

Model exam Requirement Analysis, Design and Verification RADV, 2IW20, January 2004

It is not allowed to use the study material nor a computer. The axioms formulated in the book are given as appendix to this exam. The answers to the questions can be formulated in either English or Dutch. This exam consists of 4 questions. Good luck!

1. (a) Assume the existence of a data type **Bool** with constructors **T** (true) and **F** (false). Specify the natural numbers Nat , for instance with constructors 0 and S (successor) with addition and multiplication.
 - (b) Describe a process that iteratively reads a natural number n via a port a and nondeterministically delivers $2n$ or n^2 via a port b .
 - (c) Describe a similar process as above, which via a control port c requests whether the $2n$ or n^2 must be delivered.
 - (d) Draw a graph that represents the behaviour of this process, where suggestive dots can be used where the graph is infinite.
2. (a) Consider the specification of natural numbers of exercise 1 (a). Prove that 0 is not equal to $S(0)$. If this is not possible, extend the specification such that you can prove $0 \neq S(0)$.
 - (b) Prove using the axioms that if $x + y = \delta$, then $x = \delta$.
 - (c) Prove that the process defined by the equation

$$X(n:Nat) = \sum_{m:Nat} a(m) X(n) \triangleleft eq(m, n) \triangleright \delta$$

equals the process equation

$$X(n:Nat) = a(n) X(n).$$

You may assume that $eq(m, n)$ faithfully reflects equality. I.e. $eq(m, n)$ iff $m = n$.

- (d) Given processes $X(n:Nat, m:Nat) = a(even(n)) X(S(S(n)), m)$ and $Y = a(T) Y$. Prove that $X(0, 0) = Y$. The function $even$ is defined by the equations $even(0) = T$, $even(S(0)) = F$ and $even(S(S(n))) = even(n)$. Note that S is the successor.
3. Consider the process $X = a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot X$.
 - (a) Give a linear process with the same behaviour as X .
 - (b) If X is put 3 times in parallel with itself, how large is the resulting state space?
 - (c) Is $\tau_{\{b\}}(X)$ τ -confluent? Is $\tau_{\{b\}}$ τ -convergent? If so, does the application of τ -prioritisation have any effect on $\tau_{\{b\}}(X)$? Explain all your answers; a simple yes or no does not suffice.
 4. Consider the following datatypes.

```

sort   D, List
func   [] :→ List
         in : D × List → List
map   append : D × List → List
         top, toe : List → D
         tail, untoe : List → List
         nonempty : List → Bool
         length : List → Nat
         ++ : List × List → List
var   d : D, l, l' : List
rew   append(d, []) = in(d, [])
         append(d, in(d', l)) = in(d', append(d, l))
         top(in(d, l)) = d
         toe(in(d, [])) = d
         toe(in(d, in(d', l))) = toe(in(d', l))
         untoe(in(d, [])) = []
         untoe(in(d, in(d', l))) = in(d, untoe(in(d', l)))
         nonempty([]) = F
         nonempty(in(d, l)) = T
         [] ++ l = l
         in(d, l) ++ l' = in(d, (l ++ l'))

```

For indices i, j the specification of a queue that reads data at port r_i and delivers it at port s_j is given by

$$Q_{i,j}(q : List) = \sum_{d:D} r_i(d) Q_{i,j}(in(d, q)) + s_j(toe(q)) Q_{i,j}(untoe(q)) \triangleleft nonempty(q) \triangleright \delta$$

Prove, for instance with the cones and foci theorem, that

$$\tau_{\{c_2\}} \partial_{\{s_2, r_2\}} (Q_{1,2}(q_1) \parallel Q_{2,3}(q_2))$$

is branching bisimilar to $Q_{1,3}(q_1 ++ q_2)$ where $\gamma(r_2, s_2) = c_2$.

END

Score: $(10 + n)/10$ where n is the cumulative judgement given by the following table:

question	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
1	5	5	6	5
2	7	5	7	6
3	6	5	9	
4	25			

A1	$x + y = y + x$
A2	$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$
A3	$x + x = x$
A4	$(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$
A5	$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$

Table 1: Basic axioms for μCRL

CM1	$x \parallel y = (x \parallel y + y \parallel x) + x y$
CM2	$a(\vec{d}) \parallel x = a(\vec{d}) \cdot x$
CM3	$a(\vec{d}) \cdot x \parallel y = a(\vec{d}) \cdot (x \parallel y)$
CM4	$(x + y) \parallel z = x \parallel z + y \parallel z$
CF	$a(\vec{d}) b(\vec{d}) = c(\vec{d})$ if $a b = c$
CF'	$a(\vec{d}) b(\vec{e}) = \delta$ if $\vec{d} \neq \vec{e}$ or a and b do not communicate
CM5	$a(\vec{d}) \cdot x b(\vec{e}) = (a(\vec{d}) b(\vec{e})) \cdot x$
CM6	$a(\vec{d}) b(\vec{e}) \cdot x = (a(\vec{d}) b(\vec{e})) \cdot x$
CM7	$a(\vec{d}) \cdot x b(\vec{e}) \cdot y = (a(\vec{d}) b(\vec{e})) \cdot (x \parallel y)$
CM8	$(x + y) z = x z + y z$
CM9	$x (y + z) = x y + x z$

Table 2: Axioms for parallelism in μCRL

A6	$x + \delta = x$	
A7	$\delta \cdot x = \delta$	
DD	$\partial_H(\delta) = \delta$	
D1	$\partial_H(a(\vec{d})) = a(\vec{d})$	if $a \notin H$
D2	$\partial_H(a(\vec{d})) = \delta$	if $a \in H$
D3	$\partial_H(x + y) = \partial_H(x) + \partial_H(y)$	
D4	$\partial_H(x \cdot y) = \partial_H(x) \cdot \partial_H(y)$	
CD1	$\delta \parallel x = \delta$	
CD2	$\delta x = \delta$	
CD3	$x \delta = \delta$	

Table 3: Axioms for deadlock

C1	$x \triangleleft \mathbf{T} \triangleright y = x$
C2	$x \triangleleft \mathbf{F} \triangleright y = y$

Table 4: Axioms for conditionals

SUM1	$\sum_{d:D} x = x$
SUM3	$\sum_{d:D} X(d) = \sum_{d:D} X(d) + X(d_0) \quad (d_0 \in D)$
SUM4	$\sum_{d:D} (X(d) + Y(d)) = \sum_{d:D} X(d) + \sum_{d:D} Y(d)$
SUM5	$(\sum_{d:D} X(d)) \cdot x = \sum_{d:D} (X(d) \cdot x)$
SUM6	$(\sum_{d:D} X(d)) \parallel x = \sum_{d:D} (X(d) \parallel x)$
SUM7	$(\sum_{d:D} X(d)) x = \sum_{d:D} (X(d) x)$
SUM7'	$x (\sum_{d:D} X(d)) = \sum_{d:D} (x X(d))$
SUM8	$\partial_H(\sum_{d:D} X(d)) = \sum_{d:D} \partial_H(X(d))$
SUM11	$(\forall d_0 \in D \ X(d_0) = Y(d_0)) \Rightarrow \sum_{d:D} X(d) = \sum_{d:D} Y(d)$

Table 5: Axioms for summation

R1	$\rho_f(\delta) = \delta$
R3	$\rho_f(a(\vec{d})) = f(a)(\vec{d})$
R4	$\rho_f(x + y) = \rho_f(x) + \rho_f(y)$
R5	$\rho_f(x \cdot y) = \rho_f(x) \cdot \rho_f(y)$
SUM9	$\rho_f(\sum_{d:D} X(d)) = \sum_{d:D} \rho_f(X(d))$

Table 6: Axioms for renaming

B1	$x \cdot \tau = x$
B2	$x \cdot (\tau \cdot (y + z) + y) = x \cdot (y + z)$
TID	$\tau_I(\delta) = \delta$
TI1	$\tau_I(a(\vec{d})) = a(\vec{d})$ if $a \notin I$
TI2	$\tau_I(a(\vec{d})) = \tau$ if $a \in I$
TI3	$\tau_I(x + y) = \tau_I(x) + \tau_I(y)$
TI4	$\tau_I(x \cdot y) = \tau_I(x) \cdot \tau_I(y)$
SUM10	$\tau_I(\sum_{d:D} X(d)) = \sum_{d:D} \tau_I(X(d))$
R2	$\rho_f(\tau) = \tau$

Table 7: Axioms for hidden actions and hiding