}$ (which of course together form a $(S_7, A_3(7))$).

This process may be repeated, exchanging three STS(7) between $H'_{1,2}$ and $H''_{1,2}$ giving $H''_{1,2}$ and $H''_{1,2}$; in this way we obtain at least three non-isomorphic types of $A_3(7)$ namely $H''_{1,2}$, $H'_{1,2}$, and $H''_{1,2}$. I do not know whether there are any other types besides these.

4. DESCRIPTION OF THE TWO TYPES OF $A_2(7)$. 

In order to examine all possibilities for an $A_2(7)$ we first need to know how many different (i.e. non-isomorphic) pairs of STS(7) there are. Of course the cardinality of the intersection of the pair is an invariant; on the other hand, by examining a few permutations it is readily seen that this is the only invariant; two pairs of STS(7) are isomorphic iff they have the same intersection.
Now considering the number of triples of each of the types A, B, C, D and E contained in STSs of each of the orbits it is found that an $A_2(7)$ must contain two disjoint STS$(7)$, say V and VI. Now it follows in the same way that we need 3 systems of types I and IX each and 1 system of types III and IV each. [Note that an $A_2(7)$ contains 10 STS$(7)$.] By using an appropriate cyclic shift we may ensure the the occurrence of IIIo.

The collection (V, VI, IIIo) can be completed to an $A_2(7)$ in four ways, giving the systems

1. V, VI, IIIo, I1, I2, I3, II1, II2, IV0
2. V, VI, IIIo, I1, I2, I3, II1, II2, IV6
3. V, VI, IIIo, I1, I2, I3, II1, II2, IV5
4. V, VI, IIIo, I1, I2, I3, II1, IV0

The permutation (016)(245) maps 2. onto 3. and (015)(246) maps 3. onto 4., hence the last three systems are isomorphic. The first two systems however are not isomorphic as can be seen as follows:

Both systems can be partitioned in five pairs of systems with intersection 3 (we write the point associated with such a pair in front of it):

1. 3: (I0, II0), 3: (I2, II2), 3: (I3, II3), 3: (V, IV0), 3: (VI, III0)
2. 6: (I0, II0), 5: (I2, II2), 0: (I3, II3), 2: (V, IV6), 3: (VI, III0)

That is, the first type of $A_2(7)$ has associated with it a unique point (in the above case the point 3) while the second type of $A_2(7)$ has associated with it a pair of points (those not occurring as point associated with a pair with intersection 3, in the above case the pair 1, 6).

The structure of an $A_2(7)$ of the first kind - called a centered $A_2(7)$ - can be described as follows:

1. Colour of the edges of a $K_6$ with five colours (three edges of each colour).
2. Add a seventh point (=) to each of these edges; this gives 15 triples divided in five groups of three.
3. Each group of three triples can be completed to an STS(7) in exactly
two ways. This gives five pairs of STS(7).

It is easily seen that these ten STS(7) form a centered $A_2(7)$, and since all centered $A_2(7)$ are isomorphic each $A_2(7)$ is obtained in this way. Since there are 6 ways to colour a $K_6$ there are 6 $A_2(7)$ with a given center, and 42 $A_2(7)$ in all.

Two centered $A_2(7)$ with the same center have exactly one pair (with intersection no 3) in common; given an $A_2(7)$ with center (i) and a point $j \neq i$ then there is exactly one $A_2(7)$ with center ($j$) disjoint from the given one. Two such disjoint centered $A_2(7)$ determine a unique $C(S_5, A_2(7))$ the third $A_2(7)$ simply consisting of all STS(7) not occurring in the first two. This third one is of the second kind, with associated pair (i,j). Therefore there are 6 $A_2(7)$ of the second kind associated with a given pair (i,j), and 126 $A_2(7)$ in all.

Given an $A_2(7)$ associated with the pair (i,j) then an $A_2(7)$ of the second kind disjoint with it must be associated with a pair (i,k) or (j,k) with k \notin (i,j). Indeed, given an $A_2(7)$ associated with (i,j) and a point (k) there is exactly one $A_2(7)$ associated with (i,k) disjoint with it. Two such disjoint $A_2(7)$ of the second kind determine a unique $C(S_5, A_2(7))$, the third $A_2(7)$ being associated with (j,k). Therefore there are two different $C(S_5, A_2(7))$, the first containing 2 centered $A_2(7)$ and the second containing no centered $A_2(7)$; there are 126 of the first type and 210 of the second type.
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Iedereen STS6

iemand: 0 en 1 STS6’s.

iemand: 2

iemand: 3

iemand: 4

iemand: 10

iemand: 105

435 = (435)

iemand noemt STS6 voor de kansen aan Aₖ(4).

(i) 42 = (42) = 1890 pers.

(ii) acht kansen voor 1, dan is Aₖ(4)’s.

1890 = 120 x₀ + 210 x₁ + 105 x₃

126 = 8 x₀ + 14 x₁ + 7 x₃

alle kansen Aₖ(4)’s aangegeven evenwaardig.

20 persen met kansen 1

5 persen met kansen 3

20 persen met kansen 0

Conclusie: acht kansen voor 3, dan is 2, acht kansen Aₖ(4)’s aangegeven evenwaardig STS6’s gemeenschappelijke

(i) 50: (50) = 10:19:15 : pers.

(ii) zevende kansen = STS6’s gemeenschappelijke

7 persen, 6 Aₖ(4)’s aangegeven evenwaardig.

7: (7) 2 = 7:6:5 : pers.

verzameld aantal


In de deelvergelijking: 2:6:5 : pers.