Extending Process Logs with Events from Supplementary Sources

Felix Mannhardt (@fmannhardt), Massimiliano de Leoni, Hajo A. Reijers



Technische Universiteit **Eindhoven** University of Technology

Where innovation starts

TU

Heterogeneous Information Systems



TUe Technische Universiteit Eindhoven University of Technology

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Relating Events to Cases



University of Technology

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science PAGE 2





Ingredients







Process Model: Data Petri Nets



Data Petri Nets: Non-Conforming Trace









Alignment: Identify Missing Events



Alignment with Missing Events

Alignment of Main Event Log





Overview: Extending the Event Log





Extending the Event Log



Supplementary Events

Late Notice
 Credit Collection

$$\{Due = 31.12.2014\}$$
 $\{D = 60, X = 10\}$

 Credit Collection
 Credit Collection

 $\{D = 20, X = 10\}$
 $\{D = 30, X = 20\}$



Events that relate to the Missing Activity



Event Candidates



Events relating the correct missing activity



Events with Compatible Timestamps





Events relating the correct missing activity



Events with compatible timestamps





Events that Conform to Data Requirements



Event Candidates

$\mathsf{D} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathsf{A} + \mathsf{E} - \mathsf{P} + \mathsf{X} = \mathbf{10} + \mathsf{X}$



Events relating the correct missing activity



Events with compatible timestamps





echnische Universiteit University of Technology

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

Choosing the "correct" Event



University of Technology

Overview





Evaluation

- Real-life dataset of a Road Traffic Fines process
- ~150.000 traces with ~ 540.000 events





Evaluation

- Real-life dataset of a Road Traffic Fines process
- ~150.000 traces with ~ 540.000 events
- Two scenarios: For each, assume that an activity is done with a different system:
 - Send Fine
 - Send for Credit Collection
- Split the Log into a *Main Event Log* and *Supplementary Events*
- Apply our approach
- Check how events are correlated



Measures



Iniversity of Technology

Evaluation

Scenario	$ \mathbf{C} $	I_{M}	% Perfectly	% Approx.	% Wrongly	% Ignored
A: Send Fine (Compliant)	33178	512	22.0	13.9	1.5	62.6
		1024	34.0	30.3	3.1	32.2
		2048	38.6	44.8	5.2	11.3
B: Send Fine	101950	512	5.1	5.1	0.4	89.5
		1024	11.6	15.5	0.8	72.1
		2048	14.1	31.5	1.7	52.8
C: Send for CC (Compliant)	28049	512	29.1	4.3	0	66.6
		1024	51.8	6.1	0	42.1
		2048	69.6	12.4	0	18.1
D: Send for CC	54232	512	20.8	3.6	3.8	71.7
		1024	29.9	4.7	5.6	59.8
		2048	40.3	6.9	7.3	45.5

Parameter to steer the reliability

% Approx.: up to 80%

% Ignored too high



Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

- Improve the evaluation
 - → Multiple events missing per trace
 - → Measures to compare wrt. wxisting work
- Find strategies for "leftover" non-mapped events
- How to use (estimated) activity durations:
 Better time constraints
- Improve the prototype:

 LogEnhancement package in ProM



Extending Process Logs with Events from Supplementary Sources



- Technique to extend an Event Log with Events
- Using an Alignment (with Data) to identify Gaps
- Finding the most likely Event to fill these Gaps



Remarks? Questions?



Contact: f.mannhardt@tue.nl | @fmannhardt

Image source: http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Pictofigo_-_Idea.png

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

