Software Engineering: Theory and Practice	What Is an Error?
Engineering and Errors	
Verification by Review	
Tom Verhoeff Eindhoven University of Technology Department of Mathematics & Computer Science Software Engineering & Technology	 What is the most "impressive" error that you have made? Watch video of Ariane 501 flight. Beautiful versus ugly: an opinion, not an error
Feedback to T.Verhoeff@TUE.NL © 2008, T. Verhoeff@TUE.NL 1 Software Engineering: Errors	© 2008, T. Verhoeff @ TUE.NL 2 Software Engineering: Errors
Terminology: IEEE Classification	Economy of Defects
 Failure: product deviates from requirements during use/operation Defect, fault: anomaly in a product that can somehow (eventually) lead to a <i>failure</i> 	 The longer a defect is undiscovered, the higher its cost: grows exponentially in distance between injection and removal. Defects decrease the predictability of a project: cost (time) of defect localization and repair is extremely variable.
 Mistake: human action ("slip") causing a <i>fault</i> Error: difference between actual and specified/expected result 	 Defects concern risks, i.e. uncertainty; product could be defect- free at once, but defects are likely.
Assumes requirements/specification/contract (establish in advance)	• The likelihood of defects increases rapidly with higher system complexity.

Dealing with Defects

- 1. Admit that people make mistakes and inject defects
- 2. Prevent them as much as possible
- 3. Minimize their consequences (fault tolerance)
- 4. **Detect** their presence as early as possible
- 5. Localize them
- 6. **Repair** them
- 7. Trace them: find root causes and possible other consequences

Software Engineering: Errors

- 8. Learn from them: improve the process and tools
- © 2008, T. Verhoeff @ TUE.NL 5

Preventing Defects (or instant detection and repair)

- Impossible to do for 100%, but prevention offers the biggest gains
- Every defect not prevented adds work (cost)
- Remove sources for mistakes (e.g., improve syntax of prog. lang.)
- Always work neatly, also on prototypes, test software,
- Use checklists and standards
- Work in pairs
- "Think before you act"

© 2008, T. Verhoeff @ TUE.NL 7 Software Engineering: Errors

Awareness

"Programmers [Engineers] will always make errors. No advance in formal [methods] will ... prevail over human fallibility.

[T]here are two approaches to software errors:

- one accepts them as inevitable and steers work toward removing faults that errors produce:
- the other ignores errors, the resulting faults, and the failures they may cause, and replaces testing, discovery, and repair with legal and business maneuvers."

Robert N. Britcher. The Limits of Software. Addison-Wesley, 1999. 6

© 2008, T. Verhoeff @ TUE.NL

Software Engineering: Errors

Detecting Defects

• Reviewing :

- Examine an artifact with the intent of finding defects.
- Can be done early in the development process.
- Often localizes the defects as well.
- Can and should also be applied to code.
- Testing :
 - Use a product systematically with the intent of finding defects.
 - Works through failures; does not localize underlying defects.

8

- Requires a working product (part).

© 2008, T. Verhoeff @ TUE.NL

Software Engineering: Errors

Limits of Testing	Removing Defects
Edsger W. Dijkstra (CACM, 1972):	
"Program testing can be a very effective way to show the presence of bugs, but it is hopelessly inadequate for showing their absence."	Debugging : localize, diagnose, and correct detected defects
Testing in itself does not create quality.	Time consuming and unpredictable process
Dijkstra's advice: Prove mathematically that an artifact has required properties. Ideally: let proof development drive the design, leading to Correctness by Construction.	
© 2008, T. Verhoeff @ TUE.NL 9 Software Engineering: Errors	© 2008, T. Verhoeff @ TUE.NL 10 Software Engineering: Errors
Coding Standards	Why Use Coding Standards?
• Restrict what program code "looks" like	Why Use Coding Standards?
	• You make fewer mistakes. • If you make them, they are found more easily and more quickly.
 Restrict what program code "looks" like Layout: indentation, spacing, blank lines, line length; at most one definition/declaration/statement per line Naming: constant, variable, method, class, attribute 	• You make fewer mistakes.
 Restrict what program code "looks" like Layout: indentation, spacing, blank lines, line length; at most one definition/declaration/statement per line Naming: constant, variable, method, class, attribute Comments: file header, "contract" (assumption, effect), explain variable declaration or statement 	 You make fewer mistakes. If you make them, they are found more easily and more quickly. If you cannot find them yourself, then others can help you more
 Restrict what program code "looks" like Layout: indentation, spacing, blank lines, line length; at most one definition/declaration/statement per line Naming: constant, variable, method, class, attribute Comments: file header, "contract" (assumption, effect), explain 	 You make fewer mistakes. If you make them, they are found more easily and more quickly. If you cannot find them yourself, then others can help you more effectively.

Costs of Dealing with Defects Responsibly	Why Do Reviewing?
	• Early detection of deficiencies and risks:
• Standardization (e.g. of coding style), reviewing, testing, all cost extra effort and time (mostly initially).	 Humans make mistakes, no matter what. Late detection is (very) costly.
• Consider this to be a small pre-paid insurance fee.	 Testing cannot find all (kinds of) defects.
 Not using these techniques increases risks and unpredictability, and hence increases costs, often considerably. 	• Finding defects directly, rather than detecting failures (by testing).
	Communication of knowledge
© 2008, T. Verhoeff @ TUE.NL 13 Software Engineering: Errors	 Monitoring of status and progress
	© 2008, T. Verhoeff @ TUE.NL 14 Software Engineering: Errors
Types of Reviewing	
	How to Do Reviewing
	How to Do Reviewing 1. Determine type, purpose, and timing of review in advance.
Management review: of a process, on behalf of managment	
	1. Determine type, purpose, and timing of review in advance.
• Management review : of a process, on behalf of managment	 Determine type, purpose, and timing of review in advance. Check initial fitness (e.g.: is document spell checked).
 Management review: of a process, on behalf of managment Technical review: of a product (not of its creator) 	 Determine type, purpose, and timing of review in advance. Check initial fitness (e.g.: is document spell checked). Select and inform reviewers (and train them, if needed).
 Management review: of a process, on behalf of managment Technical review: of a product (not of its creator) Inspection: visual examination, by peers 	 Determine type, purpose, and timing of review in advance. Check initial fitness (e.g.: is document spell checked). Select and inform reviewers (and train them, if needed). Distribute material on time.

General Advice on Reviewing	References
 Take reviews seriously and spend time well. 	
Do not waste time on trivialities.	• Ariane 5 Failure: Full Report by ESA
 Use checklists and standards. 	• "The \$100,000 Keying Error", IEEE Computer, pp.106–108, April
• Stick to the purpose (e.g. do not criticize creators).	2008.
 Do not try to solve problems while reviewing. 	• Code Conventions for the Java Programming Language by SUN
But: do recommend changes, also to the development process.	• Java Coding Standards by ESA
• React on review outcome (do rework, adjust the process).	
2008, T. Verhoeff @ TUE.NL 17 Software Engineering: Errors	© 2008, T. Verhoeff @ TUE.NL 18 Software Engineering: Errors