

Projektgruppe Wirtschaftsinformatik

CHOPPING DOWN TREES VS. SHARPENING THE AXE -BALANCING THE DEVELOPMENT OF BPM CAPABILITIES WITH PROCESS IMPROVEMENT

Eindhoven, 10. September 2014



Kernkompetenzzentrum Finanz- & Informationsmanagement

Universities of Augsburg and Bayreuth

Martin Lehnert Alexander Linhart Maximilian Röglinger

Research Center Finance & Information Management

Project Group Business & Information Systems Engineering of the Fraunhofer FIT

www.fim-rc.de

www.fit.fraunhofer.de/bise







2 • Research Center FIM • BPM 2014, Eindhoven

Investment in BPM vs. Investment in Process Improvement

SHARPENING THE AXE

Investment in BPM Capabilities

						_
Strategic Alignment	Governance	Methods	Information Technology	People	Culture	-
Process Improvement Planning	Process Management Decision Making	Process Design & Modelling	Process Design & Modelling	Process Skills & Expertise	Responsiveness to Process Change	
Strategy & Process Capability Linkage	Process Roles and Responsibilities	Process Implementation & Execution	Process Implementation & Execution	Process Management Knowledge	Process Values & Beliefs	Vap
Enterprise Process Architecture	Process Metrics & Performance Linkage	Process Monitoring & Control	Process Monitoring & Control	Process Education	Process Attitudes & Behaviors	
Process Measures	Process Related Standards	Process Improvement & Innovation	Process Improvement & Innovation	Process Collaboration	Leadership Attention to Process	licas
Process Customers & Stakeholders	Process Management Compliance	Process Program & Project Management	Process Program & Project Management	Process Management Leaders	Process Management Social Networks	

Rosemann and vom Brocke (2010)

BPM capability

How to balance this over time?

Devil's Quadrangle

Investment in Process Improvement

CHOPPING DOWN TREES





Infrastructure character of investment in BPM





BPM capability

How to balance this over time?

Devil's Quadrangle

Single-process perspective of investment in process improvement





BPM capability

How to balance this over time?

Devil's Quadrangle

Which projects need to be implemented in which order?





Which projects should an organization implement and in which order should it implement these projects to balance the development of BPM capabilities with the improvement of individual business processes?

Projects and their effects





Solid line

Dashed line

= direct effect

= indirect effect

Legend:

- (+) = Increase of the input variable rises the affected variable
- (-) = Increase of the input variable reduces the affected variable
- (0/-) = Decreasing or neutral effect
- (?) = Increasing, decreasing, or neutral effect



Multi-period planning horizon of all processes

Example: An organization has three project opportunities (Two BPM-level projects (BPM1, BPM2) and one Process-level project (PvP1)). Planning horizon is two periods.





IT service processes considered in the demonstration example

i	Name	q _{i,0}	<i>t</i> _{<i>i</i>,0}	р	0 ^{op} _{<i>i</i>,0}	d_i, v_i	n _i
1	Incident management service	95 %	60 min	2.50€	1€	10.00 %	$11,000 \cdot \left(\ln q + e^{\frac{1}{t}} \right)$
2	Operation of an ERP system	91 %	30 d	1,500€	1,300€	5.00 %	$200 \cdot \left(\ln q + e^{\frac{1}{t}} \right)^{-1}$
3	Backup service	80 %	-	220€	150€	5.00 %	1,200 · ln <i>q</i>

BPM-level and process-level projects considered in the demonstration example

S	Name	Services		(D _s ^{inv}		ls	Ł) _s
			influence	ed		pess.	opt.	pess.	opt.
1	Training in BPR methods		All	2	5,000€	-	-	0.95	0.8
2	Development of a process		All	1	00,000€	0.95	0.85	-	-
	performance measurement sy	vsten	1						
3	Training in Six Sigma		All	3	5,000€	0.99	0.9	0.95	0.8
S	Name	i	$O_s^{\rm inv}$		es	1	u _s	1	n _s
				pess.	opt.	pess.	opt.	pess.	opt.
4	Update ticket system	1	110,000€	0.90	0.70	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.1
5	Increase backup frequency	3	35,000€	-	-	1.1	1.3	1.2	0.9



	8 periods	3 periods		8 periods	3 periods
Optimistic	Projects: 2, 3, 5, 1, 4 NPV: 7,892,429 €	Projects: 2, 3, 1 NPV: 2,579,570 €	Optimistic	Projects: 4, 1, 5, 3, 2 NPV: 6,307,772 €	Projects: 4, 1, 2 NPV: 1,689,518 €
Pessimistic	Projects: 2, 3, 1, 4, 5 NPV: 4,828,230 €	Projects: 2, 3, 1 NPV: 1,998,147 €	Pessimistic	Projects: 5, 4, 1, 3, 2 NPV: 3,805,124 €	Projects: 5, 4, 2 NPV: 1,393,421 €
(a) Optimal BPM roadmaps			(b) V	Worst BPM roadmaps	



	8 periods	3 periods	8 periods	3 periods
Optimistic	Projects: 2, 3, 5, 1, 4 NPV: 7,892,429 €	Projects: 2, 3, 1 NPV: 2,579,570 €	Projects: 4, 1, 5, 3, NPV: 6,307,772 €	2 Projects: 4, 1, 2 NPV: 1,689,518 €
Pessimistic	Projects: 2, 3, 1, 4, 5 NPV: 4,828,230 €	Projects: 2, 3, 1 NPV: 1,998,147 €	itiginal Projects: 5, 4, 1, 3, NPV: 3,805,124 €	2 Projects: 5, 4, 2 NPV: 1,393,421 €
(a) O	ptimal BPM roadmaps		(b) Worst BPM roadmap	S



	8 periods	3 periods		8 periods	3 periods
Optimistic	Projects: 2, 3, 5, 1, 4 NPV: 7,892,429 €	Projects: <mark>2, 3, 1</mark> NPV: 2,579,570 €	Optimistic	Projects: 4, 1, 5, 3, 2 NPV: 6,307,772 €	Projects: 4, 1, 2 NPV: 1,689,518 €
Pessimistic	Projects: 2, 3, 1, 4, 5 NPV: 4,828,230 €	Projects: 2, 3, 1 NPV: 1,998,147 €	Pessimistic	Projects: 5, 4, 1, 3, 2 NPV: 3,805,124 €	Projects: 5, 4, 2 NPV: 1,393,421 €
(a) Optimal BPM roadmaps			(b) '	Worst BPM roadmaps	



	8 periods	3 periods		8 periods	3 periods
Optimistic	Projects: 2, 3, 5, 1, 4 NPV: 7,892,429 €	Projects: 2, 3, 1 NPV: 2,579,570 €	Optimistic	Projects: 4, 1, 5, 3, 2 NPV: 6,307,772 €	Projects: 4, 1, 2 NPV: 1,689,518 €
Pessimistic	Projects: 2, 3, 1, 4, 5 NPV: 4,828,230 €	Projects: 2, 3, 1 NPV: 1,998,147 €	Pessimistic	Projects: 5, 4, 1, 3, 2 NPV: 3,805,124 €	Projects: 5, 4, 2 NPV: 1,393,421 €
(a) Optimal BPM roadmaps			(b) '	Worst BPM roadmaps	



	8 periods	3 periods		8 periods	3 periods
Optimistic	Projects: 2, 3, 5, 1, 4 NPV: 7,892,429 €	Projects: 2, 3, 1 NPV: 2,579,570 €	Optimistic	Projects: 4, 1, 5, 3, 2 NPV: 6,307,772 €	Projects: 4, 1, 2 NPV: 1,689,518 €
Pessimistic	Projects: 2, 3, 1, 4, 5 NPV: 4,828,230 €	Projects: 2, 3, 1 NPV: 1,998,147 €	Pessimistic	Projects: 5, 4, 1, 3, 2 NPV: 3,805,124 €	Projects: 5, 4, 2 NPV: 1,393,421 €
(a) Optimal BPM roadmaps			(b) '	Worst BPM roadmaps	



Limitations for future research:

- Only one project can be implemented per period, therefore missing intra-temporal interactions
- No complex intra-temporal interactions (e.g., input-output interactions)
- The effects of some projects may be independent of the previously implemented projects;
 A circumstance that would make an additive linking necessary
- Additional case studies

This conference paper is not the end...



- ... it can be the starting point for more research in the intersection of traditional BPM research
 - and BPM research that focuses on capability development
 - and research that focus on Project Portfolio Management