Description	Deduction
Missing quality evaluation	0.05
Wrong quality evaluation	0.1
Misclassified quality evaluation	0.025
Missing dependency	0.2
Question	0.1
No explanation of the choice of the features for quality assessment	0.3
Wrong statement	0.2
Unsatisfactory discussion of threats to validity. "Threats to validity are influences that may limit our ability to interpret or draw conclusions from the study's data." [Dewayne E. Perry, Adam A. Porter, Lawrence G. Votta: Empirical studies of software engineering: a roadmap. ICSE - Future of SE Track 2000: 345-355]	0.4
No combined analysis. Combined analysis should have involved statements like "many requirements depend on requirement X and X is vague and subjective. Hence, ..."	2
No submission	10
Comment	0.1
Organization of the document: methodology vs results. The Methodology section should describe the basic principles: what do you want to study and why. how do you want to study this topic and why. Application of the methodology to the case study (e.g.. "Requirement 1 is vague") should be postponed to the Results section.	0.5
Redundant dependency	0.2
Visual representation of the traceability graph should be improved.	0.2
Traceability graph is present but its structure is not discussed.	1
Organization of the document: case study vs results. The Case study section should describe the case study itself (purpose of the system. its functionality. size. history). Application of the methodology to the case study (e.g.. "Requirement 1 is vague") should be postponed to the Results section.	0.3

Grades for Assignment 1