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1. Introduction.

Given v objects l,2,...,v, a relation satisfying the following
conditions is said to be an association scheme with m classes:
a) Any two objects are either lst, or 2nd, ..., or $m^{\text {th }}$ associates, the relation of association being symmetrical i.e., if the object $\alpha$ is the $i^{\text {th }}$ associate of the object $\beta$, then $\beta$ is the $1^{\text {th }}$ associate of $\alpha$.
b) Each object $\alpha$ has $n_{i}$, $i^{\text {th }}$ associates, the number $n_{i}$ being independent of $\alpha$.
c) If any two objects $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are $i^{\text {th }}$ associates, then the number of objects which are $j^{\text {th }}$ associates of $\alpha$, and $k^{\text {th }}$ associates of $\beta$, is $p_{j k}^{i}$ and is independent of the pair of $i^{\text {th }}$ associates $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

The numbers $v, n_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$ and $p_{j k}^{i}(i, j, k=1,2, \ldots, m)$ are the parameters of the association scheme.

If we have an association scheme with $m$ classes and given parameters, then we get a partially balanced design with $r$ replications and b blocks if we can arrange the v objects into b sets (each set corresponding to a block) such that

[^0](i) Each set contains $k$ objects (all different)
(ii) Each object is contained in $r$ sets
(iii) If two objects $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are $i^{\text {th }}$ associates, then they occur together in $\lambda_{i}$ sets, the number $\lambda_{i}$ being independent of the particular pair of $i^{\text {th }}$ associates $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Partially balanced designs were introduced in experimental studies by Bose and Nair [5], and have recently come into fairly general practical use. The concept of the association scheme, though inherent in Bose and Nair's definition, was explicitly introduced by Bose and Shimamoto [6], as an aid to the classification and analysis of partially balanced designs.
2. Association schemes as concordant graphs.

An association scheme with $v$ objects and $m$ classes may be visualized as follows:

Let the objects be points. Suppose we have $m$ colors $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{m}$. If two objects are $i^{\text {th }}$ associates we connect them by a segment of the $i^{\text {th }}$ color. The points together with the segments of the $i^{\text {th }}$ color form a linear graph which will be regular of degree $n_{i}$ as a result of property (b). We may say that the $n$ graphs together are concordant ${ }^{2}$ when properties (a) and (c) are also satisfied, the meanings of these being as follows:
a) Every pair of points is connected by a single segment of one of the $m$ colors. The graphs are non-oriented.
2. Not to be confused with chromatic graphs, in which points, not segments, are colored. For a general discussion of linear graphs, see [11].
b) If any two points $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are connected by a segment of the $i^{\text {th }}$ color, then the number of points which are connected to $\alpha$ by a segment of color $C_{j}$ and to $\beta$ by a segment of color $C_{k}$, is $p_{j k}^{i}$ and is independent of the particular pair of points chosen.

Equivalentily $p_{j k}^{i}$ is the number of 2 chains directed from $\alpha$ to $\beta$ and consisting of. segments of colors $C_{j}$ and $C_{k}$ in that order. Clearly the $p_{j k}^{i}$ are closely related to the number of triangles in the graph formed of segments of colors $C_{i}, C_{j}, C_{k}$. Properties (a), (b) and (c) are just enough to specify the number of segments of each color on each point, and the number of triangles of each combination of colors on each segment. The total number of segwents, the total number of 2-chains, and the total number of triangles in the graph are then readily determined. Methods based on the incidence matrices of the graphs [16] can be used with (3.6) to enumerate certain chains of more than two segments. The arrangement in these graphs of all configurations involving two points or three points shows a striking regularity which does not extend to conifigurations having more than three points. It can be shown by examples that the points of the graph of color $C_{i}$ may not all lie on the same number of complete 4-points, and that two association schemes with the same parameter values may give graphs differing in the total number of complete 4 -points. This shows that the structure of concordant graphs is not determined completely by properties (a) to (c).
3. Association matrices.

We define ${ }^{3}$

$$
B_{i}=\left(b_{\alpha i}^{\beta}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{11}^{1} & b_{11}^{2} & \cdots & b_{1 i}^{v} \\
b_{v 1}^{1} & b_{v 1}^{2} & \cdots & b_{v 1}^{v}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{\alpha i}^{\beta} & =1 \text { if the objects } \alpha \text { and } \beta \text { are } 1^{\text {th }} \text { associates (or } \\
& \text { connected by a segment of the } 1^{\text {th }} \text { graph), } \\
& =0 \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$B_{1}$ is a symmetric matrix, in which each row total and each column total is $n_{i}$.

Let each object be the zeroeth associate of itself and of no other treatment. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{0} & =I_{v} \text { the } v \times v \text { identity matrix }, \\
n_{0} & =1, \\
p_{i j}^{0} & =n_{i} \text { if } k=j, \\
& =0 \text { otherwise, } \\
p_{0 k}^{1} & =1 \text { if } 1=k, \\
& =0 \text { otherwise, } \\
\lambda_{0} & =r \text { for designs. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The following identities are known $\lceil 5 \rrbracket$ and can be proved easily by combinatorial methods. Proofs based on the matrices $B_{i}$ will be given in Section 5.
3. The convention will be adopted here of using a superscript as the column index of a matrix, the first subscript as the row index, and the second subscript as the index of the matrix itself. This choice is dictated by the notation already established for the parameters pix.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=0}^{m} n_{i}=v \\
& \sum_{j=0}^{m} p_{j k}^{i}=n_{k} \\
& p_{j k}^{i}=p_{k j}^{i} \\
& n_{i} p_{j k}^{i}=n_{j} p_{i k}^{j}=n_{k} p_{i j}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Further the following two identities hold for designs.

$$
b k=v r,
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{m} n_{i} \lambda_{i}=r k \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Among the numbers

$$
b_{\alpha 0}^{\beta}, b_{\alpha 1}^{\beta}, \ldots, b_{\alpha m}^{\beta}
$$

only one is unity, i.e., $b_{\alpha 1}^{\beta}$ if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are $i^{\text {th }}$ associates. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{0}+B_{1}+\ldots+B_{m}=J_{v}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{v}$ is the $v \times v$ matrix each of whose elements is unity.
It also follows that the linear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0} B_{0}+c_{1} B_{1}+\ldots+c_{m} B_{m} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal to the zero matrix if and only if

$$
c_{0}=c_{1}=\ldots=c_{m}=0 ;
$$

hence the linear functions of $B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$ form a vector space with basis $B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$.
Lemma (3.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\gamma=1}^{v} b_{\alpha j}^{\gamma} b_{\gamma k}^{\beta}=p_{j k}^{0} b_{\alpha 0}^{\beta}+\ldots+p_{j k}^{1} b_{\alpha 1}^{\beta}+\ldots+p_{j k}^{m} b_{\alpha m}^{\beta} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The objects $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are either lst, 2nd, ... or m-th associates from condition (a) of section 1. Suppose they are i-th associates. Both terms of the product $b_{\alpha j}^{\gamma} b_{\gamma k}^{\beta}$ are unity if and only if $\gamma$ is the $j$-th associate of $\alpha$ as well as $k$-th associate of $\beta$. Hence from condition (c) section 1 , the left hand side of (3.5) is $p_{j k}^{i}$. Again since $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are i-th associates $b_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ is unity if $\ell=i$ and is zero otherwise. Hence the right hand side of (3.5) is also equal to $\mathrm{p}_{j \mathrm{k}}^{i}$. This proves the Lemma.

We now note that the left hand side of (3.5) is the element in the $\alpha$-th row and $\beta$-th column of the product $B_{j} B_{k}$, and $b_{\alpha l}^{\beta}$ is the element in the $\alpha$-th row and $\beta$-th column of $B_{\|}(\ell=0,1, \ldots, m)$. Thus ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{j} B_{k}=p_{j k}^{0} B_{0}+p_{j k}^{I} B_{1}+\ldots+p_{j k}^{m} B_{m} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The product of two matrices of the form (3.4), where the $c_{i}$ are scalars, may be expressed as a linear combination of terms of the form $B_{j} B_{k}$ and will reduce to the form (3.4). The set of matrices of this form is therefore closed under multiplication. It is clear that it forms an Abelian group under addition. Thus the linear functions of $B_{0}, B_{1}$, ...., $B_{m}$ form a ring with unit element, which will be a linear assoiative algebra if the coefficients $c_{i}$ range over a field. Multiplication is also commitative. This statement and the equivalent statement $p_{j k}^{i}=p_{k J}^{i}$ will be shown in section 5 to follow from (3.6) and the symmetry of $B_{i}$.

[^1]Inear associative algebras have of course been extensively studied and are treated, for example, in [13]. The properties of most importance in the present study are easily established, and brief proofs will now be given for the sake of completeness.

We first find the consequences of the associative law of matrix multiplication.

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{i}\left(B_{j} B_{k}\right) & =B_{i} \sum_{u} p_{j k}^{u} B_{u} \\
& =\sum_{u} p_{j k}^{u} B_{i} B_{u} \\
& =\sum_{u, t} p_{j k}^{u} p_{i u}^{t} B_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(B_{i} B_{j}\right) B_{k} & =\left(\sum_{u} p_{i j}^{u} B_{u}\right) B_{k} \\
& =\sum_{u} p_{i j}^{u} B_{u} B_{k} \\
& =\sum_{u, t} p_{i j}^{u} p_{u k}^{t} B_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the independence of $B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u} p_{i j}^{u} p_{u k}^{t}=\sum_{u} p_{j k}^{u} p_{i u}^{t} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In these equations the sumation over $u$ runs from 0 to $m$ and the remaining indices are arbitrary but fixed,

$$
0 \leq i, j, k, t \leq m
$$

Now let us define $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{k}}$ by 5
5. It should be noted that these matrices differ from matrices $P_{k}=\left(p_{1 j}^{k}\right)$ which were defined in several earlier papers $[4]:[5]$, [6] but do not have the same algebraic properties.

$$
Q_{k}=\left(p_{i k}^{j}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & p_{0 k}^{1} & \ldots & p_{0 k}^{m} \\
p_{1 k}^{0} & p_{1 k}^{1} & \cdots & p_{1 k}^{m} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \ldots & \cdot \\
p_{m k}^{0} & p_{m k}^{1} & \cdots & p_{m k}^{m}
\end{array}\right] \quad k=1,0, \ldots, m
$$

Now the left side of (3.7) is the element in the $i^{\text {th }}$ row and $t^{\text {th }}$ column of $\mathcal{P}_{j} P_{k}$. Also the element in the $i^{\text {th }}$ row and $t^{\text {th }}$ column of $\mathcal{P}_{u}$ is $p_{i u}^{t}$, so that the right side of (3.7) is the element in the $i^{\text {th }}$ row and $t^{\text {th }}$ column of

$$
p_{j k}^{0} P_{0}+p_{j k}^{1} P_{1}+\ldots+p_{j k}^{m} P_{m}
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{j} \ominus_{k}=p_{j k}^{0} \Theta_{0}+p_{j k}^{1} P_{1}+\ldots+p_{j k}^{m} \Theta_{m} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

 if $k=1$ and 0 otherwise, the $O^{\text {th }}$ row of $\bigoplus_{k}$ contains a 1 in column $k$ and O's in other positions, which is enough to show that if
then

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{0} P_{0}+c_{1} P_{1}+\ldots+c_{m} P_{m}=0, \\
c_{0}=c_{1}=\ldots=c_{m}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e., $P_{0}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$ are linearly independent. They thus form the basis for a vector space and combine in the same way as the B's under addition, as well as under multiplication. They provide a regular representation in $(m+1) \times(m+1)$ matrices of the algebra given by the B's which are $v x v$ matrices. In particular, $P_{0}=I_{m+1}$.

Since the $B^{\prime} s$ are commutative, the $P$ 's are commutative. In general they are not incidence matrices and are not symmetric. $P$ k does not have equal row totals, but has the same equal column totals $n_{k}$ as $B_{k}$. In analogy with (3.3), all elements of row $j$ of $\sum_{k} \bigoplus_{k}$ are equal to $n_{j}$.

Let $\quad B=c_{0} B_{0}+c_{1} B_{1}+\ldots+c_{m} B_{m}$
be any element of our algebra, and let $f(\lambda)$ be a polynomial. Then we can express

$$
f(B)=\ell_{0} B_{0}+\ell_{1} B_{1}+\ldots+\ell_{m} B_{m}
$$

If

$$
Q=c_{0} P_{0}+c_{1} Q_{1}+\ldots+c_{m} Q_{m}
$$

is the representation of $B$ then

$$
f(P)=l_{0} P_{0}+l_{1} P_{1}+\ldots+\ell_{m} P_{m} .
$$

Let $f(\lambda)$ be the minimum function of $B$ and $\phi(\lambda)$ the minimum function of $P$. Then $f(\lambda)$ is the moxic polynomial of least degree for which

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(B)=0 . \\
f(B)=0 \rightarrow l_{0}=l_{1}=\ldots=l_{m}=0 \rightarrow f(P)=0 ;
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e. $f(\lambda)$ is divisible by $\phi(\lambda)$.

Similarly $\phi(\lambda)$ is divisible by $f(\lambda)$. Since both are monic polynomials,

$$
f(\lambda)=\Phi(\lambda) .
$$

That is, $B$ and $\mathcal{F}$ have the same distinct characteristic roots, and every matrix $B$ has at most $m+1$ distinct characteristic roots which are solutions of the minimum equation of $P$.
4. Applications to combinatorial problems.

Association matrices will be used to derive some results first obtained in $[9]$ by a longer method.

The incidence matrix $N=\left(n_{i j}\right)$ of a design is defined by

$$
n_{i j}=1 \text { if treatment } i \text { occurs in block } j ;
$$

$=0$ otherwise.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=N N^{\prime}=r B_{0}+\lambda_{1} B_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{m} B_{m} ; \\
& P=r P_{0}+\lambda_{1} P_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{m} Q_{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
C=r\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right) B_{0}-\frac{\lambda_{1}}{k} B_{1}-\ldots-\frac{\lambda_{m}}{k} B_{m},
$$

where $C$ is the coefficient matrix in the normal equations for estimating the treatment effects after adjusting for the block effects $[6]$. Clearly $C$ is a symmetric matrix. If $e$ is a characteristic root of $C$, then $k(r-e)$ is a characteristic root of $B$. It is known that $C$ has rank $\mathrm{v}-1$ for a connected design $[1]$. In this case ${ }^{6}$ therefore, 0 is a simple root of $C$ and rk is a simple root of $B$, a fact which could also be shown directly as follows.

The elements of $B$ or NN' are non-negative, and for connected designs $B$ is irreducible. Also it is easy to verify that the sum of the elements in any row or column of $B$ is $\Sigma n_{i} \lambda_{i}=r k$. Hence

$$
B^{*}=\frac{I}{r k} B=\frac{I}{r k} N N^{\prime}
$$

is a stochastic matrix, which shows that unity is a simple root of $\mathrm{B}^{*}$, and is greater than all the other roots [7]. Thus rk is a simple root of $B$. The results of section 3 show that rk is a root of 9 and exceeds the other roots. If this root is removed from $|P-I \theta|=0$, then for the case $m=2$, the other two characteristic roots of $Q$ will be roots of a quadratic equation which reduces to
6. Connectedness was assumed implicitily in [9].

$$
\begin{aligned}
(r-\theta)^{2}+ & \left.\Gamma\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(p_{12}^{2}-p_{12}^{1}\right)-\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)\right](r-\theta) \\
& +\Gamma\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{2} p_{12}^{1}-\lambda_{1} p_{12}^{2}\right)+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} I=0
\end{aligned}
$$

on using the identities (3.1) and (3.2). The roots are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& r-\theta_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)(-\gamma-\sqrt{\Delta})+\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)\right]  \tag{4.1}\\
& r-\theta_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)(-\gamma+\sqrt{\Delta})+\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\gamma=\mathrm{p}_{12}^{2}-\mathrm{p}_{12}^{1}, \quad \beta=\mathrm{p}_{12}^{1}+\mathrm{p}_{12}^{2}, \quad \Delta=\gamma^{2}+2 \beta+1 .
$$

Therefore

$$
\left|\mathrm{NN}^{\prime}-I_{v} \theta\right|=(r k-\theta)\left(\theta_{1}-\theta\right)^{\alpha_{1}}\left(\theta_{2}-\theta\right)^{\alpha_{2}} .
$$

To determine the multiplicities $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ we note that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Tr} I_{v}=1+\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=v \\
\operatorname{TrNN}{ }^{\prime}=r k+\alpha_{1} \theta_{1}+\alpha_{2} \theta_{2}=\mathrm{vr}
\end{gathered}
$$

Solving and using (4.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}=\frac{n_{1}+n_{2}}{2}-\frac{\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)+\gamma\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\alpha_{2}=\frac{n_{1}+n_{2}}{2}+\frac{\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)+\gamma\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right)}{2 \sqrt{\Delta}}
$$

Thus the multiplicities $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ of the roots of NN' are determined in terms of the parameters of the design. It is striking that, being independent of $r$ and $\lambda_{i}$, these multiplicities are the same for all designs having a given association scheme. This is an instance of some general properties of $\alpha_{i}$ to be established in section 6.

For a design to exist, $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ must be integral. The condition this imposes on the parameters appearing in (4.2) has been used in
studies of the existence and non-existence of designs $[3],[8],[9]$, $[12],[15]$.
5. Applications of algebraic properties of association matrices.

In this section we assume only that $B_{i}(i=0, \ldots, m)$, are symmetric incidence matrices satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{0} & =I_{v},  \tag{5.1}\\
\sum_{i=0}^{m} B_{i} & =J_{v}, \\
B_{j} B_{k} & =\sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{j k}^{i} B_{i},
\end{align*}
$$

for some set of constants $p_{j k}^{i}$. All of the properties of the algebra except commutativity follow immediately, including its representation in terms of the matrices $\mathcal{P}_{k}=\left(p_{i k}^{j}\right)$. Also, $p_{j k}^{i}$ are elements of products of incidence matrices and must be non-negative integers. From

$$
B_{k}=B_{0} B_{k}=\sum_{i} p_{0 k}^{i} B_{i}
$$

we deduce the special values

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{O k}^{i} & =1 \text { if } i=k, \\
& =0 \text { if } i \neq k .
\end{aligned}
$$

The diagonal element in row $t$, column $t$ of $B_{j} B_{k}^{\prime}$ may be interpreted as the number of positions occupied by $l^{\prime \prime} s$ in row $t$ of $B_{j}$ as well as in row $t$ of $B_{k}$. (5.2) shows that if $k \neq j$ this element is zero. If $k=j$ it is equal to the number of 1 's in row $t$ of $B_{j}$. The expansions of $B_{j} B_{k}^{\prime}=B_{j} B_{k}$ and $B_{j} B_{j}^{\prime}=B_{j}^{2}$ then show that

$$
p_{j k}^{0}=0, \quad j \neq k,
$$

and that $p_{j j}^{0}$ is equal to each row total of $B_{j}$. These row totals must therefore be equal. As a matter of notation set

$$
p_{j j}^{0}=n_{j} .
$$

Row totals in (5.2) show

$$
\Sigma n_{j}=v
$$

Also

$$
\left(\sum_{j} B_{j}\right) B_{k}=J_{v} B_{k}=n_{k} J_{v}=\sum_{i} n_{k} B_{i},
$$

and

$$
\sum_{j}\left(B_{j} B_{k}\right)=\sum_{j i} \sum_{i} p_{j k}^{1} B_{i}=\sum_{i}\left(\sum_{j} p_{j k}^{i}\right) B_{i} .
$$

Hence comparing coefficients,

$$
\sum_{j} p_{j k}^{i}=n_{k} .
$$

We now show that commutativity follows from symmetry of $B_{i}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{k} B_{j} & =B_{k}^{\prime} B_{j}^{\prime}=\left(B_{j} B_{k}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\sum_{i} p_{j k}^{i} B_{i}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{i} p_{j k}^{i} B_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{i} p_{j k}^{i} B_{i}=B_{j} B_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

As a consequence,

$$
p_{j k}^{i}=p_{k j}^{i}
$$

We also deduce

$$
P_{j} P_{k}=P_{k} P_{j}
$$

Equating the elements in the $s^{\text {th }}$ row and $t^{\text {th }}$ column of $\mathcal{P}_{j} P_{k}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{k} \oplus_{j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i} p_{s j}^{i} p_{i k}^{t}=\sum_{i} p_{s k}^{i} p_{i j}^{t} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation is equivalent to (3.7). Taking $t=0$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i} p_{s j}^{i} p_{i k}^{0} & =\sum_{i} p_{s k}^{1} p_{i j}^{0}, \\
n_{k} p_{s j}^{k} & =n_{j} p_{s k}^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have now shown that all the known identities (3.1) follow from the properties of the algebra which were stated at the beginning of this section. However the relation

$$
P_{j} Q_{k}=P_{k} Q_{j}
$$

leads to new identities when $m>2$.
To prove a new identity in the case $m=3$, set $j=s=1$, $k=t=2$ in (5.4), giving

$$
n_{1}+p_{11}^{1} p_{12}^{2}+p_{11}^{2} p_{22}^{2}+p_{11}^{3} p_{32}^{2}=p_{12}^{1} p_{11}^{2}+p_{12}^{2} p_{21}^{2}+p_{12}^{3} p_{31}^{2}
$$

We remark that when $m=3$, other choices of $j, k, s$, $t$ lead to relations equivalent to this one. The use of a smaller number of parameters will make it easier to recognize equivalent expressions and will be helpful in simplifying the identity. A fairly symmetric set of parameters is the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, \\
& a_{12}=n_{1} p_{22}^{1}=n_{2} p_{12}^{2} \\
& a_{31}=n_{3} p_{11}^{3}=n_{1} p_{13}^{1} \\
& a_{23}=n_{2} p_{33}^{2}=n_{3} p_{23}^{3} \\
& x=
\end{aligned}
$$

Known identities can be used to express all $p_{j k}^{i}$ in terms of these parameters, whereupon the above identity reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{1}}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}+\frac{1}{n_{3}}\right)+x\left(\frac{a_{12}}{n_{1}}+\frac{a_{23}}{n_{2}}+\frac{a_{31}}{n_{3}}-n_{1}-n_{2}-n_{3}-1\right)  \tag{5.5}\\
& +\left(\frac{a_{31} a_{12}}{n_{1}}+\frac{a_{12} a_{23}}{n_{2}}+\frac{a_{23} a_{31}}{n_{3}}-n_{1} a_{23}-n_{2} a_{31}-n_{3}^{a_{12}}+n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

Thus when $n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, a_{12}, a_{23}, a_{31}$ are given, $x$ must satisfy a quadratic equation. This is a new relation, since known identities (3.1) do not determine $x$ in terms of the other chosen parameters. An example will illustrate this. Let

$$
a_{i}=8, \quad a_{i j}=24
$$

Then sets of $p_{j k}^{i}$ which satisfy (3.1) are obtained for

$$
x=8,16,24,32 \text { or } 40
$$

However, (5.5) becomes

$$
3 x^{2} / 8-16 x+152=0
$$

and has no integral solutions, showing that the parameter values $n_{i}=8$, $a_{i j}=24$ are impossible.

An equivalent and perhaps easier way to impose the new necessary conditions on a given set of parameters is to form the matrix products $\bigoplus_{j} P_{k}$ and $\bigoplus_{k} \bigoplus_{j}$ and require that they be identical.

The property of symmetry in the matrices $B_{i}$ was used in the proof of comutativity in the algebra, which has been of key importance in the proois of several of the foregoing identities. The fact that the elements of $B_{i}$ are $O^{\prime} s$ and 1 's has been used in determining the special form of the $p_{j k}^{i}$ values but has not been vital in the algebra or the identities relating $p_{j k}^{1}$. The simple example

$$
B_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad B_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

shows that matrices with elements other than $0^{\prime} s$ and $1!s$ may have the same algebraic behavior as association matrices and may lead to the same
identities. This shows the necessity of the word "incidence" in the following lemma, which summarizes several results of this section.

Lemma 5.1 If $B_{i}, i=0,1, \ldots, m$ are symetric incidence matrices satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{0}=I_{v}, \\
\sum_{i=0}^{v} B_{i}=J_{v}, \\
B_{j} B_{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{j k}^{i} B_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

for some set of constants $p_{j k}^{i}$, then $B_{i}$ are the association matrices of an association scheme satisfying (a) to (c) of Section 1.

This lemma provides a useful algebraic method of verifying whether a given association relation satisfies the conditions of partial balance.

Algebraic sufficiency conditions may be used for designs as well as association schemes. It is easy to verify that an incidence matrix $N$ is the matrix of a PBIB design if and only if $N$ has equal column totals and

$$
\mathbb{N N}^{\prime}=r B_{0}+\lambda_{1} B_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{m} B_{m}
$$

for some $m$ and some numbers $r, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$, where $B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 5.1. An application of this Lemma will be made In the proof of the next theorem.

Given an association scheme $d$ with more than $m$ classes, let the indices of the associate classes be arranged into disjoint sets $S_{0}=\{0\}$, $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}$. Define a new association relation $B$ in which associate classes correspond to sets $S_{i}$, two treatments being defined as $i^{\text {th }}$ associates in © If and only if the associate class of the two treatments in $a$ correspond to one of the indices in set $S_{i}$.

Association relations obtained in this way do not in general set1afy the conditions of partial balance. Lemma 4.1 of $[18]$ ates necessary and sufficient conditions for partial balance in the case $S_{1}=\{1,2\}, S_{i}=\{1+1\}, i \geq 2,1 . e .$, the case in which just two classes are combined. Iteration may give schemes in which several classes have been combined. However, examples are known [ 15$]$ in which a combination of 3 or more classes will give a new scheme with partial balance while every combination of 2 classes fails, so that the iterative pro. cedure is impossible. The following generalization is therefore nontrivial.

Theorem 5.1 Given an association scheme $Q$ with $v$ treatments and parameter values $q_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}$, let an association relation $\beta$ with $v$ treatments have classes $0,1, \ldots, m$ determined by disjoint sets $S_{0}=(0), S_{1}, \ldots, S_{m}$ of indices of $Q$. In order for $B$ to satisfy the conditions of partial balance it is $n, a, s$. that there exist constants $p_{j k}^{i}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\beta \in S_{j}} \sum_{k \in S_{k}} q_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}=p_{j k}^{i} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $\alpha \in S_{i}$, and for $i, j, k=0,1, \ldots, m$ in this case $\beta$ has parameter values $p_{j k}^{i}$.
Proof: We dercte incidence matrices of $Q$ by $A_{\alpha}$ and of $\left(B\right.$ by $B_{1}$. From the definition of $Q$,

$$
B_{1}=\sum_{\alpha \in S_{1}} A_{\alpha}
$$

Lemma 5.1 will now be applied.

Clearly $B_{i}$ are symmetric incidence matrices, $B_{0}=I_{v}$ and $\sum_{i} B_{i}=J_{v}$; in order for $\mathcal{W}_{\text {to }}$ have partial balance it is thus n.a.s. that there exist constants $p_{j k}^{i}$ such that

$$
B_{j} B_{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{j k}^{i} B_{i}
$$

Substituting,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{j} B_{k}=\left(\sum_{\beta \in S_{j}} A_{B}\right)\left(\sum_{\gamma \in S_{k}} A_{\gamma}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\beta \in S_{j}} \sum_{\gamma \in S_{j}} A_{\beta} A_{\gamma}=\sum_{\beta \in S_{j}} \sum_{\gamma \in S_{k}} \sum_{\alpha} q_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha} A_{\alpha} \\
& =\sum_{\alpha}^{\Sigma}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma & \Sigma & q_{B \gamma}^{\alpha} \\
\beta \in S_{j} & \gamma \in S_{k} & A_{\alpha} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{j k}^{i} B_{i} & =\sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{j k}^{i} \sum_{\alpha \in S_{i}} A_{\alpha} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{\alpha \in S_{i}} p_{j k}^{i} A_{\alpha} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

the coefficient of $A_{\alpha}$ in this expression has the same value $p_{j k}^{i}$ for every $\alpha \in S_{i}$. Equating to the coefficient of $A_{\alpha}$ in the previous equation we obtain (5.6) as the n.a.s. conditions on the parameter values $q_{\beta \gamma}^{\alpha}$ of $\beta$, completing the proof of the theorem.
6. Characteristic roots of matrices in the algebras.

The procedure used in Section 4 to determine the multiplicites $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ is readily generalized to association schemes with $m$ classes, If $\theta$ is a characteristic root of $B=\sum_{i=0}^{\mathbb{M}} c_{i} B_{i}$, where $c_{i}$ belong to the field of real (or complex) numbers, then $\theta^{n}$ is a characteristic root of $B^{n}$. Also, the trace of any matrix is equal to the sum of its characteristic roots. This leads to a system of equations in the roots $\theta_{u}$ of $Q=\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_{1} P_{i}$ and the multiplicities $\alpha_{u}$ of the same roots of $B . \theta_{0}$ will designate $\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_{i} n_{i}$, the common value of the row totals of $B$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{m}=\operatorname{TrI} \\
& \alpha_{0} \theta_{0}+\alpha_{1} \theta_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{m} \theta_{m}=\operatorname{TrB} \\
& \alpha_{0} \theta_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \theta_{1}^{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{m} \theta_{m}^{2}=\operatorname{TrB} \\
& \cdot \cdots \cdot \\
& \alpha_{0} \theta_{0}^{m}+\alpha_{1} \theta_{1}^{m}+\ldots+\alpha_{m} \theta_{m}^{m}=\operatorname{TrB}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equations of this form were used in [9] but were limited to the cases $m \leq 4$ because of the difficulty of computing $\operatorname{Tr} B^{n}$ with methods then available. (3.6) may be used to express $B^{n}$ in the form

$$
B^{n}=c_{0, n} B_{0}+c_{1, n} B_{1}+\ldots+c_{m, n} B_{m} .
$$

Then, since $B_{0}$ is the only $B_{i}$ with non-zero diagonal elements,

$$
\operatorname{TrB}^{n}=v c_{0, n} .
$$

The right members of the equations are therefore easily computed. The coefficients of $\alpha_{u}$ form the Vandermonde matrix with determinant

$$
\prod_{0 \leq j<k \leq m}\left(\theta_{k}-\theta_{j}\right)
$$

The system will therefore have a unique solution if and only if the $m+1$ roots $\theta_{u}$ are distinct. It will be shown in Corollary 6.2 that this will be the case for at least some choice of $c_{i}$.

The sc 2 uidions $\alpha_{i}$ must be non-negative integers, If they can be expressed in tarms of the parameters $c_{i}$ and $p_{j k}^{i}$ this requirement will provide necessary conditions which the parameters must satisfy in order for matrix $B$ to exist. An explicit solution of (6.1) requires a general solution of the equation $|\theta I-\mathcal{P}|=0$, which may be difficult to obtain for $m>2$, but one observation may be made at once. If the basis matrices $B_{i}$ exist, then matrix $B$ will exist for arbitrary values of $c_{i}$,
with characteristic roots which obviously occur with integral multiplicities. This indicates that the integral nature of $\alpha_{u}$ must be independent of $c_{i}$ and dependent only on $p_{j k}^{i}$. Theorem 6.3 will show that this holds not only for the integral property but for the exact values $\alpha_{u}$. This is somewhat surprising in view of the form of (6.1), since the values $\theta_{u}$ and $\operatorname{Tr} B^{n}$ depend strongly on $c_{i}$. The other theorems of this section will give further insight into the nature of the roots $\theta_{u}$ and multiplicites $\alpha_{u}$, as well as simplifying their computation. Results related to some of these have been obtained independently and by a different approach in [10].

It was pointed out in section 4 , that $\alpha_{0}=1$ for the matrix NN' if it is irreducible (which is the case when the design is connected). The same theorems for stochastic matrices [7] apply to any B with nonnegative coefficients $c_{i}$. In particular any matrix $B_{i}$ which is irreducible has $n_{i}$ as a simple root. It follows from theorems (6.1) - (6.3) which we now proceed to prove, that $\theta_{0}$ is a simple root (i.e. $\alpha_{0}=1$ ) for any set of coefficients $c_{i}$ for which

$$
B=\sum_{i=0}^{m} C_{i} B_{i}
$$

is irreducible.
Theorer 6.1 Let the characteristic roots of $Q_{i}$ be $z_{u i}$, $u=0,1, \ldots, m$. Then for a suitable ordering of $z_{u i}$ for each $i$, the characteristic roots of the matrix

$$
P=\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_{i} P_{i}
$$

are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{u}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_{i} z_{u i}, \quad u=0,1, \ldots, m \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The matrices $\mathcal{P}_{0}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{m}$ are pairwise commutative. Frobenius: Theorem ( $[14]$, Thm. 16.1) then states that for a suitable ordering of the characteristic roots of $z_{u i}$ of each $\Theta_{i}$, and for any rational function

$$
f\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)
$$

the roots of

$$
f\left(\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right)
$$

are given by

$$
f\left(z_{u 0}, \ldots, z_{u m}\right), \quad u=0,1, \ldots, m
$$

Also, the ordering of the roots is the same for every rational function f. The required theorem follows by taking

$$
f\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_{i} x_{i}
$$

Corollary 6.1: The distinct characteristic roots $\theta_{u}$ of

$$
B=\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_{i} B_{i}
$$

are given by

$$
\theta_{u}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} c_{i} z_{u i}, \quad u=0,1, \ldots, m
$$

The problem of finding $\theta_{u}$ is therefore solved if the values $z_{u i}$ can be found and ordered, When they are ordered as specified by Theorem 6.1, we define the matrix

$$
z=\left(z_{u i}\right)
$$

Since $z_{u i}$ are the characteristic roots of symetric matrices $B_{i}, Z$ is a real matrix.

Theorem 6.2 $\mathrm{Z}=\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{ui}}\right)$ is non-singular.
Proof: Let

$$
\mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}}
$$

be a real solution of the system of homogeneous equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{m} z_{u i} y_{i}=0, \quad u=0,1, \ldots, m \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This system has coefficient matrix $Z$ and will have a non-zero solution if and only if $Z$ is non-singular. Since $Z$ is real there is no loss of generality in taking $y_{i}$ real. By Corollary 6.1 the characteristic roots of the matrix

$$
B=\sum_{i=0}^{m} y_{i} B_{i}
$$

are given by the left side of (6.3) and are therefore all equal to zero. The sum of all products of roots taken $s$ at a time is thus equal to zero, $s=1,2, \ldots, v$; this sum is equal to the generalized trace $\operatorname{Tr} B$, the sum of all $s \times s$ principal minor determinants of $B$. $B$ is symmetric with diagonal elements $y_{0}$ and other elements $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}$. This follows by noting that emong the incidence matrices $B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$ there is one and only one say $B_{i}$ for which the element in the $t-t h$ row and $u-t h$ column is unity, whereas for $B_{j}, j \neq i$, the corresponding element is zero. Hence $B$ will have $y_{i}$ in positions which correspond to unities of $B_{i}$. In particular the diagonal elements of $B$ will all be $y_{0}$. Therefore

$$
T r_{1} B=v y_{0}=0
$$

giving

$$
y_{0}=0 .
$$

Any element $y_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$ contributes $y_{0}^{2}-y_{i}^{2}$ or $-y_{i}^{2}$ to $\operatorname{Tr}_{2} B$. Since each row of $B_{i}$ sums up to $n_{i}$, the number of unities above the diagonal in $B_{i}$ is $\mathrm{vn}_{1} / 2$. This is also the number of $y_{i}$ 's above the diagonal in $B$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{2} B=-\frac{v}{2}\left(n_{1} y_{1}^{2}+n_{2} y_{2}^{2}+\ldots+n_{m} y_{m}^{2}\right)=0
$$

Since $v, n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}$ are positive integers and $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}$ are real it follows that

$$
y_{1}=y_{2}=\ldots=y_{m}=0 .
$$

Therefore (6.3) has no non-zero solution and 2 is non-singular.
Corollary 6.2: Given a set of association matrices $B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{m}$, any ordered set of real (or complex) numbers $\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{m}$ is the ordered set of distinct characteristic roots of

$$
B=c_{0} B_{0}+c_{1} B_{1}+\ldots+c_{m} B_{m}
$$

for a unique set of real (or complex) coefficients $c_{i}$. In particular, matrices $B$ exist with $m+1$ distinct roots.

Proof: For arbitrary $\theta_{0}, \ldots, \theta_{m}$ the system (6.2) can be solved uniquely for $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{m}$.

Theorem 6.3: If

$$
B=c_{0} B_{0}+c_{1} B_{1}+\ldots+c_{m} B_{m}
$$

is an element of an algebra with the association matrices $B_{i}$ as basis then

$$
|\theta I-B|=\prod_{u=0}^{m}\left(\theta-\theta_{u}\right)^{\alpha_{u}}
$$

where $\alpha_{u}$ are independent of $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{m}$.
Proof: Let $S$ be an element of the algebra which has m+1 distinct characteristic roots. Then $S$ does not satisfy any polynomial equation with degree less than $m+1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{0}=B_{0}=I, \\
& s=b_{01} B_{0}+b_{11} B_{1}+\ldots+b_{m 1} B_{m}, \\
& s^{2}=b_{02} B_{0}+b_{12} B_{1}+\ldots+b_{m 2} B_{m}, \\
& \cdot \\
& s^{m}=b_{0 m} B_{0}+b_{1 m} B_{1}+\ldots+b_{m m} B_{m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $S$ does not satisfy any equation of degree $m$ or less, these equations must be independent and can be solved to give each $B_{i}$ as a linear expression in $S^{j}$ with constant coefficients. Hence any arbitrary element $B$ can be written

$$
B=d_{0} I+d_{1} S+\ldots+d_{m} s^{m}
$$

If $\phi$ is a characteristic root of $s$, then the corresponding characteristic root of B will be

$$
\theta=d_{0}+d_{1} \phi+\ldots+d_{m} \phi^{m}
$$

All of the roots $\theta_{\mu}$ of $B$ may be obtained in this way by using all of the roots of $s$. If a root $\phi_{\mu}$ has multiplicity $\alpha_{\mu}$, then the corresponding $\alpha_{\mu}$ roots of $B$ will be equal. That is, the roots $\theta_{\mu}$ of an arbitrary matrix B have the same multiplicites $\alpha_{\mu}$ as the corresponding roots $\phi_{\mu}$ of the fixed matrix $S$ and are therefore independent of the coefficients $c_{1}$ occurring in $B$.

This completes the proof but an additional remark should be made. The element $B$ may be such theit distinct roots $\phi$ lead to the same value $\hat{\theta} \wedge$ $\theta$, whose multiplicity $\alpha$ will be equal to the sum of two or more $\alpha_{\mu}$. In general, if $M$ is a subset of the set $0,1, \ldots, m, \theta_{\mu}=\hat{\theta}$ for $\mu \in M$, and $\theta_{\mu} \neq \hat{\theta}$ for $\mu \notin M$, then $\hat{\alpha}=\sum_{\mu \in M} \alpha_{\mu}$. The statement of the theorem is correct whether $\theta_{\mu}$ are distinct or not.

If the roots $z_{u i}$ are obtained separately for each $\mathcal{P}_{1}$, it may not be immediately clear what ordering of them is required by Theorem 6.1. However, each $z_{u i}$ is a root of $B_{i}$ with multiplicity $\alpha_{u}$. If the multiplicities are known, a suitable ordering will then be determined by any ordering of the $\alpha_{u}$ if the $\alpha_{u}$ are distinct, and partially determined if they are not all distinct. Theorem 6.5 will give another technique for ordering the roots. Theorem 6.4 reveals another significance of the distinctness or equality of the $\alpha_{u}$.

Theorem 6.4 If $t$ and only $t$ of the multiplicities $\alpha_{u}$ are equal, then for each 1 the corresponding roots $z_{u i}$ satisfy a monic polynomial equation with integral coefficients and degree $t$. In particular, if any $\alpha_{u}$ is distinct from the other multiplicities, the corresponding roots $z_{u i}$ are rational integers.

Proof: The term m-polynomial will denote "monic polynomial with integral coefficients." The characteristic polynomial of a matrix with integral coefficients is an m-polynomial. Denote the characteristic polynomials of a basis matrix $B_{i}$ and its representation $\mathbb{P}_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i}(\theta)=\left|\theta I-B_{i}\right|=\prod_{u=0}^{m}\left(\theta-z_{u i}\right)^{\alpha_{u}}, \\
& \phi_{i}(\theta)=\left|\theta I-P_{i}\right|=\prod_{u=0}^{m}\left(\theta-z_{u i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For a particular root $z_{u i}$, let $g(\theta)$ be the m-polynomial of lowest degree $s$ with $z_{u i}$ as a zero. $g(\theta)$ is irreducible over the rational field. It is determined uniquely by any of its zeros and any m-polynomial which has any of its zeros is divisible by $g(\theta)$ and has all of its zeros $[13$, Sec. 387. Therefore $f_{1}(\theta)$ and $\phi_{1}(\theta)$ are divisible by $g(\theta)$, which must
be the product of $s$ of the linear factors of $\phi_{i}(\theta)$. Moreover, the cor responding multiplicities must all have the same value $\alpha_{u}$; otherwise, after a certain number of successive divisions of $f_{i}(\theta)$ by $g(\theta)$ the quotient would be an m-polynomial which has some of the zeros of $g(\theta)$ but not a.11. In short, $f_{i}(\theta)$ contains $[g(\theta)]^{\alpha u^{\prime}}$ as a factor and at least $s$ of the multiplicities are equal. It may happen that the set of distinct irreducible factors with multiplicity $\alpha_{u}$, includes others along with $g(\theta)$. The product of the factors in the set will be the polynomial of degree $t$ described in this theorem, where $t$ is the sum of the degree $s$ of $g(\theta)$ and the degrees of any other factors in the set. Clearly $s \leq t$. If $t=1$, then $s=1$ and $g(\theta)=\theta-z_{u^{\prime} i}$. Since $g(\theta)$ has integral coefficients, it follows in this case that $z_{u} i_{i}$ is an integer, $i=0, \ldots, m$.

Theorems 6.1 and 6.4 are illustrated in the case of $m=2$ associate classes by expressions (4.1) for the roots $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$, the roles of $c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}$ being played by $r, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$. Although in general the roots of a quadratic equation are isrational functions of the coefficients and although $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ occur several times in the coefficients, the roots in this case are linear polynomials in $r, \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}$, with coefficients that are rational if and only if the integer $\Delta$ is a perfect square. It is shown in $[9]$ that if $\alpha_{1} \neq \alpha_{2}$ it is in fact necessary that $\Delta$ be a perfect square, implying that the roots are rational. The additional fact that they are integers is not obvious from (4.1). It is further shown in $[9]$ that if $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}$, it is possible that $\Delta$ will not be a square and that the roots will be irrational. This is precisely the case in known designs of cyclic type.

Theorem 6.5 For fixed $u=0, \ldots, m$, the roots $z_{u i}$ satisfy the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{u j} z_{u k}=\sum_{i=0}^{m} p_{j k}^{i} z_{u i} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: The relation is proved by applying Frobenius' theorem to both sides of (3.8).

It is interesting to note the amount of simplification that has now been made in the study of a matrix of the algebra, for example the matrix

$$
N N^{\prime}=r B_{0}+\lambda_{1} B_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{m} B_{m}
$$

of a design. The characteristic equation of this matrix is of degree $v$. The regular representation introduced in Section 3 reduces its solution to the solution of an equation of degree $m+1$. The theorems of this section show that the characteristic roots are linear combinations of $r$, $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ and that the multiplicities are entirely independent of these parameters, depending only on the association scheme. The coefficients of $r, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ are $z_{u i}$, the characteristic roots of the matrices ${ }_{1}$, which also depend only on the association schemes. In some cases the $z_{u i}$ can be shown to be integers and in any case they satisfy the system of quadratic equations $(6,4)$. Once $z_{u 1}$ values are found for some of matrices $\Theta_{1}, \ldots, P_{m}$, the equations ( 6.4 ) may be particularly useful, not only permitting an easy determination of the remaining $z_{u i}$, but giving them in the order required by Frobenius' theorem and used in Theorem 6,1.

The matrix $Z=\left(z_{u i}\right)$ seems deserving of further study. As an indication of its usefulness we make the following remark.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{u=0}^{m} \alpha_{u} z_{u i}=\operatorname{Tr}_{i} & =v, \quad i=0, \\
& =0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

This is equivalent to the system of equations

$$
\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right) z=(v, 0,0, \ldots, 0)
$$

providing an alternative to (6.1) for determining $\alpha_{u}$.
The authors are thankful to William S. Connor and Karl Goldberg for several stimulating discussions during the preparation of this paper.
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