

# What is Algebraic in Process Theory?

Bas Luttik

*Formal Methods Group  
Eindhoven University of Technology*

August 1, 2005

APC Workshop 2005

# In mathematics: two kinds of *algebra*

**Elementary algebra** is about the real number system

- Solving systems of equations

Find real numbers  $x, y$  such that  $4x + 2y = 14$  and  $4x - 2y = 2$

- Expressing properties of operations on reals by means of equations

For all real numbers  $x, y$  and  $z$ :  $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ .

**Abstract algebra** is about the fundamental operations of arithmetic  
**in general** (*addition, multiplication, . . .*)

# Abstract Algebra

“[...] deals not primarily with the manipulation of sums and products of numbers [...] but with sums and products of elements of any sort”  
(Mac Lane/Birkhoff)

Desideratum: **abstract** from objects, concentrate on **operations**

The abstraction is achieved by **axiomatic definitions**.

A **group** is *any* set with an associative binary operation with identity and inverse in the set.

Benefits: it *elegant* and *general*, and facilitates *connexions*

# Process algebra

A **process algebra** is a set with *process-theoretic* operations (sequencing, choice, parallel composition, etc.) defined on it.

CSP: process-theoretic operations defined on *failure sets*.

CCS: process-theoretic operations defined on LTSs modulo observation congruence

ACP: process-theoretic operations defined by axioms

# (Elementary) Algebraic Achievements

## Expressiveness results

E.g., *Stack* is finitely definable (with recursive spec) with choice and sequential composition, but not with choice and prefix multiplication.

## Axiomatisations

For many process algebras a ground-complete set of equational axioms has been given.

## Unique decomposition results

For many process algebras it has been proved that processes have a unique decomposition w.r.t. parallel composition.

## An **Abstract** Algebraic Result (1)

1. A process algebra is virtually always a *commutative monoid* under parallel composition, i.e.,

$$x \mid y = y \mid x ,$$

$$x \mid (y \mid z) = (x \mid y) \mid z , \text{ and}$$

$$x \mid \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \mid x = x .$$

2. For **every** commutative monoid it makes sense to ask:

Does it have unique decomposition?

(For, the notion has an **abstract algebraic** definition!)

## An **Abstract** Algebraic Result (2)

A **decomposition order** on a commutative monoid is a well-founded partial order  $\rightarrow^*$  on it such that for all  $x, y, z$ :

- (i)  $x \rightarrow^* \mathbf{0}$ ;
- (ii)  $x \rightarrow^+ y$  implies  $x \mid z \rightarrow^+ y \mid z$ ;
- (iii)  $x \mid y \rightarrow^* z$  implies  $z = x' \mid y'$  with  $x \rightarrow^* x'$  and  $y \rightarrow^* y'$ ;
- (iv)  $x \rightarrow^+ y^n$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  implies  $y = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Theorem:** A commutative monoid has unique decomposition iff it can be endowed with a *decomposition order*.

*Proof:* Generalisation of Milner's proof for a concrete process algebra.

## Not yet abstract algebraic

1. We're lacking an abstract algebraic definition of *atomic action*
2. Binders are not algebraic!

$$(\nu x)(P \mid Q) = P \mid (\nu x)Q \quad \text{provided that } x \notin \text{fn}(P)$$

$$(\sum_x P) \cdot Q = \sum_x (P \cdot Q) \quad \text{provided that } x \notin \text{FV}(Q)$$

We're lacking, e.g., an abstract algebraic definition of *mobility*.

3. ...



# Conclusion

Most algebra in process theory is elementary.

Many advanced process-theoretic concepts have no abstract algebraic definition.

Benefits of a more abstract algebraic approach:

1. insight in fundamental operations on behaviour;
2. elegant mathematical theory of behaviour; and
3. facilitates connexions with other areas of mathematics/logic.