Exam System Validation, 2IW26 Friday, April 11, 2014, 14:00-17:00

It is neither allowed to use the study material nor a computer. The axioms formulated in the book are given as an appendix to this exam. The answers to the questions can be formulated in English or Dutch. This exam consists of 6 questions. Good luck!

- (a) Specify a data type T consisting of a tree structure where the leaves contain a natural number. A typical instance of a tree is node(leaf(11), node(leaf(4), leaf(2014))).
 The data type must have a recogniser is_leaf that yields true iff it is applied to a tree consisting of a single leaf.
 - (b) Prove (precisely) that the tree consisting of a single leaf is not equal to a tree containing subtrees.
 - (c) Define a function $total_sum: T \to \mathbb{N}$ that gives the total sum of all numbers in the tree
 - (d) Describe a 'tree-splitter'-process that reads a tree via an action in and forwards both subtrees via two occurrences of an action out. The tree consisting of only a leaf is forwarded as a whole. Extend this splitter with an option to request the cumulative sum of all numbers in all trees that the splitter has seen since startup, or since the last reset. For this purpose it is necessary to add a reset facility to the the splitter.
- 2. Consider the data type E defined as **struct** $e_1 \mid e_2 \mid e_3$. Prove exactly using the process axioms and the rules and equations for the data type E that

$$\sum_{e:E} X(e) = X(e_1) + X(e_2) + X(e_3).$$

- 3. Express the following properties using the modal μ -calculus.
 - (a) There is a deadlock.
 - (b) It is possible to do an a action infinitely often, directly from the initial state.
 - (c) Whenever an action a happens, an action b must always be possible as long as the action b has not happened.
 - (d) The Stella is a solar car built by a group of students at this university, which was considered the best car in the cruiser class during a cross country race through Australia that took place in the fall of 2013. The software in the Stella has been designed using mCRL2. One of the properties that the software has is that whenever a component (battery, engine, solar-panel) reports a problem, using a report action, this problem will always be forwarded to the support vehicle that followed the Stella using a forward action. Give a modal formula for this property.
 - (e) You are requested to check whether the control software of an automatic pizza baking machine works well. For this purpose you want to check that the control software will never attempt to output more pizza's than that enter the machine. The action to enter a pizza in the machine is *enter* and the action to output a pizza is *out*. Write the modal formula that expresses this.

- 4. Consider the following pairs of modal formulas Give a labelled transition system only containing actions a and b for which the first formula is true and the second is not. Reversely, provide a labelled transition system for which the first formula is false and the second is true. If no such transitions systems exist, clearly indicate why, using the laws for modal logical formulas included in this exam.
 - (a) $[b^*]\langle a \wedge b \rangle true$ and $\mu X.([b]X \wedge \langle a \vee b \rangle true)$.
 - (b) $[b^*](\mu X. \overline{true} | X \wedge \langle a \rangle true)$ and $\nu Y. \langle a \rangle true \wedge [b] Y.$
- 5. Consider a process $X = a \cdot a \cdot X$ and $Y = a \cdot Y \cdot b$. Prove using RSP that X = Y.
- 6. Consider the process equations $X = a \cdot (b \cdot X + Y)$ and $Y = a \cdot (X + Y)$.
 - (a) Give a linear process of which the behaviour is strongly bisimilar to that of X.
 - (b) Is $\tau_{\{a\}}(X)$ τ -confluent? Is $\tau_{\{a\}}(X)$ τ -convergent? Draw a state space of $\tau_{\{a\}}(X)$ after applying τ -priorisation to its maximal extent. Does this reduction preserve branching bisimulation? Explain all your answers; a simple yes or no does not suffice.

7. **END**

Score: (10+n)/10 where n is the cumulative judgement given by the following table:

question	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)
1	6	4	4	8	
2	10				
3	4	4	4	4	4
4	7	7			
5	10				
6	7	7			

```
\alpha | \beta = \beta | \alpha
MA1
MA2
                  (\alpha|\beta)|\gamma = \alpha|(\beta|\gamma)
MA3
                  \alpha | \tau = \alpha
MD1
                  \tau \setminus \alpha = \tau
                  \alpha \setminus \tau = \alpha
MD2
                  \alpha \setminus (\beta | \gamma) = (\alpha \setminus \beta) \setminus \gamma
MD3
                  (a(d)|\alpha) \setminus a(d) = \alpha
MD4
MD5
                  (a(d)|\alpha) \setminus b(e) = a(d)|(\alpha \setminus b(e)) if a \not\equiv b or d \not\approx e
MS1
                  \tau \sqsubseteq \alpha = true
                  a(d) \sqsubseteq \tau = false
MS2
MS3
                  a(d)|\alpha \sqsubseteq a(d)|\beta = \alpha \sqsubseteq \beta
                  a(d)|\alpha \sqsubseteq b(e)|\beta = a(d)|(\alpha \setminus b(e)) \sqsubseteq \beta if a \not\equiv b or d \not\approx e
MS4
MAN1
                  \underline{\tau} = \tau
MAN2
                  a(d) = a
MAN3
                  \alpha | \beta = \underline{\alpha} | \beta
```

Table 1: Axioms for multi-actions

```
A1
                      x + y = y + x
A2
                      x + (y+z) = (x+y) + z
A3
                      x + x = x
A4
                      (x+y)\cdot z = x\cdot z + y\cdot z
                      (x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)
A5
                      x + \delta = x
A6 ₩
A7
                      \delta \cdot x = \delta
Cond1
                      true \rightarrow x \diamond y = x
Cond2
                      false \rightarrow x \diamond y = y
THEN \bigstar c \rightarrow x = c \rightarrow x \diamond \delta
                      \begin{array}{l} \sum_{d:D} x = x \\ \sum_{d:D} X(d) = X(e) + \sum_{d:D} X(d) \\ \sum_{d:D} (X(d) + Y(d)) = \sum_{d:D} X(d) + \sum_{d:D} Y(d) \\ (\sum_{d:D} X(d)) \cdot y = \sum_{d:D} X(d) \cdot y \end{array}
SUM1
SUM3
SUM4
SUM5
```

Table 2: Axioms for the basic operators

```
x \parallel y = x \parallel y + y \parallel x + x \mid y
Μ
                \alpha \parallel x = \alpha \cdot x
LM1¥
                \delta \parallel x = \delta
LM2¥
LM3¥
                 \alpha \cdot x \parallel y = \alpha \cdot (x \parallel y)
                 (x+y) \parallel z = x \parallel z + y \parallel z
LM4
                \left(\sum_{d:D} X(d)\right) \parallel y = \sum_{d:D} X(d) \parallel y
LM5
S1
                 x|y = y|x
S2
                 (x|y)|z = x|(y|z)
S3
                 x|\tau = x
S4
                 \alpha | \delta = \delta
                 (\alpha \cdot x)|\beta = \alpha|\beta \cdot x
S5
                 (\alpha \cdot x)|(\beta \cdot y) = \alpha|\beta \cdot (x \parallel y)
S6
                 (x+y)|z = x|z + y|z
S7
                 \left(\sum_{d:D} X(d)\right)|y = \sum_{d:D} X(d)|y
S8
TC1
                 (x \parallel y) \parallel z = x \parallel (y \parallel z)
                 x \parallel \delta = x \cdot \delta
TC2
TC3
                 (x|y) \parallel z = x|(y \parallel z)
```

Table 3: Axioms for the parallel composition operators

```
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline \text{C1} & \Gamma_C(\alpha) = \gamma_C(\alpha) & \text{C4} & \Gamma_C(x \cdot y) = \Gamma_C(x) \cdot \Gamma_C(y) \\ \hline \text{C2} & \Gamma_C(\delta) = \delta & \text{C5} & \Gamma_C(\sum_{d:D} X(d)) = \sum_{d:D} \Gamma_C(X(d)) \\ \hline \text{C3} & \Gamma_C(x + y) = \Gamma_C(x) + \Gamma_C(y) & \hline \end{array}
```

Table 4: Axioms for the communication operator

```
V1 \nabla_{V}(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ if } \underline{\alpha} \in V \cup \{\tau\} V4 \nabla_{V}(x+y) = \nabla_{V}(x) + \nabla_{V}(y)

V2 \nabla_{V}(\alpha) = \delta \text{ if } \underline{\alpha} \notin V \cup \{\tau\} V5 \nabla_{V}(x \cdot y) = \nabla_{V}(x) \cdot \nabla_{V}(y)

V3 \nabla_{V}(\delta) = \delta V6 \nabla_{V}(\sum_{d:D} X(d)) = \sum_{d:D} \nabla_{V}(X(d))

TV1 \nabla_{V}(\nabla_{W}(x)) = \nabla_{V \cap W}(x)
```

Table 5: Axioms for the allow operator

```
E1 \partial_B(\tau) = \tau E6 \partial_B(x+y) = \partial_B(x) + \partial_B(y)

E2 \partial_B(a(d)) = a(d) if a \notin B E7 \partial_B(x \cdot y) = \partial_B(x) \cdot \partial_B(y)

E3 \partial_B(a(d)) = \delta if a \in B E8 \partial_B(\sum_{d:D} X(d)) = \sum_{d:D} \partial_B(X(d))

E4 \partial_B(\alpha|\beta) = \partial_B(\alpha)|\partial_B(\beta) E5 \partial_B(\delta) = \delta

E10 \partial_H(\partial_{H'}(x)) = \partial_{H \cup H'}(x)
```

Table 6: Axioms for the blocking operator

```
R1 \rho_R(\tau) = \tau

R2 \rho_R(a(d)) = b(d) if a \rightarrow b \in R for some b

R3 \rho_R(a(d)) = a(d) if a \rightarrow b \notin R for all b

R4 \rho_R(\alpha|\beta) = \rho_R(\alpha)|\rho_R(\beta)

R5 \rho_R(\delta) = \delta

R6 \rho_R(x+y) = \rho_R(x) + \rho_R(y)

R7 \rho_R(x \cdot y) = \rho_R(x) \cdot \rho_R(y)

R8 \rho_R(\sum_{d:D} X(d)) = \sum_{d:D} \rho_R(X(d))
```

Table 7: Axioms for the renaming operator

```
H1
          \tau_I(\tau) = \tau
                                                               H6 \tau_I(x+y) = \tau_I(x) + \tau_I(y)
                                                               H7 \tau_I(x \cdot y) = \tau_I(x) \cdot \tau_I(y)
H2
          \tau_I(a(d)) = \tau
                                               if a \in I
                                                                       \tau_I(\sum_{d:D} X(d)) = \sum_{d:D} \tau_I(X(d))
H3
          \tau_I(a(d)) = a(d)
                                               if a \notin I
                                                               H8
                                                                       \tau_I(\delta) = \delta
          \tau_I(\alpha|\beta) = \tau_I(\alpha)|\tau_I(\beta)
H4
                                                               H5
H10 \tau_I(\tau_{I'}(x)) = \tau_{I \cup I'}(x)
```

Table 8: Axioms for the hiding operator

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{A} & x \cdot \tau = x \\ \mathbf{BRANCH} \mathbf{A} & x \cdot (\tau \cdot (y+z) + y) = x \cdot (y+z) \end{array}
```

Table 9: Axioms for τ , valid in rooted branching bisimulation for untimed processes

Failures equivalence	F1 ≭	$a \cdot (b \cdot x + u) + a \cdot (b \cdot y + v) = a \cdot (b \cdot x + b \cdot y + u) + a \cdot (b \cdot x + b \cdot y + v)$
	F2₩	$a \cdot x + a \cdot (y+z) = a \cdot x + a \cdot (x+y) + a \cdot (y+z)$
Trace equivalence	RDIS	$x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$
Language equivalence	Lang1	$x \cdot \delta = \delta$
	RDIS	$x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$
Weak trace equivalence	RDIS	$x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$
	WT	$\tau \cdot x = x$
	W	$x \cdot \tau = x$

Table 10: Axioms for some other equivalences for untimed processes

```
Proposition logic
\phi \wedge \psi = \psi \wedge \phi
                                                                                                     \phi \lor \psi = \psi \lor \phi
(\phi \wedge \psi) \wedge \chi = \phi \wedge (\psi \wedge \chi)
                                                                                                     (\phi \lor \psi) \lor \chi = \phi \lor (\psi \lor \chi)
\phi \wedge \phi = \phi
                                                                                                     \phi \lor \phi = \phi
\neg true = false
                                                                                                     \neg false = true
                                                                                                     \phi \lor true = true
\phi \wedge true = \phi
\phi \wedge false = false
                                                                                                     \phi \vee false = \phi
\phi \wedge (\psi \vee \chi) = (\phi \wedge \psi) \vee (\phi \wedge \chi)
                                                                                                     \phi \lor (\psi \land \chi) = (\phi \lor \psi) \land (\phi \lor \chi)
\neg(\phi \land \psi) = \neg\phi \lor \neg\psi
                                                                                                     \neg(\phi \lor \psi) = \neg\phi \land \neg\psi
\neg\neg\phi=\phi
                                                                                                     \phi \to \psi = \neg \phi \lor \psi
\phi \Rightarrow \psi = \neg \phi \lor \psi
                                                                                                     \phi \Leftrightarrow \psi = \phi \Rightarrow \psi \land \psi \Rightarrow \phi
Predicate logic
\forall d: D. \phi = \phi
                                                                                                     \exists d: D. \phi = \phi
\neg \forall d: D. \Phi(d) = \exists d: D. \neg \Phi(d)
                                                                                                     \neg \exists d: D. \Phi(d) = \forall d: D. \neg \Phi(d)
\forall d: D.(\Phi(d) \land \Psi(d)) = \forall d: D.\Phi(d) \land \forall d: D.\Psi(d)
                                                                                                     \exists d: D.(\Phi(d) \lor \Psi(d)) = \exists d: D.\Phi(d) \lor \exists d: D.\Psi(d)
\forall d: D.(\Phi(d) \lor \psi) = \forall d: D.\Phi(d) \lor \psi
                                                                                                     \exists d: D.(\Phi(d) \land \psi) = \exists d: D.\Phi(d) \land \psi
\forall d: D.\Phi(d) \Rightarrow \Phi(e)
                                                                                                     \Phi(e) \Rightarrow \exists d: D.\Phi(d)
Action formulas
\overline{true} = false
                                                                                                     \overline{false} = true
\overline{\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2} = \overline{\alpha_1} \cap \overline{\alpha_2}
                                                                                                     \overline{\alpha_1 \cap \alpha_2} = \overline{\alpha_1} \cup \overline{\alpha_2}
\exists d: D.A(d) = \forall d: D.A(d)
                                                                                                     \overline{\forall d:D.A(d)} = \exists d:D.\overline{A(d)}
Hennessy-Milner logic
\neg \langle a \rangle \phi = [a] \neg \phi
                                                                                                     \neg [a]\phi = \langle a \rangle \neg \phi
\langle a \rangle false = false
                                                                                                     [a]true = true
\langle a \rangle (\phi \vee \psi) = \langle a \rangle \phi \vee \langle a \rangle \psi
                                                                                                     [a](\phi \wedge \psi) = [a]\phi \wedge [a]\psi
\langle a \rangle \phi \wedge [a] \psi \Rightarrow \langle a \rangle (\phi \wedge \psi)
                                                                                                     [a](\phi \lor \psi) \Rightarrow \langle a \rangle \phi \lor [a] \psi
```

Table 11: Equivalences between modal formulas (part I)

```
Fixed point equations
\mu X.\phi(X) \Rightarrow \nu X.\phi(X)
                                                                               \nu X.\phi = \phi
\mu X.\phi = \phi
\mu X.X = false
                                                                               \nu X.X = true
                                                                               \nu X.[R]X = true
\mu X.\langle R \rangle X = false
\neg \mu X. \phi(X) = \nu X. \neg \phi(\neg X)
                                                                               \neg \nu X. \phi(X) = \mu X. \neg \phi(\neg X)
\mu X.\phi(X) = \phi(\mu X.\phi(X))
                                                                               \nu X.\phi(X) = \phi(\nu X.\phi(X))
                                                                               if \psi \Rightarrow \phi(\psi) then \psi \Rightarrow \nu X.\phi(X)
if \phi(\psi) \Rightarrow \psi then \mu X.\phi(X) \Rightarrow \psi
Regular formulas
\langle \varepsilon \rangle \phi = \phi
                                                                               [\varepsilon]\phi = \phi
\langle false \rangle \phi = false
                                                                               [false]\phi = true
\langle af_1 \cup af_2 \rangle \phi = \langle af_1 \rangle \phi \vee \langle af_2 \rangle \phi
                                                                                [af_1 \cup af_2]\phi = [af_1]\phi \wedge [af_2]\phi
\langle af_1 \cap af_2 \rangle \phi \Rightarrow \langle af_1 \rangle \phi \wedge \langle af_2 \rangle \phi
                                                                                [af_1 \cap af_2]\phi \Leftarrow [af_1]\phi \lor [af_2]\phi
\langle \exists d: D.AF(d) \rangle \phi = \exists d: D. \langle AF(d) \rangle \phi
                                                                               [\exists d: D.AF(d)]\phi = \forall d: D.[AF(d)]\phi
\langle \forall d: D.AF(d) \rangle \phi \Rightarrow \forall d: D.\langle AF(d) \rangle \phi
                                                                               [\forall d: D.AF(d)]\phi \Leftarrow \exists d: D.[AF(d)]\phi
\langle R_1 + R_2 \rangle \phi = \langle R_1 \rangle \phi \vee \langle R_2 \rangle \phi
                                                                               [R_1 + R_2]\phi = [R_1]\phi \wedge [R_2]\phi
\langle R_1 \cdot R_2 \rangle \phi = \langle R_1 \rangle \langle R_2 \rangle \phi
                                                                                [R_1 \cdot R_2]\phi = [R_1][R_2]\phi
\langle R^{\star} \rangle \phi = \mu X. (\langle R \rangle X \vee \phi)
                                                                               [R^{\star}]\phi = \nu X.([R]X \wedge \phi)
\langle R^+ \rangle \phi = \langle R \rangle \langle R^* \rangle \phi
                                                                               [R^+]\phi = [R][R^*]\phi
\neg \langle R \rangle \phi = [R] \neg \phi
                                                                               \neg [R]\phi = \langle R \rangle \neg \phi
[R]true = true
                                                                               \langle R \rangle false = false
\langle R \rangle (\phi \vee \psi) = \langle R \rangle \phi \vee \langle R \rangle \psi
                                                                               [R](\phi \wedge \psi) = [R]\phi \wedge [R]\psi
\langle R \rangle \phi \wedge [R] \psi \Rightarrow \langle R \rangle (\phi \wedge \psi)
                                                                               [R](\phi \lor \psi) \Rightarrow \langle R \rangle \phi \lor [R] \psi
```

Table 12: Equivalences between modal formulas (part II)