

# Process Algebra

Bas Luttik

s.p.luttik@tue.nl

HG 6.85

FSA seminar (22 September 2011)

$$x + y = y + x$$

$$(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$$

$$x + 0 = x$$

$$x \cdot y = y \cdot x$$

$$x \cdot 1 = x$$

$$(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$$

$$\begin{aligned}x + y &= y + x \\(x + y) + z &= x + (y + z) \\x + 0 &= x \\x \cdot y &= y \cdot x \\x \cdot 1 &= x \\(x + y) \cdot z &= x \cdot z + y \cdot z\end{aligned}$$

This collection of axioms is **sound** (and **complete**?) for  $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}x + y &= y + x \\(x + y) + z &= x + (y + z) \\x + 0 &= x \\x \cdot y &= y \cdot x \\x \cdot 1 &= x \\(x + y) \cdot z &= x \cdot z + y \cdot z\end{aligned}$$

This collection of axioms is **sound** (and **complete**?) for  $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ .

**sound**: only **valid** equations can be derived;

$$\begin{aligned}x + y &= y + x \\(x + y) + z &= x + (y + z) \\x + 0 &= x \\x \cdot y &= y \cdot x \\x \cdot 1 &= x \\(x + y) \cdot z &= x \cdot z + y \cdot z\end{aligned}$$

This collection of axioms is **sound** (and **complete**?) for  $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ .

**sound**: only **valid** equations can be derived;

**complete**: **all** valid equations can be derived.

$$x + y = y + x$$

$$(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$$

$$x + 0 = x$$

$$x \cdot y = y \cdot x$$

$$x \cdot 1 = x$$

$$(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$$

$$x + y = y + x$$

$$(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$$

$$x + 0 = x$$

$$x \cdot y = y \cdot x$$

$$x \cdot 1 = x$$

$$(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$$

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a set of processes,  $0 \in \mathbb{P}$  is the **deadlocked process**,  $1 \in \mathbb{P}$  is the **(successfully) terminated process**,  $+$  is **nondeterministic choice** and  $\cdot$  is **sequential composition**.

$$\begin{aligned}x + y &= y + x \\(x + y) + z &= x + (y + z) \\x + 0 &= x \\x \cdot y &= y \cdot x \\x \cdot 1 &= x \\(x + y) \cdot z &= x \cdot z + y \cdot z\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a set of processes,  $0 \in \mathbb{P}$  is the **deadlocked process**,  $1 \in \mathbb{P}$  is the **(successfully) terminated process**,  $+$  is **nondeterministic choice** and  $\cdot$  is **sequential composition**.

## Question

Is the collection of axioms sound and complete for  $(\mathbb{P}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ ?



$$\begin{aligned}x + y &= y + x \\(x + y) + z &= x + (y + z) \\x + 0 &= x \\x \cdot y &= y \cdot x \\x \cdot 1 &= x \\(x + y) \cdot z &= x \cdot z + y \cdot z\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a set of processes,  $0 \in \mathbb{P}$  is the **deadlocked process**,  $1 \in \mathbb{P}$  is the **(successfully) terminated process**,  $+$  is **nondeterministic choice** and  $\cdot$  is **sequential composition**.

## Question

Is the collection of axioms sound and complete for  $(\mathbb{P}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ ?

## Answer

Most likely not, but first tell me a bit more about  $(\mathbb{P}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ !

1. **syntax:**  $P ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid a.P \mid P \cdot P \mid P + P .$

1. **syntax:**  $P ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid a.P \mid P \cdot P \mid P + P .$
2. **operational semantics:**

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{1 \downarrow} \qquad \frac{}{a.P \xrightarrow{a} P} \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a} P'}{P \cdot Q \xrightarrow{a} P' \cdot Q} \\ \\ \frac{P \downarrow \quad Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'}{P \cdot Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'} \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a} P'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{a} P'} \qquad \frac{Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'} \end{array}$$

1. **syntax:**  $P ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid a.P \mid P \cdot P \mid P + P .$

2. **operational semantics:**

$$\frac{}{1 \downarrow} \quad \frac{}{a.P \xrightarrow{a} P} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a} P'}{P \cdot Q \xrightarrow{a} P' \cdot Q}$$
$$\frac{P \downarrow \quad Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'}{P \cdot Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'} \quad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a} P'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{a} P'} \quad \frac{Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'}$$

3. **behavioural equivalence:**  $\Leftrightarrow$  is the largest equivalence relation on the syntax such that, whenever  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ ,

- if  $P \xrightarrow{a} P'$ , then  $Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'$  and  $P' \Leftrightarrow Q'$ ;
- if  $P \downarrow$ , then  $Q \downarrow$ .

1. **syntax:**  $P ::= 0 \mid 1 \mid a.P \mid P \cdot P \mid P + P .$

2. **operational semantics:**

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{1 \downarrow} \qquad \frac{}{a.P \xrightarrow{a} P} \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a} P'}{P \cdot Q \xrightarrow{a} P' \cdot Q} \\ \\ \frac{P \downarrow \quad Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'}{P \cdot Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'} \qquad \frac{P \xrightarrow{a} P'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{a} P'} \qquad \frac{Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'}{P + Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'} \end{array}$$

3. **behavioural equivalence:**  $\Leftrightarrow$  is the largest equivalence relation on the syntax such that, whenever  $P \Leftrightarrow Q$ ,

- if  $P \xrightarrow{a} P'$ , then  $Q \xrightarrow{a} Q'$  and  $P' \Leftrightarrow Q'$ ;
- if  $P \downarrow$ , then  $Q \downarrow$ .

4. Define  $\mathbb{P}$  as the set of  $\Leftrightarrow$ -classes of expressions.

# Recipe for a concrete process algebra (2)

5/7

Recall that  $\mathbb{P}$  consists of equivalence classes of expressions.

## Recipe for a concrete process algebra (2)

5/7

Recall that  $\mathbb{P}$  consists of equivalence classes of expressions.

Convenient notation:  $[P] = \{Q \mid Q \Leftrightarrow P\}$ .

Recall that  $\mathbb{P}$  consists of equivalence classes of expressions.

Convenient notation:  $[P] = \{Q \mid Q \Leftrightarrow P\}$ .

Suggested definition of  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $0$  and  $1$ :

$$[P] + [Q] = [P + Q] \qquad 0 = [0]$$

$$[P] \cdot [Q] = [P \cdot Q] \qquad 1 = [1]$$



Recall that  $\mathbb{P}$  consists of equivalence classes of expressions.

Convenient notation:  $[P] = \{Q \mid Q \Leftrightarrow P\}$ .

Suggested definition of  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $0$  and  $1$ :

$$[P] + [Q] = [P + Q] \qquad 0 = [0]$$

$$[P] \cdot [Q] = [P \cdot Q] \qquad 1 = [1]$$

5. Check whether the behavioural equivalence is **compatible** with the syntax, i.e.,

if  $P_1 \Leftrightarrow Q_1$  and  $P_2 \Leftrightarrow Q_2$ ,

then  $P_1 + P_2 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 + Q_2$  and  $P_1 \cdot P_2 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 \cdot Q_2$ .

(A syntax-compatible equivalence is called a **congruence**.)

Recall that  $\mathbb{P}$  consists of equivalence classes of expressions.

Convenient notation:  $[P] = \{Q \mid Q \Leftrightarrow P\}$ .

Suggested definition of  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $0$  and  $1$ :

$$[P] + [Q] = [P + Q] \qquad 0 = [0]$$

$$[P] \cdot [Q] = [P \cdot Q] \qquad 1 = [1]$$

5. Check whether the behavioural equivalence is **compatible** with the syntax, i.e.,

if  $P_1 \Leftrightarrow Q_1$  and  $P_2 \Leftrightarrow Q_2$ ,

then  $P_1 + P_2 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 + Q_2$  and  $P_1 \cdot P_2 \Leftrightarrow Q_1 \cdot Q_2$ .

(A syntax-compatible equivalence is called a **congruence**.)

6. Define  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $0$  and  $1$  as suggested above.

Prove that *rooted divergence-preserving branching bisimilarity* is a congruence for a syntax with  $+$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $\dots$ .

Possible follow-up graduation projects:

- ▶ find a collection of axioms and prove that it is sound and complete;
- ▶ find SOS format ensuring that rooted divergence-preserving branching bisimilarity is compatible with all syntactic constructions (i.e., is a congruence).

$$\begin{aligned}x + y &= y + x \\(x + y) + z &= x + (y + z) \\x + x &= x \\(x + y) \cdot z &= x \cdot z + y \cdot z \\x + 0 &= x \\x \cdot 1 &= x \\1 \cdot x &= x\end{aligned}$$

## Theorem

*This collection of axioms is sound and complete for  $(\mathbb{P}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ .*

There are many variations possible with respect to:

- ▶ the behavioural equivalence used to define  $\mathbb{P}$ ;
- ▶ the operations defined on  $\mathbb{P}$ .

Assignment: extend one of the results in [CFLN08] with sequential composition.