

Orthogonal Extensions in SOS

MohammadReza Mousavi and Michel Reniers

Department of Computer Science,
Eindhoven University of Technology

ICALP, July 2005,
Lisbon, Portugal

Overview

→ [Motivating Example](#)

1. Definitions
2. Meta-Theorems
3. Conclusions

Minimal Process Algebra (MPA)

- δ : deadlock,
- $a.$: (a class of) action prefix,
- $_ + _$: choice

Minimal Process Algebra (MPA)

 $\delta, a., _ + _$

MPA :

$$x + y = y + x$$

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

$$x + x = x$$

$$x + \delta = x$$

Minimal Process Algebra (MPA)

 $\delta, a._, _ + _$

MPA :

$$x + y = y + x \quad x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

$$x + x = x \quad x + \delta = x$$

$$MPA \vdash (\delta + a.\delta) = a.\delta \quad MPA \vdash a.(a.\delta + a.\delta) = a.a.\delta$$

MPA: Operational Model

 $\delta, a._ , _ + _$

$TSS(MPA) :$

$$(a) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{a} x} (a \in A)$$

$$(co) \frac{x \xrightarrow{a} x'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} x'} \quad (ci) \frac{y \xrightarrow{a} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} y'}$$

MPA: Operational Model

 $\delta, a._, _ + _$ $TSS(MPA) :$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{(a)} \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{a} x} (a \in A) & \text{(co)} \frac{x \xrightarrow{a} x'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} x'} & \text{(ci)} \frac{y \xrightarrow{a} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} y'}
 \end{array}$$

$$TSS(MPA) \models a.(\delta + a.\delta) \xrightarrow{a} \delta + a.\delta \qquad TSS(MPA) \models (a.\delta) + \delta \xrightarrow{a} \delta$$

MPA: Behavioral Equivalence

Symmetric relation R is a **bisimulation** iff for all $(p, q) \in R$:

$$- \forall_{l,p'} p \xrightarrow{l} p' \Rightarrow \exists_{q'} q \xrightarrow{l} q' \wedge (p', q') \in R.$$

\leftrightarrow is the largest bisimulation relation on closed terms.

MPA: Operational Meets Equational

- **Soundness:** $\forall_{p,q} p = q \Rightarrow p \Leftrightarrow q.$
- **Completeness:** $\forall_{p,q} p \Leftrightarrow q \Rightarrow p = q.$

Timed-MPA

- δ : **delayable** deadlock,
- $a._$: (a class of) **delayable** action prefix,
- $_ + _$: choice

- $\underline{\underline{\delta}}$: undelayable deadlock
- $\underline{\underline{\sigma}}._$: unit time delay
- $\underline{\underline{a}}._$: (a class of) **undelayable** action prefix

Timed-MPA

$$\delta, a._, _ + _, \underline{\delta}, \underline{\sigma}._, \underline{a}._$$

TMPA :

$$x + y = y + x$$

$$x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$$

$$x + x = x$$

$$x \cancel{+} \delta = \cancel{x}$$

$$\delta = \underline{\underline{\sigma}}.\delta$$

$$x + \underline{\underline{\delta}} = x$$

$$(\underline{\underline{\sigma}}.x) + \underline{\underline{\sigma}}.y = \underline{\underline{\sigma}}.(x + y)$$

$$a.x = (\underline{\underline{a}}.x) + \underline{\underline{\sigma}}.a.x$$

$$(a.x) + \delta = a.x$$

Timed-MPA: Operational Model

$\delta, a._, _ + _, \underline{\delta}, \underline{\sigma}._, \underline{a}._$

$TSS(TMPA) :$

$$(ua) \frac{}{\underline{a}.x \xrightarrow{a} x}$$

$$(td) \frac{}{\underline{\sigma}.x \xrightarrow{1} x}$$

$$(tco) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x' + y'}$$

$$(tcr) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x'}$$

$$(tc2) \frac{y \xrightarrow{1} y' \quad x \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} y'}$$

$$(ta) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{1} a.x}$$

$$(d) \frac{}{\delta \xrightarrow{1} \delta}$$

Nostalgia: Remembering the Untimed Past

- Is there any **formal link** between the **untimed theory** and the **timed** one?
- Can we **re-use** any of the **untimed results** (i.e., equalities) in **the timed** setting?
- If yes, can we formulate “**generic re-usability criteria**” for any such extensions?

Nomenclature (1/2)

- **Signature** Σ : A collection of function symbols with fixed arities (e.g., δ , $a.$, $- + -$),
- **Terms** $T(\Sigma)$: Built upon function symbols and variables as expected,
- **Closed terms** $C(\Sigma)$: terms in $T(\Sigma)$ that do not mention any variable,

Nomenclature (2/2)

- **Equational theory** (Σ, E) : E is a set of equations on $T(\Sigma)$.
- **Trans. Sys. Spec. (TSS)** (Σ, L, D) : A set of deduction rules defining a transition relation with L labels on closed Σ -terms

Equational Conservativity

(E_1, Σ_1) is an **equationally conservative ground-extension** of (E_0, Σ_0) iff:

- the **signature** is extended, i.e., $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1$ and
- the **old ground-equalities** are preserved,
i.e., for all $p, p' \in C(\Sigma_0)$, $E_0 \vdash p = p' \Leftrightarrow E_1 \vdash p = p'$.

Equational Conservativity

(E_1, Σ_1) is an **equationally conservative ground-extension** of (E_0, Σ_0) iff:

- the **signature** is extended, i.e., $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1$ and
- the **old ground-equalities** are preserved,
i.e., for all $p, p' \in C(\Sigma_0)$, $E_0 \vdash p = p' \Leftrightarrow E_1 \vdash p = p'$.

Is **timed-MPA** an **equationally conservative ground-extension** of **MPA**?

Equational Conservativity

(E_1, Σ_1) is an **equationally conservative ground-extension** of (E_0, Σ_0) iff:

- the **signature** is extended, i.e., $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1$ and
- the **old ground-equalities** are preserved,
i.e., for all $p, p' \in C(\Sigma_0)$, $E_0 \vdash p = p' \Leftrightarrow E_1 \vdash p = p'$.

Is **timed-MPA** an **equationally conservative ground-extension** of **MPA**?
Don't know yet!

Operational Conservativity [Fokkink&Verhoef96]

$tss_1 = (\Sigma_1, L_1, D_1)$ is an **operationally conservative** extension of $tss_0 = (\Sigma_0, L_0, D_0)$ iff:

- the **signature, labels and rules** are extended:

$$\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1, L_0 \subseteq L_1 \text{ and } D_0 \subseteq D_1 \text{ and}$$

- no **new behavior** is added to the **old terms**:

$$\text{for all } p \in C(\Sigma_0), p' \in C(\Sigma_1) \text{ and } l \in L_1, tss_0 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p' \Leftrightarrow tss_1 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p'.$$

Operational Cons. Meets Equational Cons.

Theorem.

- If tss_1 is an **operationally** conservative extension of tss_0 and
- E_0 and E_1 are **sound and complete** equational theories for tss_0 and tss_1 , respectively

then E_1 is an **equationally** conservative (ground-)extension of E_0 .

Wrapping Up (Already)?

Is (the TSS of) **Timed-MPA** an operationally conservative extension of **MPA**?

$$(a) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{a} x} \quad (a \in A) \qquad (co) \frac{x \xrightarrow{a} x'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} x'} \qquad (ci) \frac{y \xrightarrow{a} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} y'}$$

$$(ua) \frac{}{\underline{a}.x \xrightarrow{a} x} \qquad (td) \frac{}{\underline{\sigma}.x \xrightarrow{1} x}$$

$$(tco) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x' + y'} \qquad (tci) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x'} \qquad (tc2) \frac{y \xrightarrow{1} y' \quad x \xrightarrow{1} x'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} y'}$$

$$(ta) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{1} a.x} \qquad (d) \frac{}{\delta \xrightarrow{1} \delta}$$

Wrapping Up? No, Sorry.

$$(a) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{a} x} (a \in A)$$

$$(co) \frac{x \xrightarrow{a} x'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} x'}$$

$$(ci) \frac{y \xrightarrow{a} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} y'}$$

$$(ua) \frac{}{\underline{a}.x \xrightarrow{a} x}$$

$$(td) \frac{}{\underline{\sigma}.x \xrightarrow{1} x}$$

$$(tco) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x' + y'}$$

$$(tci) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \not\xrightarrow{1}}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x'}$$

$$(tc2) \frac{y \xrightarrow{1} y' \quad x \not\xrightarrow{1}}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} y'}$$

$$(ta) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{1} a.x}$$

$$(d) \frac{}{\delta \xrightarrow{1} \delta}$$

No: $TSS(TMPA) \vdash a.\delta \xrightarrow{1} a.\delta$ but $TSS(MPA) \vdash a.\delta \not\xrightarrow{1}$

Orthogonality

$tss_1 = (\Sigma_1, L_1, D_1)$ is an **orthogonal** extension of $tss_0 = (\Sigma_0, L_0, D_0)$ iff:

- the **signature, labels and rules** are extended:

$$\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1, L_0 \subseteq L_1 \text{ and } D_0 \subseteq D_1 \text{ and}$$

- **old behavior** is extended:

for all $p, p' \in C(\Sigma_0)$ and $l \in L_0$, $tss_0 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p' \Leftrightarrow tss_1 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p'$ and

- **behavioral equalities** on old terms are preserved:

for all $p, p' \in C(\Sigma_0)$, $tss_0 \vdash p \Leftrightarrow p' \Leftrightarrow tss_1 \vdash p \Leftrightarrow p'$.

Orthogonality Meets Equational Cons.

Theorem.

- If tss_1 is an **orthogonal** extension of tss_0 and
- E_0 and E_1 are **sound and complete** equational theories for tss_0 and tss_1 , respectively

then E_1 is an **equationally** conservative ground-extension of E_0 .

Orthogonality

$tss_1 = (\Sigma_1, L_1, D_1)$ is an **orthogonal** extension of $tss_0 = (\Sigma_0, L_0, D_0)$ iff:

- the **signature, labels and rules** are extended:

$$\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1, L_0 \subseteq L_1 \text{ and } D_0 \subseteq D_1 \text{ and}$$

- **old behavior** is extended:

for all $p, p' \in C(\Sigma_0)$ and $l \in L_0$, $tss_0 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p' \Leftrightarrow tss_1 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p'$ and

- **equalities** on old terms are preserved:

for all $p, p' \in C(\Sigma_0)$, $tss_0 \vdash p \Leftrightarrow p' \Leftrightarrow tss_1 \vdash p \Leftrightarrow p'$.

Is timed-MPA an orthogonal extension of MPA?

Don't know yet!

Granting Extensions

$tss_1 = (\Sigma_1, L_1, D_1)$ is a **granting** extension of $tss_0 = (\Sigma_0, L_0, D_0)$ iff:

- the **signature, labels and rules** are extended:

$$\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1, L_0 \subseteq L_1 \text{ and } D_0 \subseteq D_1 \text{ and}$$

- **old behavior** is extended:

for all $p, p' \in C(\Sigma_0)$ and $l \in L_0$, $tss_0 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p' \Leftrightarrow tss_1 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p'$ and

- **self-transitions with new labels** are added to **old terms**:

for all $p, p' \in C(\Sigma_0)$ and $l \notin L_0$, $tss_1 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p' \Leftrightarrow p = p'$.

Granting Extensions

$tss_1 = (\Sigma_1, L_1, D_1)$ is a **granting** extension of $tss_0 = (\Sigma_0, L_0, D_0)$ iff:

- the **signature, labels and rules** are extended:

$$\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma_1, L_0 \subseteq L_1 \text{ and } D_0 \subseteq D_1 \text{ and}$$

- **old behavior** is extended:

for all $p, p' \in C(\Sigma_0)$ and $l \in L_0$, $tss_0 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p' \Leftrightarrow tss_1 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p'$ and

- **self-transitions with new labels** are added to **old terms**:

for all $p, p' \in C(\Sigma_0)$ and $l \notin L_0$, $tss_1 \vdash p \xrightarrow{l} p' \Leftrightarrow p = p'$.

Is timed-MPA a **granting** extension of MPA?

Granting Extensions

Is timed-MPA a **granting** extension of MPA?

$$(a) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{a} x} \quad (a \in A)$$

$$(co) \frac{x \xrightarrow{a} x'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} x'}$$

$$(ci) \frac{y \xrightarrow{a} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} y'}$$

$$(ua) \frac{}{\underline{a}.x \xrightarrow{a} x}$$

$$(td) \frac{}{\underline{\sigma}.x \xrightarrow{1} x}$$

$$(tco) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x' + y'}$$

$$(tci) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x'}$$

$$(tc2) \frac{y \xrightarrow{1} y' \quad x \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} y'}$$

$$(ta) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{1} a.x}$$

$$(d) \frac{}{\delta \xrightarrow{1} \delta}$$

Granting Extensions

Is timed-MPA a **granting** extension of MPA? **Looks like!**

$$(a) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{a} x} \quad (a \in A)$$

$$(co) \frac{x \xrightarrow{a} x'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} x'}$$

$$(ci) \frac{y \xrightarrow{a} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} y'}$$

$$(ua) \frac{}{\underline{a}.x \xrightarrow{a} x}$$

$$(td) \frac{}{\underline{\sigma}.x \xrightarrow{1} x}$$

$$(tco) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x' + y'}$$

$$(tci) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x'}$$

$$(tc2) \frac{y \xrightarrow{1} y' \quad x \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} y'}$$

$$(ta) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{1} a.x}$$

$$(d) \frac{}{\delta \xrightarrow{1} \delta}$$

Some Simple Observations

- An **operational conservative** extension is an **orthogonal** extension.
- A **granting** extension is an **orthogonal** extension.

Combining Granting and Operational Conserv.

- Orthogonal extension is **pre-order** (i.e., reflexive and transitive) on TSS's.
- If $tss_1 \cup tss_0$ and $tss_2 \cup tss_0$ are **granting** and **operational conservative** extensions of tss_0 , respectively, labels of tss_1 and tss_0 are disjoint and labels of tss_1 and tss_2 are disjoint
then $tss_2 \cup tss_1 \cup tss_0$ is an **orthogonal** extension of tss_0 .

Operational Conservativity Criteria

Theorem (Sketch).

Consider tss_1 and tss_0 . If for all deduction rules tss_1 one of the following holds:

- the **source** of the conclusion d mentions a **function symbol not in Σ_0** or,
- a *relevant premise* of d has a **label not in L_1** or a **target not in $T(\Sigma_0)$** ,

then $tss_0 \cup tss_1$ is an **operational conservative** extension of tss_0

Syntactic Granting Criteria

- Mainly concern **unification**:
- Check whether there are enough **unifiable** rules that can **cover the old syntax** and, the non-unifiable ones should have a term **not from the old syntax** in the **source of the conclusion** or have **relevant negative premises** with the granting labels.

Granting Criteria

$$(a) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{a} x} (a \in A)$$

$$(co) \frac{x \xrightarrow{a} x'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} x'}$$

$$(ci) \frac{y \xrightarrow{a} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{a} y'}$$

$$(ua) \frac{}{\underline{a}.x \xrightarrow{a} x}$$

$$(td) \frac{}{\underline{\sigma}.x \xrightarrow{1} x}$$

$$(tco) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \xrightarrow{1} y'}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x' + y'}$$

$$(tci) \frac{x \xrightarrow{1} x' \quad y \not\xrightarrow{1}}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} x'}$$

$$(tc2) \frac{y \xrightarrow{1} y' \quad x \not\xrightarrow{1}}{x + y \xrightarrow{1} y'}$$

$$(ta) \frac{}{a.x \xrightarrow{1} a.x}$$

$$(d) \frac{}{\delta \xrightarrow{1} \delta}$$

Unification: Towards Granting Criteria

- The set of **terms generated** by t is the set of $\{\sigma(t) \mid \sigma : \mathcal{V} \mapsto T(\Sigma)\}$
- Substitution σ is a **unifier** for $t \xrightarrow{l} t'$ iff $\sigma(t) = \sigma(t')$
- $t \xrightarrow{l} t'$ is **unifiable** if there is a unifier σ for it
- $U(t \xrightarrow{l} t')$ denotes the **set of all unifiers** for $t \xrightarrow{l} t'$
- for a set H of positive transition formulae,
 $U(H)$ denotes the **intersection of unifiers** for all $h \in H$

Granting Criteria (Simplified)

Consider $tss_0 = (\Sigma_0, L_0, D_0)$. The granting criteria for tss_0 is defined as follows.

For all deduction rules $d = \frac{H}{c}$ in D_1 one of the following holds:

- H has **no negative** transition formulae,
- the size of terms decreases
from the source of conclusion to the source of all premises,
- the conclusion of d is unifiable and $U(H) \subseteq U(c)$,

and further, the conclusions of D_1 with their unifiers applied to them can generate all Σ_0 terms.

Overview

Done:

1. the basic ideas behind languages extensions;
2. suggested the concepts of **ground-equational** conservative and **orthogonal** extensions (as a way to prove g.-eq. cons. ext.),
3. presented **operational conservative** and **granting** as two extremes of orthogonality,
4. formulated **syntactic criteria** to capture granting extensions and ways of **combining** the two extremes.

To be done:

1. moving the **multi-sorted** settings with **variable binding**,
2. investigating other syntactic ways of **proving orthogonality**.

Overview

Further reading:

1. M.R. Mousavi, Michel A. Reniers, *Orthogonal Extensions in Structural Operational Semantics*, Technical Report CSR-05-16, Eindhoven University of Technology, 2005.
2. J.C.M. Baeten, M.R. Mousavi, Michel A. Reniers, *Timing the Untimed: Terminating Successfully while Being Conservative*, Technical Report CSR-05-21, Eindhoven University of Technology, 2005.

Overview

- ✓ Motivating Example
- ✓ Definitions
- ✓ Meta-Theorems
- ✓ Conclusion

Thank You!

Operational Conservativity Meta-Theorem

Theorem (Sketch).

Consider $tss_1 = (\Sigma_1, L_1, D_1)$ and $tss_0 = (\Sigma_0, L_0, D_0)$. If for all deduction rules d in D_1 one of the following holds:

- the **source** of the conclusion d mentions a **function symbol not in Σ_0** or,
- a (relevant) **premise** of d has a **label not in L_1** or a **target not in $T(\Sigma_0)$** ,

then $(\Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1, L_0 \cup L_1, D_0 \cup D_1)$ is an operational conservative extension of tss_0