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## Boolean Equation Systems

- Boolean Equation Systems are a versatile formal framework for verification.
- Boolean Equation Systems are systems of fixed point equations.

Given a set Var of propositional variables. A Boolean Expression is defined by:

$$
f::=X \mid \text { true } \mid \text { false }|f \wedge f| f \vee f
$$

A Boolean Equation is an equation of the form $\sigma X=f$, where $X \in \operatorname{Var}, \sigma \in\{\mu, \nu\}$ and $f$ is a Boolean Expression. A Boolean Equation System is a sequence of Boolean Equations:

$$
\mathcal{E}::=\varepsilon \mid(\sigma X=f) \mathcal{E}
$$

Note:

- Negation is not allowed, in order to ensure monotonicity.
- The order of equations is important. Intuitively, the topmost sign has priority.
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## Boolean Equation Systems

- A variable $W$ that occurs in a Boolean Expression of a BES $\mathcal{E}$ is called bound, if there is an equation for $W$ in $\mathcal{E}$, otherwise $W$ is called free.
- If propositional variables are bound uniquely (i.e., at most once), the BES is well-formed; we only consider well-formed BESs.
- If $\mathcal{E}$ contains no free variables, $\mathcal{E}$ is closed, otherwise it is open.
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## Boolean Equation Systems

- A variable $W$ that occurs in a Boolean Expression of a BES $\mathcal{E}$ is called bound, if there is an equation for $W$ in $\mathcal{E}$, otherwise $W$ is called free.
- If propositional variables are bound uniquely (i.e., at most once), the BES is well-formed; we only consider well-formed BESs.
- If $\mathcal{E}$ contains no free variables, $\mathcal{E}$ is closed, otherwise it is open.


## Example

An example of a closed BES $\mathcal{E}$ with three propositional variables $X, Y$ and $Z$ :

$$
(\mu X=(X \wedge Y) \vee Z)(\nu Y=X \wedge Y)(\mu Z=Z \wedge X)
$$
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- A variable $W$ that occurs in a Boolean Expression of a BES $\mathcal{E}$ is called bound, if there is an equation for $W$ in $\mathcal{E}$, otherwise $W$ is called free.
- If propositional variables are bound uniquely (i.e., at most once), the BES is well-formed; we only consider well-formed BESs.
- If $\mathcal{E}$ contains no free variables, $\mathcal{E}$ is closed, otherwise it is open.


## Example

An example of a closed BES $\mathcal{E}$ with three propositional variables $X, Y$ and $Z$ :

$$
(\mu X=(X \wedge Y) \vee Z)(\nu Y=X \wedge Y)(\mu Z=Z \wedge X)
$$

An example of an open BES $\mathcal{F}$ with three propositional variables $X, Y$ and $Z$ :

$$
(\mu X=Y \vee Z)(\nu Y=X \wedge Y)
$$

# Technische Universiteit 

## Boolean Equation Systems
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## Example

An example of a closed BES $\mathcal{E}$ with three propositional variables $X, Y$ and $Z$ :

$$
(\mu X=(X \wedge Y) \vee Z)(\nu Y=X \wedge Y)(\mu Z=Z \wedge X)
$$

An example of an open BES $\mathcal{F}$ with three propositional variables $X, Y$ and $Z$ :

$$
(\mu X=Y \vee Z)(\nu Y=X \wedge Y)
$$

An example of a BES that is not well-formed:

$$
(\mu X=X)(\nu X=X)
$$

Boolean Equation Systems

Intuitive semantics:

- Let $V a l$ be the set of all functions $\eta: \operatorname{Var} \rightarrow\{$ false, true $\}$
- The solution of a BES is a valuation: $\eta$ : Val
- Let $[f](\eta)$ denote the value of boolean expression $f$ under valuation $\eta$.
- For the solution $\eta$ of a $\operatorname{BES} \mathcal{E}$, we wish $\eta(X)=[f](\eta)$ for all equations $\sigma X=f$ in $\mathcal{E}$.
- Also, we want the smallest (for $\mu$ ) or greatest (for $\nu$ ) solution, where topmost equation signs take priority over equation signs that follow.
Given a BES $\mathcal{E}$, we define $[\mathcal{E}]: V a l \rightarrow V a l$ by recursion on $\mathcal{E}$.

$$
\begin{cases}{[\varepsilon](\eta)} & :=\eta \\ {[(\mu X=f) \mathcal{E}](\eta)} & :=[\mathcal{E}]\left(\eta\left[X:=[f]\left(\eta_{\mu}\right)\right]\right) \text { where } \eta_{\mu}:=[\mathcal{E}](\eta[X:=\text { false }]) \\ {[(\nu X=f) \mathcal{E}](\eta)} & :=[\mathcal{E}]\left(\eta\left[X:=[f]\left(\eta_{\mu}\right)\right]\right) \text { where } \eta_{\nu}:=[\mathcal{E}](\eta[X:=\text { true }])\end{cases}
$$
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## Model Checking using BESs

Transformation of the $\mu$-calculus model checking problem to BES

- Given is the following model checking problem: $M, s \models \sigma X$. $f$
- a closed $\mu$-calculus formula $\sigma X . f$ in Positive Normal Form and,
- a Mixed Kripke Structure $M=\left\langle S, s_{0}\right.$, Act, $\left.R, L\right\rangle$.
- $s \in S$ is a state
- We define a BES $\mathcal{E}$ with the following property:

$$
([\mathcal{E}](\eta))\left(X_{s}\right)=\text { true iff } M, s \models \sigma X . f
$$

i.e. formula $\sigma X . f$ holds in state $s$ if and only if the solution for $X_{s}$ yields true.

- This BES is defined as follows:
- For each subformula $\sigma^{\prime} Y . g$ and for each state $s \in S$, we add the following equation:

$$
\sigma^{\prime} Y_{s}=R H S(s, g)
$$

- Important: The order of the equations respects the subterm ordering in the original formula $\sigma X . f$.
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Model Checking using BESs
The Right-Hand Side of an equation is defined inductively on the structure of the $\mu$-calculus formula:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R H S(s, p) & =p \in L(s) \\
R H S(s, X) & =X_{s} \\
R H S(s, f \wedge g) & =R H S(s, f) \wedge R H S(s, g) \\
R H S(s, f \vee g)= & R H S(s, f) \vee R H S(s, g) \\
R H S(s,[a] f)= & \bigwedge_{t \in S}\{R H S(t, f) \mid s \xrightarrow{a} t\} \\
R H S(s,\langle a\rangle f)= & \bigvee_{t \in S}\{R H S(t, f) \mid s \xrightarrow{a} t\} \\
R H S(s, \mu X . f)= & X_{s} \\
R H S(s, \nu X . f)= & X_{s} \\
\text { conventions: } & \bigwedge_{t \in S} \emptyset=\text { true and } \bigvee_{t \in S} \emptyset=\text { false }
\end{array}
$$

# Technische Universiteit 

Model Checking using BESs

## Example



- RHS $(1,[a] X)=R H S(2, X) \wedge R H S(3, X)=X_{2} \wedge X_{3}$.
- $R H S(2,\langle b\rangle Y)=R H S(1, Y) \vee R H S(3, Y)=Y_{1} \vee Y_{3}$.
- $R H S(3,\langle b\rangle Y)=$ false (empty disjunction!)
- $\quad R H S(1,[a]\langle b\rangle \mu Z . Z)$
$=R H S(2,\langle b\rangle \mu Z . Z) \wedge R H S(3,\langle b\rangle \mu Z . Z) \wedge$
$=(R H S(1, \mu Z . Z) \vee R H S(3, \mu Z . Z)) \wedge$ false
$=\left(Z_{1} \vee Z_{3}\right) \wedge$ false
- Translation of $\mu X$. $\langle b\rangle$ true $\vee\langle a\rangle X$ to BES:

$$
\left(\mu X_{1}=X_{3} \vee X_{2}\right)\left(\mu X_{2}=\text { true }\right)\left(\mu X_{3}=\text { false }\right)
$$

Model Checking using BESs

## Example

$\mu$-calculus formula: $\nu X .([a] X \wedge \nu Y . \mu Z .(\langle b\rangle Y \vee\langle a\rangle Z))$
Translates to the following BES:


$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu X_{1} & =X_{3} \wedge Y_{1} \\
\nu X_{2} & =X_{2} \wedge Y_{2} \\
\nu X_{3} & =X_{4} \wedge Y_{3} \\
\nu X_{4} & =\text { true } \wedge Y_{4} \\
\nu Y_{1} & =Z_{1} \\
\nu Y_{2} & =Z_{2} \\
\nu Y_{3} & =Z_{3} \\
\nu Y_{4} & =Z_{4} \\
\mu Z_{1} & =Y_{2} \vee Z_{3} \\
\mu Z_{2} & =\text { false } \vee Z_{2} \\
\mu Z_{3} & =\text { false } \vee Z_{4} \\
\mu Z_{4} & =Y_{3} \vee \text { false }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Solving BESs

- We reduced the model checking problem $M, s \models f$ to the solution of a BES with $\mathcal{O}(|M| \times|f|)$ equations.
- We now want a fast procedure to solve such BESs.
- An extremely tedious way to solve a BES is to unfold its semantics.
- A very appealing solution is to solve it by Gauß Elimination.

Solving BESs
Gauß Elimination uses the following 4 basic operations to solve a BES:

- local solution: eliminate $X$ in its defining equation:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathcal{E}_{0}(\mu X=f) \mathcal{E}_{1} & \text { becomes } & \mathcal{E}_{0}(\mu X=f[X:=\text { false }]) \mathcal{E}_{1} \\
\mathcal{E}_{0}(\nu X=f) \mathcal{E}_{1} & \text { becomes } & \mathcal{E}_{0}(\nu X=f[X:=\text { true }]) \mathcal{E}_{1}
\end{array}
$$

- Substitute definitions to the left:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\sigma_{1} X=X \vee Y\right) \mathcal{E}_{1}\left(\sigma_{2} Y=Y \wedge X\right) \mathcal{E}_{2} \\
\text { becomes: } & \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\sigma_{1} X=X \vee(Y \wedge X)\right) \mathcal{E}_{1}\left(\sigma_{2} Y=Y \wedge X\right) \mathcal{E}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

- Substitute closed equations to the right:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\sigma_{1} X=\text { true }\right) \mathcal{E}_{1}\left(\sigma_{2} Y=Y \wedge X\right) \mathcal{E}_{2} \\
\text { becomes: } & \mathcal{E}_{0}\left(\sigma_{1} X=\text { true }\right) \mathcal{E}_{1}\left(\sigma_{2} Y=Y \wedge \text { true }\right) \mathcal{E}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

- Boolean simplication: At least the following:

$$
b \wedge \text { true } \rightarrow b \quad b \vee \text { true } \rightarrow \text { true } \quad b \wedge \text { false } \rightarrow \text { false } \quad b \vee \text { false } \rightarrow b
$$

## Solving BESs

## Example

$$
(\mu X=X \vee Y)(\nu Y=X \vee(Y \wedge Z))(\mu Z=Y \wedge Z)
$$

local $\rightarrow$

$$
(\mu X=\text { false } \vee Y)(\nu Y=X \vee(\text { true } \wedge Z))(\mu Z=Y \wedge \text { false })
$$

simplifications $\rightarrow$

$$
(\mu X=Y)(\nu Y=X \vee Z))(\mu Z=\text { false })
$$

substitution backwards $\rightarrow$

$$
(\mu X=Y)(\nu Y=X \vee \text { false })(\mu Z=\text { false })
$$

simplifications $\rightarrow$

$$
(\mu X=Y)(\nu Y=X)(\mu Z=\text { false })
$$

substitution backwards $\rightarrow$
$(\mu X=X)(\nu Y=X)(\mu Z=$ false $)$
local $\rightarrow$

$$
(\mu X=\text { false })(\nu Y=X)(\mu Z=\text { false })
$$

substitution to the right $\rightarrow$

$$
(\mu X=\text { false })(\nu Y=\text { false })(\mu Z=\text { false })
$$

Solving BESs
Gauß Elimination is a decision procedure for computing the solution to a BES.
Input: a $\operatorname{BES}\left(\sigma_{1} X_{1}=f_{1}\right) \ldots\left(\sigma_{n} X_{n}=f_{n}\right)$. Returns: the solution for $X_{1}$.

```
    for \(i=n\) downto 1 do
        if \(\sigma_{i}=\mu\) then \(f_{i}:=f_{i}\left[X_{i}:=\right.\) false \(]\)
        else \(f_{i}:=f_{i}\left[X_{i}:=\right.\) true \(]\)
        end if
        for \(j=1\) to \(i-1\) do \(f_{j}:=f_{j}\left[X_{i}:=f_{i}\right]\)
        end for
end for
```

Note:

- Invariants of the outer loop:
- $f_{i}$ contains only variables $X_{j}$ with $j \leq i$.
- for all $i<j \leq n, X_{j}$ does not occur in $f_{j}$.
- Upon termination $(i=0), \sigma_{1} X_{1}=f_{1}$ is closed and evaluates to true or false.
- One could substitute the solution for $X_{1}$ to the right and repeat the procedure to solve $X_{2}$, etcetera.
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## Solving BESs

Complexity of Gauß Elimination.

- Note that in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2}\right)$ substitutions, we obtain the final answer for $X_{1}$.
- However, $f_{1}$ can have $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{n}\right)$ different copies of $e_{n}$ as subterms, so intermediate expressions could become exponentially big.
- Practical efficiency increases a lot if one keeps all intermediate terms simplified all the time.
- Gauß Elimination can be sped up if a forward dependency analysis is conducted (so-called local model checking).
- Precise efficiency depends heavily on the set of simplification rules.
- Precise complexity of Gauß Elimination is yet unknown.
- Complexity of Gauß Elimination is independent of the alternation depth (see Proposition 6.4 [Mader]).
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## Exercise



Consider the following $\mu$-Calculus formula $f$ :

$$
\nu X .([a] X \wedge \nu Y . \mu Z .(\langle b\rangle Y \vee\langle a\rangle Z))
$$

- Use the Emerson-Lei algorithm for computing whether $M, s_{1} \models f$.
- Translate the model checking question $M \models f$ to a BES; indicate how $M, s \models \phi$ corresponds to the variables in the BES.
- Solve the BES by Gauß Elimination.

