

## General Comments



/Department of Mathematics and Computer Science

## Missing (I)

### •Explicit project structure

- Who is PM (vice PM)
- Who is QM (vice QM)
- Who is CM (vice CM)
- Who is UR leader (vice)

Which tasks performed by each of these

To make responsibilities explicit

/department of mathematics and computer science

## Missing (II)

- Some groups mention risk due to lack of experience with Java, Netbeans, database access from Java
- **No** group has planned actions to eliminate these risks (who, when, how, time required)

/department of mathematics and computer science

## Missing (III)

- It seems that "A process for 2IP60" has not been read completely by all groups (e.g., mention of DD phase in planning)
- /UR extensions of the management documents are mostly missing
- SCMPs of 3 groups do not specify a directory structure for the storage of documents

/department of mathematics and computer science

## URD

- "Completed" field is present because an activity may not have been completed, so it must be represented by multiple entries, e.g., the activity "study database access" might take weeks to complete!
- Activity consists of contributions (log entries – see Humphreys)
- Contributions have start, stop times, comments etc. as shown in ACTIM document, activities can have attribute "completed" but this can be derived from contributions!

/department of mathematics and computer science

## URD

- Usually no requirements that  $\Delta t$  must be calculated
- Missing priorities – essential to eliminate "lack of time" risk by dropping low-priority requirements
- Specify explicitly for each entity which data is stored (use .a, .b, .c,.....) in a separate requirement
- Overviews and search often missing
- Record user name for overviews?

/department of mathematics and computer science

## URD

- Difference FR ⇔ EFR does not seem to be clear for all groups
- ➔ FR says something about **what** the system does
- ➔ EFR is concerned, e.g., with **how** or with **constraints imposed**
- ➔ EFR examples:
  - ➔ The response time is less than one second
  - ➔ The system will have a GUI
  - ➔ The system will be implemented in Java

/department of mathematics and computer science

## ATP

- The ATP specifies **for each individual requirement the method** by which it will be tested – no details!
- No test scenarios, no claim that flow scheme has been followed, no claim that a user can ....
- Only statements like
  - Test of UR-F01 by code inspection ...
  - Test of UR-F12 by verifying that the newly entered project name is stored in the database and/or that it can be selected from the list of projects (after restart)

/department of mathematics and computer science