## Stochastic Models of Manufacturing Systems

Ivo Adan





Technische Universiteit **Eindhoven** University of Technology

## **Exponential closed networks**

- Workstations 1, ..., M
- Workstation m has  $c_m$  parallel identical machines
- N circulating jobs (N is the population size)
- Processing times in workstation m are exponential with rate  $\mu_m$
- Processing order is FCFS
- Buffers are unlimited
- Markovian routing:
  - job moves from workstation m to n with probability  $p_{mn}$

This network is also called Closed Jackson network



### **Exponential closed networks**





3/58



TU/e Technische Universiteit Eindhoven University of Technology



#### How to design a robotic barn? How many robots?



### Closed network with *K* circulating cows (the herd) and 6 workstations:

- 1. Milking robot,
- 2. Concentrate feeder,
- 3. Forage lane,
- 4. Water trough,
- 5. Cubicle and
- 6. (artifical one) Walking.



#### Closed network with *K* circulating cows and 6 workstations:





# **Zone-Picking Systems**





# **Example: Zone-Picking**





## **Example: Zone-Picking**

### **Issues in design:**

- What should be the layout of the network?
- Size of zones?
- Where to locate items?
- What number of pickers and zones?
- Required CONWIP level?



# **Example: Single Zone**





# Example: Single Zone



#### Closed network with *K* totes and 6 workstations



# Example: KIVA robots

13/58





# Example: KIVA robots



#### How to design a KIVA system? How many robots?



14/58

## **Example: KIVA robots**



Closed queueing network model with *K* circulating robots



15/58

## **Example: Container terminal**



#### How many AGVs needed for unloading ship?



16/58

## **Example: Container terminal**



Abstract view of load/unload process



17/58

## **Example: Container terminal**



#### Closed queueing network model with K circulating AGVs



18/58

## Exponential single server network

19/58

### States of network $(k_1, \ldots, k_M)$ where $k_m$ is number of jobs in workstation m

### Note that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m = N$$

so there are  $\binom{N+M-1}{M-1}$  states!

State probabilities  $p(k_1, k_2, ..., k_M)$  satisfy balance equations ( $c_m = 1$ )

Flow out of 
$$\underline{k} = \text{Flow into } \underline{k}$$
  
 $p(\underline{k}) \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mu_m \epsilon(k_m) = \sum_{n=1}^{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} p(\underline{k} + \underline{e}_n - \underline{e}_m) \mu_n p_{nm} \epsilon(k_m)$ 

where  $\underline{e}_m = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$  with 1 at place *m* and  $\epsilon(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k > 0 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ 

chnische Universiteit **ndhoven** niversity of Technology

# Exponential single server network

Product form solution "Jackson's miracle"

 $p(\underline{k}) = C p_1(k_1) p_2(k_2) \cdots p_M(k_M),$ 

where C is normalizing constant and

$$p_m(k_m) = \left(\frac{v_m}{\mu_m}\right)^{k_m}, \quad k_m = 0, 1, \dots$$

with  $v_m$  the "arrival rate" to workstation m

### This is again a product of M/M/1 solutions:

Number in station *m* follows M/M/1 with arrival rate  $v_m$  and service rate  $\mu_m$ !



# Exponential single server network

21/58

### $v_m$ is the relative arrival rate or visiting frequency to m, satisfying

$$v_m = \sum_{n=1}^M v_n p_{nm}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M$$

**Remarks:** 

- Equations above determine  $v_m$ 's up to a multiplicative constant
- Set  $v_1 = 1$ , then  $v_m$  is the expected number of visits to m in between two successive visits to station 1
- Although  $p(\underline{k})$  is again a product, the queues at stations are dependent!
- Product form result also valid for fixed routing
- How to compute C?



## Normalizing constant

Let

$$C(m,n) = \sum_{\substack{k_1,\ldots,k_m \ge 0\\\sum_{i=1}^m k_i = n}} \left(\frac{v_1}{\mu_1}\right)^{k_1} \left(\frac{v_2}{\mu_2}\right)^{k_2} \cdots \left(\frac{v_m}{\mu_m}\right)^{k_m}$$

So C(m, n) is sum of products in network with stations 1, ..., m and population n. Clearly C = 1/C(M, N)

Recursion (Buzen's algorithm):

$$C(m, n) = C(m - 1, n) + \frac{v_m}{\mu_m} C(m, n - 1)$$

with initial conditions

 $C(0, n) = 0, \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad C(m, 0) = 1, \quad m = 1, \dots, M,$ 



22/58

## Normalizing constant

Recursion (Buzen's algorithm):

$$C(m, n) = C(m - 1, n) + \frac{v_m}{\mu_m} C(m, n - 1)$$

with initial conditions

 $C(0, n) = 0, \quad n = 1, \dots, N, \quad C(m, 0) = 1, \quad m = 1, \dots, M,$ 





### Mean values

What is the real arrival rate λ<sub>m</sub>?
 Note that

$$\lambda_M = v_m \frac{C(M, N-1)}{C(M, N)}$$

and

$$\lambda_m = \frac{v_m}{v_M} \,\lambda_M$$

• What is mean number  $E(L_M)$  in station M?

$$E(L_M) = \frac{1}{C(M, N)} \sum_{k_M=0}^{N} k_M \left(\frac{v_M}{\mu_M}\right)^{k_M} C(M-1, N-k_M)$$

• What is expected cycle time E(C) between two visits to station 1?

$$E(C) = \frac{N}{\lambda_1}$$
 (Little's law)  
Tuesday June 16



#### **Product form solution**

 $p(\underline{k}) = Cp_1(k_1)p_2(k_2)\cdots p_M(k_M),$ 

where C is normalizing constant and

$$p_m(k_m) = \prod_{k=1}^{k_m} \frac{v_m}{\mu_m(k)}$$

where  $\mu_m(k) = \min(k, c_m)\mu_m$  and  $v_m$  the visiting frequency to workstation m

This is product of  $M/M/c_m$  solutions with arrival rate  $v_m$  and service rate  $\mu_m$ !

Normalizing constant C can again be calculated via recursion (verify!)



**Question**: What is the state seen by job moving from one station to another?

Total number of jumps per time unit that see the (single server) network in state  $\underline{k} \in S(N-1) = \{\underline{k} \ge 0 | \sum_{i=1}^{M} k_i = N-1 \}$ 

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} p(\underline{k} + \underline{e}_m) \mu_m = \frac{1}{C(M, N)} p_1(k_1) \cdots p_M(k_M) \sum_{m=1}^{M} v_m,$$

where  $p_m(k_m) = \left(\frac{v_m}{\mu_m}\right)^{k_m}$ 

Total number of all jumps per time unit in the (single server) network

$$\sum_{\underline{l}\in S(N-1)}\sum_{m=1}^{M}p(\underline{l}+\underline{e}_{m})\mu_{m}=\frac{1}{C(M,N)}\sum_{\underline{l}\in S(N-1)}p_{1}(l_{1})\cdots p_{M}(l_{M})\sum_{m=1}^{M}v_{m},$$



Fraction of jumps per time unit that see the network in state  $\underline{k} \in S(N - 1)$ 

$$\frac{\frac{1}{C(M,N)}p_1(k_1)\cdots p_M(k_M)\sum_{m=1}^M v_m}{\frac{1}{C(M,N)}\sum_{l\in S(N-1)}^I p_1(l_1)\cdots p_M(l_M)\sum_{m=1}^M v_m} = \frac{1}{C(M,N-1)}p_1(k_1)\cdots p_M(k_M)$$

which is probability that network with N-1 circulating jobs is in state <u>k</u>

### Conclusion:

Arbitrary job moving from one station to another sees the network in equilibrium with a population with one job less (job does not see himself)

#### **Remarks:**

- Also valid in multi-server networks (verify!)
- Also valid for jobs moving to a specific station (verify!)
- What is the impact of this result?

Tuesday June 16



### Define for network with population k

 $E(S_m(k)) =$  mean production lead time at station m $\Lambda_m(k) =$  throughput of station m

 $E(L_m(k)) =$  mean number of jobs in station m

For population k = 1, 2, ..., N in single server network

$$E(S_m(k)) = E(L_m(k-1))\frac{1}{\mu_m} + \frac{1}{\mu_m} \quad \text{(Arrival theorem)}$$
  

$$\Lambda_m(k) = \frac{kv_m}{\sum_{n=1}^M v_n E(S_n(k))} \quad \text{(Little)}$$
  

$$E(L_m(k)) = \Lambda_m(k)E(S_m(k)) \quad \text{(Little)}$$

with initially  $E(L_m(0)) = 0$  for all m

Remark:

•  $\sum_{n=1}^{M} v_n E(S_n(k))$  is mean cycle time of job



## Mean value analysis

In multi server network

$$E(S_m(k)) = \Pi_m(k-1) \frac{1}{c_m \mu_m} + \left( E(L_m(k-1)) - \frac{\Lambda_m(k-1)}{\mu_m} \right) \frac{1}{c_m \mu_m} + \frac{1}{\mu_m}$$

where  $\Pi_m(k-1)$  is probability that all servers are busy

Approximate  $\Pi_m(k-1)$  by probability of waiting in corresponding  $M/M/c_m$ 

$$\Pi_m(k-1) \approx \frac{\frac{1}{c_m!} \left(\frac{\Lambda_m(k-1)}{\mu_m}\right)^{c_m}}{\left(1 - \frac{\Lambda_m(k-1)}{c_m\mu_m}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{c_m-1} \frac{1}{i!} \left(\frac{\Lambda_m(k-1)}{\mu_m}\right)^i + \frac{1}{c_m!} \left(\frac{\Lambda_m(k-1)}{\mu_m}\right)^{c_m}}$$

If  $c_m = \infty$  (no waiting)

$$E(S_m(k)) = \frac{1}{\mu_m}$$



29/58

In multi server station

$$E(S_m(k)) = \Pi_m(k-1) \frac{E(R_m)}{c_m} + (E(L_m(k-1)) - \Lambda_m(k-1)E(B_m)) \frac{E(B_m)}{c_m} + E(B_m)$$

where  $\Pi_m(k-1)$  is approximated by probability of waiting in M/M/c

In single server station this reduces to

 $E(S_m(k)) = \rho_m(k-1)E(R_m) + (L_m(k-1) - \rho_m(k-1)) E(B_m) + E(B_m)$ 

where  $\rho_m(k-1) = \Lambda_m(k-1) E(B_m)$ 



## Example

### Closed system with 4 single server stations and 10 circulating pallets:



#### **Processing characteristics:**

Station	$E(B_m)$	$c_{B_m}^2$
1	1.25	0.25
2	1.25	0.50
3	2.00	0.33
4	1.60	1.00



# Example

Mean value analysis:  $\Lambda_1(10) = 0.736$  parts per time unit Simulation:  $\Lambda_1(10) = 0.743 \pm 0.003$  parts per time unit

Station	$E(S_m(10))$		
	amva	sim	
1	4.417	$\textbf{4.890} \pm \textbf{0.106}$	
2	5.050	$\textbf{4.760} \pm \textbf{0.169}$	
3	4.181	$\textbf{3.860} \pm \textbf{0.068}$	
4	4.086	$\textbf{3.790} \pm \textbf{0.118}$	



# Example

#### Production system:

- C machines
- N pallets
- *M* operations to be performed
- each operation requires a specific tool set
- *r<sub>m</sub>* copies of tool set *m*
- $v_m E(B_m)$  is work load to be handled by tool set m



### Optimization problem:

 $\max T H(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_M)$ subject to  $\sum_{m=1}^{M} c_m \le C,$  $1 \le c_m \le r_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M.$ 

### where $c_m$ is number of tool sets m being used



### Optimization problem:

 $\max T H(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m)$ subject to  $\sum_{m=1}^{M} c_m \le C,$  $1 \le c_m \le r_m, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, M.$ 

where  $c_m$  is number of tool sets m being used

Heuristic solution:

- Subsequently allocate tool sets to machines
- allocate tool set with maximum increase in throughput



### Closed network with *K* circulating cows and 6 workstations:

- 1. Milking robot,
- 2. Concentrate feeder,
- 3. Forage lane,
- 4. Water trough,
- 5. Cubicle and
- 6. (artifical one) Walking.





Histogram of the processing time (in min.) in the milking robot:



#### 38/58

### Processing times in the facilities of the barn:

		Processing time (in min.)	
Facility	Routing probability	Mean	Standard deviation
Milking robot	0.164	8.41	2.52
Concentrate feeder	0.155	6.38	6.25
Forage lane	0.235	15.0	11.9
Water trough	0.170	3.18	2.30
Cubicle	0.276	38 <b>.</b> 9	60.3





General closed network model of robotic dairy barn.



39/58





type cow = tuple (real arr; int stat); type cow\_walk = tuple(cow x; timer t);



### Buffer

```
proc B(chan? cow a; chan! cow b):
    list cow xs;
    COW X;
    while true:
        select
            a?x:
                 x.arr = time;
                 xs = xs + [x]
        alt
             size(xs) > 0, b!xs[0]:
                 xs = xs[1:]
        end
    end
end
```



## Machine

proc M(chan? cow a; chan! cow b, c; dist real u):
 cow x;

```
while true:
    a?x;
    b!x;
    delay sample u;
    c!x;
    end
end
```



### Workstation

proc W(chan? cow a; chan! cow b, c; dist real u; int m):
 chan cow d;



```
proc L(chan? cow a; chan! cow b; real walk):
    list cow_walk xst;
    COW X;
    while true:
        select
            a?x:
                 xst = xst + [(x, timer(walk))]
        alt
            not empty(xst) and ready(xst[0].t), b!xst[0].t
                 xst = xst[1:]
        end
    end
end
```



# Routing

```
proc R(chan? cow a; list chan! cow b):
    COW X;
    list(1000) int dest;
    for i in range(1000):
        if i < 164:
           dest[i] = 0;
        elif i < 319:
            dest[i] = 1;
         . . .
    end;
    while true:
        a?x;
        x.stat = dest[sample uniform(0, 1000)];
        b[x.stat]!x
    end
end
```



### **Model Dairy Barn**

```
model DairyBarn():
chan cow a, c, d;
    list(5) chan cow b;
run G(a, 10),
        L(a, d, 5.0),
        R(d, b),
        W(b[0], c, a, exponential(8.41), 1),
        W(b[1], c, a, exponential(6.38), 1),
        W(b[2], c, a, exponential(15.0), 1),
        W(b[3], c, a, exponential(3.18), 1),
        W(b[4], c, a, exponential(38.9), 1),
        E(c, 10000)
```

end



## Multiple visits to work stations

- $n_m$  distinct types of operations at (single server) work station m
- $v_{mr}$  visits to work station m for type r operation
- mean processing time for type r operation at work station m is  $E(B_{mr})$
- mean residual processing time is  $E(R_{mr})$

## Multiple visits to work stations

#### 48/58

#### Define

 $E(S_{mr}(k)) =$  mean production lead time at station *m* for job of type *r* operation  $\Lambda_{mr}(k) =$  arrival rate at station *m* of jobs for type *r* operation  $E(L_{mr}(k)) =$  mean number of jobs at station *m* for type *r* operation

#### Then

$$E(S_{mr}(k)) = \sum_{s=1}^{n_m} \rho_{ms}(k-1)E(R_{ms}) + \sum_{s=1}^{n_m} (E(L_{ms}(k-1)) - \rho_{ms}(k-1))E(B_{ms}) + E(B_{mr})$$
  
where  $\rho_{ms}(k-1) = \Lambda_{ms}(k-1)E(B_{ms})$  and  
 $\Lambda_{mr}(k) = \frac{kv_{mr}}{\sum_{n=1}^{M} \sum_{s=1}^{n_m} v_{ns}E(S_{ns}(k))}$   
 $E(L_{mr}(k)) = \Lambda_{mr}(k)E(S_{mr}(k))$ 



## **Closed multi-class networks**

- Workstations 1, ..., M
- Workstation m has  $c_m$  parallel identical machines
- *R* job types
- N<sub>r</sub> circulating jobs of type r
- Processing times in workstation m are exponential with rate  $\mu_m$  (so processing times are job-type independent!)
- Processing order is FCFS
- Buffers are unlimited
- Markovian routing:

type r job moves from workstation m to n with probability  $p_{mn}^r$  (so each job type has its own Markovian routing)

This network is also called Closed multi-class Jackson network



## **Closed multi-class networks**

50/58

### States of network $(\underline{k}_1, \ldots, \underline{k}_M)$ where

- $\underline{k}_m = (k_{m1}, \ldots, k_{mR})$  is the aggregate situation in station k
- $k_{mr}$  is the number of type r jobs in workstation m

#### Note that for each *r*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_{mr} = N_r$$

 $v_{mr}$  is the relative visiting frequency to station *m* of type *r* jobs satisfying

$$v_{mr} = \sum_{n=1}^{M} v_{nr} p_{nm}^{r}, \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots, M.$$



## **Closed multi-class networks**

#### Jackson's miracle

 $p(\underline{k}) = Cp_1(\underline{k}_1)p_2(\underline{k}_2)\cdots p_M(\underline{k}_M),$ 

#### where C is normalizing constant

If  $c_m = 1$ 

$$p_m(\underline{k}_m) = \frac{(k_{m1} + k_{m2} + \dots + k_{mR})!}{k_{m1}!k_{m2}! \cdots k_{mR}!} \left(\frac{v_{m1}}{\mu_m}\right)^{k_{m1}} \left(\frac{v_{m2}}{\mu_m}\right)^{k_{m2}} \cdots \left(\frac{v_{mR}}{\mu_m}\right)^{k_{mR}}$$
  
If  $c_m > 1$ 

$$p_m(\underline{k}_m) = \frac{(k_{m1} + k_{m2} + \dots + k_{mR})!}{k_{m1}!k_{m2}! \cdots k_{mR}!} \frac{v_{m1}^{k_{m1}}v_{m2}^{k_{m2}} \cdots v_{mR}^{k_{mR}}}{\mu_m(1)\mu_m(2) \cdots \mu_m(k_{m1} + k_{m2} + \dots + k_{mR})}$$
  
where  $\mu_m(k) = \min(k, c_m)\mu_m$ 



Arbitrary type r job moving from one station to another sees the network in equilibrium with a population with one job of his own type less (job does not see himself)

 $\underline{N} = (N_1, N_2, \dots, N_R)$  is the population vector

So jumping type r job sees the network in equilibrium with population  $\underline{N} - \underline{e}_r$ 



## Mean value analysis

### Define for network with population $\underline{N}$

- $E(S_{mr}(\underline{N})) =$  mean production lead time at work station *m* for type *r* job
  - $\Lambda_{mr}(\underline{N}) =$  throughput of type *r* jobs of station *m*
- $E(L_{mr}(\underline{N})) = \text{mean number of type } r \text{ jobs in station } m$

### In single-server network

$$E(S_{mr}(\underline{N})) = \sum_{s=1}^{r} E(L_{ms}(\underline{N} - \underline{e}_{r})) \frac{1}{\mu_{m}} + \frac{1}{\mu_{m}}$$
$$\Lambda_{mr}(\underline{N}) = \frac{N_{r} v_{mr}}{\sum_{n=1}^{M} v_{nr} E(S_{nr}(\underline{N}))}$$
$$E(L_{mr}(\underline{N})) = \Lambda_{mr}(\underline{N}) E(S_{mr}(\underline{N}))$$

with initially  $E(L_{ms}(\underline{0}))$ 

Recursion over population vector  $\underline{N}$ , starting from  $\underline{k} = \underline{0}$  to  $\underline{k} = \underline{N}!$ 



# **Priority stations**

### Define for network with population $\underline{N}$

- $E(W_{mr}(\underline{N})) =$  mean waiting time at work station *m* for type *r* job
  - $\Lambda_{mr}(\underline{N}) =$  throughput of type r jobs of station m
- $E(Q_{mr}(\underline{N})) = \text{mean number of type } r \text{ jobs waiting in station } m$

In non-preemptive (single server) priority station *m* (type 1 highest priority)

$$E(W_{mr}(\underline{N})) = \sum_{s=1}^{R} \rho_{ms}(\underline{N} - \underline{e}_{r}) \frac{1}{\mu_{m}} + \sum_{s=1}^{r} E(Q_{ms}(\underline{N} - \underline{e}_{r})) \frac{1}{\mu_{m}} + \sum_{s=1}^{r-1} \Lambda_{ms}(\underline{N} - \underline{e}_{r}) E(W_{mr}(\underline{N})) \frac{1}{\mu_{m}}$$

where  $\rho_{mr}(\underline{N}) = \Lambda_{mr}(\underline{N}) \frac{1}{\mu_m}$ 



Breaking the recursion:

Assume jumping type r job sees the system in equilibrium with population  $\underline{N}$  (instead of  $\underline{N} - \underline{e}_r$ )

In FCFS single server station *m* 

$$E(S_{mr}(\underline{N})) = \sum_{s=1}^{r} E(L_{ms}(\underline{N})) \frac{1}{\mu_m} + \frac{1}{\mu_m}$$

So mean number seen on arrival is mean number in system including himself



Breaking the recursion:

Assume jumping type r job sees the system in equilibrium with population  $\underline{N}$  (instead of  $\underline{N} - \underline{e}_r$ )

In FCFS single server station *m* 

$$E(S_{mr}(\underline{N})) = \sum_{s=1}^{r} E(L_{ms}(\underline{N})) \frac{1}{\mu_m} + \frac{1}{\mu_m}$$

So mean number seen on arrival is mean number in system including himself

To avoid self queueing

$$E(S_{mr}(\underline{N})) = \sum_{s \neq r} E(L_{ms}(\underline{N})) \frac{1}{\mu_m} + \frac{N_r - 1}{N_r} E(L_{mr}(\underline{N})) \frac{1}{\mu_m} + \frac{1}{\mu_m}$$



## **Fixed-point modeling**

3MR equations for 3MR unknowns  $E(S_{mr}(\underline{N}))$ ,  $\Lambda_{mr}(\underline{N})$  and  $E(L_{mr}(\underline{N}))$ 

$$E(S_{mr}(\underline{N})) = \sum_{s \neq r} E(L_{ms}(\underline{N})) \frac{1}{\mu_m} + \frac{N_r - 1}{N_r} E(L_{mr}(\underline{N})) \frac{1}{\mu_m} + \frac{1}{\mu_m}$$
  

$$\Lambda_{mr}(\underline{N}) = \frac{N_r v_{mr}}{\sum_{n=1}^{M} v_{nr} E(S_{nr}(\underline{N}))}$$
  

$$E(L_{mr}(\underline{N})) = \Lambda_{mr}(\underline{N}) E(S_{mr}(\underline{N}))$$

Solution by successive substitutions



# Wrapping up: Examination

- Weekly (8) take home (individual) assignments
- Best 7 out of 8 take home assignments count (40%)
- Final assignment, done in groups of two (60%)
- Send email to iadan@tue.nl to inform about group composition
- Final assignment will be returned by mail
- Due date for final assignment is September 1, send report as pdf
- **Report:** Present your work clearly (assumptions, analysis, results, etc.) and try to keep size of report limited, to say 3-4 pages
- Appointments will be scheduled: individual evaluation, which is mix of report evaluation and oral exam

