

Computational Group Theory

Soria Summer School 2009
Session 3: Coset enumeration

TU / **e** Technische Universiteit
Eindhoven
University of Technology

July 2009,

Hans Sterk (sterk@win.tue.nl)

Where innovation starts

- What is coset enumeration about?
- The set-up for coset enumeration
 - Subgroup tables
 - Relator tables
 - Coset table
- How to fill the tables
- Examples
- Theorem on coset enumeration

Given group G given by generators and relators, like $\langle x, y \mid x^2, y^2, (xy)^3 \rangle$

- **Coset enumeration:** a procedure to obtain the permutation representation of G on the set of cosets of a subgroup of finite index, so a morphism

$$G \rightarrow \text{Sym}(H \backslash G)$$

- **Todd-Coxeter coset enumeration:** is what we discuss here; named after Todd and Coxeter.

- **Start:**
 - G : group given by generators (X) and relators (R);
 - H : subgroup $\langle Y \rangle$; each element of Y is expression in generators of X
- **Intermediate process:** construction of various tables
- **Output:** a table containing the (right) cosets and the action of the generators on the right cosets

Example:

| coset | x | y |
|-------|-----|-----|
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 |

Here, H is labeled by 1, and there are two more cosets:

$$Hy \quad \text{and} \quad Hyx$$

The table describes a permutation representation of G into S_3 , with x mapped to $(2, 3)$ and y mapped to $(1, 2)$.

$G = \langle x, y \mid x^2, y^2, (xy)^3 \rangle$ means

- Group elements are ‘words’ in x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1} , like

$$xy^{-1}x^3$$

- The relators tell you which words represent e :

$$xy^{-1}x^3 = xy^{-1}x$$

since $x^2 = e$

Formally: quotient of the free group on x and y by the normal closure of the subgroup generated by $x^2, y^2, (xy)^3$

- **Free group:**

Group F is free on its subset X if every map

$$\phi : X \rightarrow \Gamma$$

into a group Γ extends in a unique way to a morphism

$$\Phi : F \rightarrow \Gamma$$

- **Fact:**

Free groups F_1 on X_1 and F_2 on X_2 are isomorphic iff $|X_1| = |X_2|$.

- **Construction:**

Free groups can also be constructed explicitly

- **Set of symbols X :** a (finite) set of symbols

- X^{-1} : the set of symbols x^{-1} where $x \in X$
- A_X or A : $X \cup X^{-1}$

- **Strings or words:**

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_r$$

with each $x_i \in A$. Empty string: e . Words can be concatenated.

- **Equivalence relation on words:**

- **Direct equivalence** of v and w : if one can be obtained from the other by insertion or deletion of a subword $x x^{-1}$ for $x \in A$
- $v \sim w$: equivalence relation generated by direct equivalence, so if there is a sequence

$$v = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_r = w$$

s.t. v_i and v_{i+1} are directly equivalent.

- **Candidate free group F_X :** equivalence classes $[v]$ with multiplication

$$[u][v] = [uv]$$

Theorem:

- F_X is free group on $[X] = \{[x] \mid x \in X\}$
- The map $X \rightarrow [X], x \mapsto [x]$ is bijective

Idea of proof

- Given a map $\phi : X \rightarrow \Gamma$ into group Γ , extend to F_X :

$$\Phi([x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2} \cdots x_r^{s_r}]) = \phi(x_1)^{s_1} \phi(x_2)^{s_2} \cdots \phi(x_r)^{s_r}$$

Show that it is well-defined and unique.

- Then deal with a given map $[X] \rightarrow \Gamma$.
- $X \rightarrow [X]$ is bijective: Take an injective map $X \rightarrow \Gamma$ and apply the above

$G = \langle X \mid R \rangle$ is defined as

$$F_X/N$$

where N is the normal closure of $\langle R \rangle$.

Universal property:

Given:

- any map $\phi : X \rightarrow \Gamma$ into group Γ , with obvious extension to $A = X \cup X^{-1}$
- $\phi(x_1) \cdots \phi(x_r) = e_\Gamma$ for all $x_1 \cdots x_r \in R$

Then there is a unique morphism

$$\Phi : G \rightarrow \Gamma$$

extending ϕ

- $G = \langle X \mid R \rangle$
- $H = \langle Y \rangle$ where Y consists of words in X

Todd-Coxeter enumeration is based on (here cosets are labeled by integers):

- **TC-1:** $1^h = 1$ for every $h \in Y$
- **TC-2:** $j^r = j$ for every coset j and every relator $r \in R$
- **TC-3:** $i^g = j \Leftrightarrow i = j^{g^{-1}}$ for all cosets i, j and $g \in X$

In the process 3 kinds of tables are produced:

- **Subgroup tables:** is made for every generator of the subgroup. Every such table contains information on
 - the specific generator of the subgroup, expressed in terms of the generators of the group
 - the action of the various factors on the subgroup
- **Relator tables:** for every relator a table is constructed containing information on
 - the specific relator expressed in terms of the generators of the group
 - the action of the various factors of the relator on the subgroup
- **Coset table:** contains (in the end) all cosets plus the action of the generators of H on the cosets of H

The tables are gradually filled in the process. During the process it may turn out that two possibly different cosets actually coincide.

For every generator $h = g_{j_1} \cdots g_{j_l}$ in Y of H , with $g_{j_i} \in X \cup X^{-1}$ a table with one row is constructed

- The $l + 1$ columns are indexed by ‘subgroup’ and the elements g_{j_1}, \dots, g_{j_l}
- A row of length $l + 1$, starting and ending with 1 representing coset H
 - 2nd column: integer representing coset Hg_{j_1}
 - 3rd column: integer representing coset $Hg_{j_1}g_{j_2}$
 - etc.

Integers have to be found out during the process.

Example of a partially filled subgroup table for a generator x^2 :

| subgroup | x | x^2 |
|----------|-----|-------|
| 1 | 2 | 1 |

For every relator $r = g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k} \in R$, with $g_{i_j} \in X \cup X^{-1}$, a *relation table* with $k + 1$ columns is constructed:

- The $k + 1$ columns are indexed by ‘relator’, $g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$
- each row starts and ends with the same integer (representing a coset).
- The row starting with integer t is filled with the images of the coset corresponding to this integer under $g_{i_1}, g_{i_1}g_{i_2}, \dots, g_{i_1} \cdots g_{i_k}$
- The number of rows is determined during the process

Example of a partially filled relator table for a relator $(xy)^3$:

| relator | x | y | x | y | x | y |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | | | | | | 3 |
| 4 | | | | | | 4 |
| 5 | | | | | | 5 |

the last k of which are indexed by g_{i_1}, \dots, g_{i_k} .

The coset table records (at the end of the process) the permutation representation.

- The coset table has $|X| + 1$ columns
- The columns are indexed by ‘coset’, and the generators in X
- The first column contains the (integers representing the) cosets
- The g -th entry of row k contains k^g

| coset | x | y |
|-------|-----|-----|
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 |

Sometimes columns for X^{-1} are added

- We fill the subgroup and relator tables so that
 - if H'' is in the column indexed by g and H' is in the column directly left from g , then $H'g = H''$.
 - It is sometimes convenient to read this as $H' = H''g^{-1}$.
- Update the coset table whenever necessary
 - In particular, if an entry m^g is not (yet) one of the known cosets, we fill it with a new number s , and add a row starting with s to the relator tables and the coset table.
 - Similar action is taken for a spot corresponding to $m^{g^{-1}}$
- Scan for ‘coincidences’: two integers turn out to represent the same coset.

Time for an example...

Coset enumeration: example 1

Group G and subgroup H :

- $G = \langle x, y \mid x^2, y^2, (xy)^3 \rangle$, so

$$X = \{x, y\} \quad \text{and} \quad R = \{x^2, y^2, (xy)^3\}$$

- $H = \langle x \rangle$, so $Y = \{x\}$

There is one subgroup table, it corresponds to $Hx = H$:

| subgroup | x |
|----------|-----|
| 1 | 1 |

There are 3 relator tables, and 1 coset table:

| | x | x |
|---|-----|-----|
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | | 2 |
| 3 | | 3 |
| 4 | | 4 |
| 5 | | 5 |

| | y | y |
|---|-----|-----|
| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | | 2 |
| 3 | | 3 |
| 4 | | 4 |
| 5 | | 5 |

| | x | y | x | y | x | y |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| 2 | | | | | | 2 |
| 3 | | | | | | 3 |
| 4 | | | | | | 4 |
| 5 | | | | | | 5 |

| coset | x | y |
|-------|-----|-----|
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | |
| 3 | | 4 |
| 4 | 5 | |
| 5 | | 1 |

First rows are filled plus the coset table so far.

Coset enumeration: example 1 (2)

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| | <i>x</i> | <i>x</i> |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 3 | | 3 |
| 4 | 5 | 4 |
| 5 | | 5 |

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| | <i>y</i> | <i>y</i> |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 4 | | 4 |
| 5 | 1 | 5 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | <i>x</i> | <i>y</i> | <i>x</i> | <i>y</i> | <i>x</i> | <i>y</i> |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | | | | | | 3 |
| 4 | | | | | | 4 |
| 5 | | | | | | 5 |

| coset | <i>x</i> | <i>y</i> |
|-------|----------|----------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | |
| 3 | | 4 |
| 4 | 5 | |

At this point:

- $2^y = 1$ (2nd relator table) and $5^y = 1$ (3rd relator table), so '2 = 5', so we remove row 5
- From the 1st relator table:
 - $2^x = 3$
 - $5^x = 4$

Since '2 = 5' we conclude '3 = 4' and get another collapse.

We are left with:

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| | <i>x</i> | <i>x</i> |
| 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 | 3 |

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| | <i>y</i> | <i>y</i> |
| 1 | 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 4 | 3 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | <i>x</i> | <i>y</i> | <i>x</i> | <i>y</i> | <i>x</i> | <i>y</i> |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 |

| coset | <i>x</i> | <i>y</i> |
|-------|----------|----------|
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 4 |

The final coset table

| coset | x | y |
|-------|-----|-----|
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 | 1 |
| 3 | 2 | 4 |

yields a permutation representation of G into S_3 with

$$x \mapsto (2, 3) \quad \text{and} \quad y \mapsto (1, 2)$$

Since

- H is of index 3
- H has order ≤ 2 , so G has order ≤ 6

our representation is an isomorphism

```
F:=FreeGroup("x","y"); %free group on x and y
x:=F.x;
y:=F.y;
rels:=[x^2,y^2,(x*y)^3];
G:=F/rels;
gens:=GeneratorsOfGroup(G);
xG:=gens[1];
yG:=gens[2];
H:=Subgroup(G,[xG]);
ct:=CosetTable(G,H);
# g1, g1^-1, g2, ...
Display(TransposedMat(ct));
[ [ 1, 1, 2, 2 ],
  [ 3, 3, 1, 1 ],
  [ 2, 2, 3, 3 ] ]
# g1, g2, ...
Display(TransposedMat(ct{[1,3..3]}));
[ [ 1, 2 ],
  [ 3, 1 ],
  [ 2, 3 ] ]
```

Coset enumeration: another example

20/25

$$G = \langle a, b \mid baba^{-2}, abab^{-2} \rangle$$

```
F:=FreeGroup("a","b"); %<free group on the generators [ a, b ]>
a:=F.a; %a
b:=F.b; %b
rels:=[b*a*b*a^-1*a^-1,a*b*a*b^-1*b^-1]; %[ b*a*b*a^-2, a*b*a*b^-2 ]
G:=F/rels; %<fp group on the generators [ a, b ]>
gens:=GeneratorsOfGroup(G); %[ a, b ]
aG:=gens[1]; %a
bG:=gens[2]; %b
H:=Subgroup(G,[aG*aG]); %Group([ a^2 ])
ct:=CosetTable(G,H);
[ [ 2, 1, 4, 8, 6, 7, 3, 5 ], [ 2, 1, 7, 3, 8, 5, 6, 4 ],
  [ 3, 5, 6, 1, 4, 2, 8, 7 ], [ 4, 6, 1, 5, 2, 3, 8, 7 ] ]
Display(TransposedMat(ct{[1,3..3]}));
[ [ 2, 3 ],
  [ 1, 5 ],
  [ 4, 6 ],
  [ 8, 1 ],
  [ 6, 4 ],
  [ 7, 2 ],
  [ 3, 8 ],
  [ 5, 7 ] ]
```

Left column: action of a ; right column: action of b . Image has order 24.

Theorem:

Given: H of finite index in G . Any Todd-Coxeter enumeration in which

- a) each row is completely filled (or deleted) in finitely many steps
- b) there are only finitely many steps between two scanings of the tables for coincidences,

will terminate.

Proof: The basic idea is to show that if the procedure does not terminate, the number of rows increases beyond any bound, yielding a transitive permutation action on an infinite set with H in the stabilizer, contradicting that H has finite index in G .

Step 1: first rows of any table are stable after finitely many steps

- After finitely many steps all entries are filled.
- The first entry, 1, is ‘stable’, and the other entries can only change into smaller positive integers
- So the first rows remain stable after finitely many steps

Step 1: first rows of any table are stable after finitely many steps

Step 2: Induction step, from $k - 1$ stable rows to k stable rows

- Suppose first $k - 1$ rows of every table are stable after finitely many steps
- Suppose a is the first entry of a k -th row
- Then a must have been defined as some b^g for some $b < a$ in the stable rows. (Possibly b has been replaced at some point by a smaller integer due to collapses.)
- So a occurs among the stable $k - 1$ rows and is therefore stable.
- So this k -th row must be stable after a finite number of steps.

Step 1: first rows of any table are stable after finitely many steps

Step 2: Induction step, from $k - 1$ stable rows to k stable rows

Step 3: towards a contradiction

If the procedure does not end, then the number of rows must grow beyond any bound, yielding a transitive permutation action on an infinite set with H in the stabilizer, contradicting that H has finite index in G .

$$G = \langle a, b \mid a^3, b^2, (ab)^3 \rangle$$

- Perform coset enumeration with respect to $H = \langle a \rangle$.
- Use this to show that $G \cong A_4$.