

**EINDHOVEN UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY**  
**Department of Mathematics and Computer Science**

*Examination Real-time Architectures (2YN26)*  
*on Monday, January 23<sup>rd</sup> 2012, 10.30h-12.00h.*

First read the entire examination. There are 6 exercises in total. Grades are included between parentheses at all parts and sum up to 10 points. Good luck!

1. Let a sensor indicate whether or not a particular situation has occurred, such as the *end-sensor* in the control example of a truck bed. Assume the system has to react within  $D^{system}$  on an occurrence of the situation (e.g. detection of the end-position).
  - (a) How often should a time-triggered task  $\tau$  that reads (and acts upon) the sensor at least be activated?
    - i. (0.5) when  $T^\tau = D^\tau$ ;
    - ii. (0.5) when  $T^\tau > D^\tau$ ?
  - (b) (0.5) Which constraint holds for the deadline of an event-triggered task that reads (and acts upon) the sensor?
  - (c) (0.5) What is the disadvantage of a time-triggered approach? *Hint*: consider small values of  $D^{system}$ .  
**Answer**: High overhead.

**Answer**: See slides RT Design and RTA.D0-Water-Vessel.

2. In the following two fixed-priority scheduling (FPS) situations, the response times of all jobs in a so-called level- $i$  active period have to be considered to determine the worst-case response time of task  $\tau_i$ . Explain in your own words why looking at the first job alone is not sufficient.
  - (a) (0.5) Fixed-priority preemptive scheduling (FPPS) and *arbitrary deadlines*.
  - (b) (0.5) Fixed-priority scheduling with deferred preemption (FPDS) and *deadlines at most equal to periods*.

**Answer**: See slides of the lectures.

3. The following questions concern the practical factors.
  - (a) *Context switches*
    - i. (0.5) Assuming independent tasks, how many jobs can a job preempt?  
**Answer**: at most once.
    - ii. Assume a set  $\mathcal{T}$  of two tasks and a single shared resource  $R$  (next to the processor). Every job of every task uses (i.e. locks and unlocks)  $R$  exactly once. How many times can a job of  $\tau_1$  at most preempt a job of  $\tau_2$ , for the following synchronization protocol?
      - A. (0.5) Priority Inheritance Protocol (PIP).  
**Answer**: at most twice, once when  $\tau_2$  has locked (but not yet unlocked)  $R$  and once when  $\tau_2$  subsequently unlocks  $R$ .

B. (0.5) Stack Resource Policy (SRP).

**Answer:** at most once; see slides.

(b) (0.5) *External interrupts*

The basic recursive equation for worst-case response time analysis is given by

$$x = B_i + WC_i + \sum_{j < i} \left\lceil \frac{x + AJ_j}{WT_j} \right\rceil WC_j. \quad (1)$$

Extend the equation with a term for interrupt handling.

*Hint:* assume a set of sporadic tasks  $\mathcal{T}_s$ , a minimum inter-arrival time  $T_k$  of the interrupt corresponding with sporadic task  $\tau_k$ , and a cost  $IH_k$  for handling the interrupt for  $\tau_k$ .

**Answer:** see slides of the lecture.

4. This question concerns the CAN-bus.

(a) (0.5) Why is “*bit-stuffing*” applied and how does it work?

(b) (1.0) Briefly explain the arbitration mechanism on a CAN-bus.

**Answers:** See slides.

5. The following questions concern Hierarchical Scheduling Frameworks (HSFs) and *independent* applications.

(a) (0.5) Does the analysis presented in “[Davis et al 05] R.I. Davis and A. Burns, *Hierarchical Fixed Priority Pre-Emptive Scheduling*, In: Proc. 26<sup>th</sup> IEEE Real-Time Systems Symposium (RTSS), pp. 389-398, December 2005.” support independent analysis of applications? Motivate your answer.

**Answer:** No, because the interference of servers allocated to higher priority applications is taken into account in the worst-case response time analysis of tasks as well.

(b) (0.5) Is the analysis described in “[Shin et al 03] I. Shin and I. Lee, *Periodic resource model for compositional real-time guarantees*, In: Proc. 24<sup>th</sup> IEEE Real-Time Systems Symposium (RTSS), pp. 2-13, December 2003” applicable when a periodic resource with a period  $\Pi$  and capacity  $\Theta$  is supplied by a deferrable server with the same period and capacity?

**Answer:** Yes, because the supply bound function  $\mathbf{sbf}(t)$  for a periodic resource with period  $\Pi$  and capacity  $\Theta$  never provides more resources in an interval of length  $t$  than a deferrable server with a period  $\Pi$  and a capacity  $\Theta$ .

6. The following questions concern Hierarchical Scheduling Frameworks (HSFs) and *dependent* applications.

(a) (1.0) Explain the difference between SIRAP and H-SRP.

**Answer:** see papers.

(b) Temporal isolation between applications is *not* guaranteed by either SIRAP or H-SRP.

i. (0.5) Explain why for H-SRP.

**Answer:** the maximum *execution time of a critical section* guarding global resource access is not enforced.

- ii. (1.0) Explain why for SIRAP.

**Answer:** the maximum *resource-holding time* is not enforced. Note that the maximum resource-holding time *includes* preemptions of tasks with a higher priority than the resource ceiling. Because H-SRP uses the highest priority of tasks within an application as *local* resource ceiling, preemptions of other tasks during global resource access are prevented.