Solutions to exercises: week 3

a) The set of equations is $t_0 = 0,$ $t_1 = 1 + 0.3679t_0 + 0.3679t_1 + 0.1839t_2 + 0.0613t_3$ $+ 0.0153t_4 + 0.0031t_5 + 0.0005t_6 + 0.0001t_7,$ $+ 0.3679t_1 + 0.3679t_2 + 0.1839t_3$ $t_2 = 1$ $+ 0.0613t_4 + 0.0153t_5 + 0.0031t_6 + 0.0006t_7$ $+ 0.3679t_2 + 0.3679t_3$ $t_3 = 1$ $+ \quad 0.1839t_4 \quad + \quad 0.0613t_5 \quad + \quad 0.0153t_6 \quad + \quad 0.0037t_7,$ $t_4 = 1$ $+ 0.3679t_3$ $+ 0.3679t_4 + 0.1839t_5 + 0.0613t_6 + 0.0190t_7,$ $t_5 = 1$ $+ 0.3679t_4 + 0.3679t_5 + 0.1839t_6 + 0.0803t_7$ $t_6 = 1$ $+ 0.3679t_5 + 0.3679t_6 + 0.2642t_7,$ $t_7 = 1$ $+ 0.3679t_6 + 0.6321t_7.$

b) Let $\{X_n, n \ge 0\}$ be the DTMC in Example 2.12. Let $T = \min\{n \ge 0 : X_n = 0\}$ and $m_i = \mathsf{E}(T|X_0 = i)$. We are asked to compute m_7 . Using the *P* matrix from Equation (2.29) in Theorem 2.13, we get $m_7 = 60.7056$.

Handout section 2

Exercise 1 (a) -

Comp. 2.45

(b) i. 13, 5, 2.1

ii. 18 trainees, 1 junior mechanic, 0 senior mechanics

Exercise 2 (a) The state space is $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4, LIC\}$, where $i \ (i = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ stands for premium level i and LIC stands for "Left Insurance Company".

The matrix of transition probabilities P at this S is:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5 & 0.3 & 0 & 0 & 0.2 \\ 0.4 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 & 0.7 & 0.05 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.125 & 0.85 & 0.025 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (b) The expected numbers on the four premium levels are: 31500, 24500, 39375, 58750.
- (c) The long-run expected numbers on the four premium levels are: $\approx 23478, \approx 29348, \approx 49217, \approx 236348.$
- **Comp. 3.4** The lifetime of the satellite is given by $\max(T_1, T_2)$ where $T_1, T_2 \sim Exp(\lambda)$ (with $\lambda = .2$) random variables. The cdf is given by

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{P}(\max(T_1,T_2) < x) &= \mathsf{P}(T_1 < x, T_2 < x) \\ &= \mathsf{P}(T_1 < x)\mathsf{P}(T_2 < x) = (1-e^{-0.2x})^2. \end{split}$$

The expected lifetime can be found either by integrating the complementary cdf or by using the result of Conceptual Problem 3.12. Here, we show the second approach. Let X_2 be the time interval during which both computers are working, and X_1 be the time interval during which only one computer is working. Thus the first failure occurs at time X_2 and the second at time $X_2 + X_1$. Hence, max{ T_1, T_2 } = $X_2 + X_1$. Now, $X_2 = \min(T_1, T_2)$, hence $X_2 \sim exp(2\lambda)$. Also, X_1 is the remaining lifetime of the computer that did not fail at time X_2 . From the memoryless property of the exponential distribution it follows that this remaining lifetime is $exp(\lambda)$. Hence

$$\mathsf{E}(\max\{T_1, T_2\}) = \mathsf{E}(X_2 + X_1) = \frac{1}{2\lambda} + \frac{1}{\lambda} = 7.5$$
 years.

- **Comp. 3.9** The remaining service times at the tellers are exponentially distributed random variables with mean 5 minutes, i.e., with parameter .2 (min)⁻¹. Thus, the amount of time the customer has to wait is the minimum of three Exp(0.2) random variables, which is Exp(0.6). The mean is 1/.6 = 1.667 minutes.
- **Comp. 3.10** The expected waiting time at the ATM is 2 minutes, while the expected waiting time inside is 1.667 minutes (from Computational Problem 3.9). Thus he should wait inside.
- **Concep. 4.1** The state space of $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is $\{0, 1, 2\}$. In state 2, both cables are up and each is subject to a load of L/2. Hence the lifetime of each cable is $Exp(\lambda L/2)$. The process jumps from state 2 to 1 when either cable

breaks, hence $r_{2,1} = \lambda L/2 + \lambda L/2 = \lambda L$. In state 1, only one cable is working under a total load of L. Hence its lifetime is $Exp(\lambda L)$. Once it breaks, the process moves to state 0. Hence $r_{1,0} = \lambda L$. Once the process enters state 0, it stays there permanently. Hence $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a CTMC on state space $S = \{0, 1, 2\}$ with rate matrix

$$R = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \lambda L & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda L & 0 \end{array} \right].$$

Concep. 4.2 The state space is $\{0, 1, \dots, 10\}$. In state 0, the process jumps to 1 when there is an arrival, which occurs with rate λ . Hence $r_{0,1} = \lambda$. In state $i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, one customer is being served. The process jumps to state i + 1 with rate λ , and to state i - 1 with rate μ . Hence, $r_{i,i+1} = \lambda$, $r_{i,i-1} = \mu$. In state $i, 4 \leq i \leq 7$, two customers are in service. The process jumps to state i + 1 with rate λ , and to state i - 1 with rate 2μ , since any one of the two customers in service may complete service to trigger this transition. Proceeding in this fashion we see that $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a Birth and Death Process with birth parameters

$$\lambda_i = \lambda, \quad 0 \le i \le 9,$$

and death parameters

$$\mu_i = \begin{cases} \mu & \text{for } 1 \le i \le 3\\ 2\mu & \text{for } 4 \le i \le 7\\ 3\mu & \text{for } 8 \le i \le 10. \end{cases}$$

Concep. 4.4 Let A(t) be the set of busy servers at time $t, A(t) \subset \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A(t) = \emptyset, \\ 1 & \text{if } A(t) = \{1\}, \\ 2 & \text{if } A(t) = \{2\}, \\ 3 & \text{if } A(t) = \{3\}, \\ 4 & \text{if } A(t) = \{1, 2\}, \\ 5 & \text{if } A(t) = \{1, 3\}, \\ 6 & \text{if } A(t) = \{2, 3\}, \\ 7 & \text{if } A(t) = \{1, 2, 3\} \end{cases}$$

Then, the usual triggering event analysis in each state shows that $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a CTMC with the following rate matrix:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mu_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mu_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mu_3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 & \mu_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & \mu_3 & 0 & \mu_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_3 & \mu_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu_3 & \mu_2 & \mu_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Concep. 4.5 The state space of $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is $\{0, 1, \dots, K\}$. When it is in state $i, 0 \le i \le M$, all customers are allowed to enter, hence it moves to state i + 1 with rate $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$. When it is in state i, M < i < K, only customers of type 1 are allowed to enter, hence it moves to state i + 1 with rate λ_1 . In state $i, 1 \le i \le K$, one customer is in service, whose service time is $Exp(\mu)$, regardless of its type. Hence the system moves to state i - 1 with rate μ . Thus, $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a birth and death process on state space $\{0, 1, \dots, K\}$, with death parameters

$$\mu_i = \mu, \quad 1 \le i \le K,$$

and birth parameters

$$\lambda_i = \begin{cases} \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 & \text{for } 0 \le i \le M \\ \lambda_1 & \text{for } M + 1 \le i < K \\ 0 & \text{for } i = K. \end{cases}$$

Concep. 4.8 The state of the system A(t) is a vector giving the state of the three machines at time t. Using w for "working", b for "blocked", and i for "idle", we get the following definition of X(t),

$$X(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } A(t) = \{w, w, w\} \\ 2 & \text{if } A(t) = \{w, b, w\} \\ 3 & \text{if } A(t) = \{w, i, w\} \\ 4 & \text{if } A(t) = \{w, w, i\} \\ 5 & \text{if } A(t) = \{w, i, i\} \\ 6 & \text{if } A(t) = \{b, w, w\} \\ 7 & \text{if } A(t) = \{b, b, w\} \\ 8 & \text{if } A(t) = \{b, w, i\}. \end{cases}$$

Then, performing the usual analysis of transition triggering events, we see that $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a CTMC with the following rate matrix:

Concep. 4.9 Let X(t) be the number of customers in the system at time t if the system is up, and let X(t) = d if the system is down at time t. Thus the state-space is $S = \{d, 0, 1, \ldots, K\}$. Performing the usual analysis of transition triggering events, we see that $\{X(t), t \ge 0\}$ is a CTMC with transition rates

$$\begin{array}{rcl} r_{i,d} &=& \theta, & \mbox{for } 0 \leq i \leq K, \\ r_{d,0} &=& \alpha, \\ r_{i,i-1} &=& \mu, & \mbox{for } 1 \leq i \leq K, \\ r_{i,i+1} &=& \lambda, & \mbox{for } 0 \leq i \leq K-1. \end{array}$$

All other transition rates are zero.