

(1)

Consider the regression model $Y_i = r(X_i) + \varepsilon_i, i=1, \dots, n$

where ε_i are iid with zero mean and variance $\sigma^2 < \infty$.

Assume X_i are also iid and independent of $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$ (random design).

We define the Nadaraya-Watson estimator as

$$\hat{Y}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n K\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h_n}\right) Y_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n K\left(\frac{x-x_j}{h_n}\right)}$$

where $h_n > 0$ is the bandwidth, and $K(\cdot)$ is a kernel function such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x) dx = 1, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x K(x) dx = 0, \quad 0 < \sigma_K^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^2 K(x) dx < \infty$$

and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^2(x) dx < \infty$;

Theorem: Let $R(x) = E[(\hat{Y}(x) - Y(x))^2]$ and assume X_i 's have density f . Furthermore assume both f and r are twice continuously differentiable in $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$R(x) = \frac{h_n^4}{4} \sigma_K^4 \left(r''(x) + 2r'(x) \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} \right)^2 + o(h_n^4) + \frac{1}{nh_n} \sigma^2 \left(\int K^2(y) dy \right) \frac{1}{f(x)} + o\left(\frac{1}{nh_n}\right) \text{ as } h_n \rightarrow 0, nh_n \rightarrow \infty.$$

(2)

proof: to ease the notation write $h \equiv h_n$, and define

$$\hat{m}(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n k\left(\frac{\bar{x}-x_i}{h}\right) Y_i, \quad \hat{f}(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{j=1}^n k\left(\frac{\bar{x}-x_j}{h}\right)$$

clearly $\hat{r}(\bar{x}) = \frac{\hat{m}(\bar{x})}{\hat{f}(\bar{x})}$, and we must study the behavior of $\hat{r}(\bar{x}) - r(\bar{x}) = \frac{\hat{m}(\bar{x}) - r(\bar{x}) \hat{f}(\bar{x})}{\hat{f}(\bar{x})}$

Since the denominator is random, this is a bit tricky. However, note that

$$\hat{r}(\bar{x}) - r(\bar{x}) = \underbrace{\frac{\hat{m}(\bar{x}) - r(\bar{x}) \hat{f}(\bar{x})}{\hat{f}(\bar{x})}}_{\hat{a}(\bar{x})}$$

Lemma: $\frac{\hat{f}(\bar{x})}{f(\bar{x})} = 1 + o_p(1) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0, nh \rightarrow \infty.$

We will prove this later, for now note that if suffices to study $\hat{a}(\bar{x})$:

$$\hat{a}(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{f(\bar{x})} \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^n k\left(\frac{\bar{x}-x_i}{h}\right) (Y_i - r(\bar{x}))$$

(3)

As we are interested in the quadratic error $E[\hat{\alpha}^2(x)]$ we can use the bias-variance decomposition:

Bias:

$$E[\hat{\alpha}(x)] = E\left[\frac{\hat{m}(x) - r(x)\hat{f}(x)}{f(x)}\right] = \frac{1}{f(x)} [E[\hat{m}(x)] - \frac{r(x)}{f(x)} E[\hat{f}(x)]]$$

$$E[\hat{m}(x)] = E\left[\frac{1}{nh} \sum k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right) y_i\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{nh} \sum E\left[E\left[k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right) y_i | x_i\right]\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{nh} \sum E\left[k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right) r(x_i)\right]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{ind}}{=} \frac{1}{h} E\left[k\left(\frac{x-t}{h}\right) r(x_i)\right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{h} \int k\left(\frac{x-t}{h}\right) r(t) f(t) dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{h} \int k\left(\frac{x-t}{h}\right) m(t) dt$$

where $m(t) = r(t)f(t)$ is also twice cont. diff. Doing a simple change of variables we get

$$E[\hat{m}(x)] = \frac{1}{h} \int k(u) m(x+hu) h du$$

$$= \int k(u) m(x+hu) du$$

(4)

Using a Taylor expansion around x , we get

$$m(x+h) = m(x) + m'(x)uh + \frac{1}{2}m''(x)u^2h^2 + o(h^2), \quad h \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{m}(x)] &= \int k(u) \left(m(x) + m'(x)uh + \frac{1}{2}m''(x)u^2h^2 + o(h^2) \right) du \\ &= m(x) \underbrace{\int k(u)du}_{=1} - m'(x)h \underbrace{\int u k(u)du}_{=0} + \frac{1}{2}m''(x)h^2 \underbrace{\int u^2 k(u)du}_{=o_k^2} \\ &\quad + o_k^2 o(h^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$= m(x) + m''(x) \frac{h^2}{2} o_k^2 + o(h^2)$$

$$\text{Similarly } E[\hat{\phi}(x)] = \frac{1}{n} \int k\left(\frac{t-x}{h}\right) \phi(t) dt$$

$$= \phi(x) + \phi''(x) \frac{h^2}{2} o_k^2 + o(h^2)$$

Putting all together

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\alpha}(x)] &= \frac{1}{\phi(x)} \left(m(x) + m''(x) \frac{h^2}{2} o_k^2 - \gamma(x)\phi(x) - \gamma(x)\phi''(x) \frac{h^2}{2} o_k^2 \right) + o(h) \\ &= \frac{h^2}{2\phi(x)} o_k^2 \left(\gamma(x)\phi''(x) + 2\gamma'(x)\phi'(x) + \gamma''(x)\phi(x) - \gamma(x)\phi'''(x) \right) + o(h) \\ &= \frac{h^2}{2} o_k^2 \left(\frac{\gamma''(x) + 2\gamma'(x)\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} \right) + o(h^2) \end{aligned}$$

(5)

since $m''(x) = r''(x)f(x) + 2r'(x)f'(x) + r(x)f''(x)$,

The square of this term is precisely the first term in the r.h.s. of the theorem.

Now, for the variance

$$\text{Var}(\hat{a}(x)) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{f(x)nh} \sum_{\text{iid}} k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right)(Y_i - r(x))\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{f^2(x)} \frac{1}{(nh)^2} \sum \text{Var}\left(k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right)(Y_i - r(x))\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{f^2(x)} \frac{1}{nh^2} \text{Var}\left(k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right)(Y_i - r(x))\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{f^2(x)} \frac{1}{nh^2} \text{Var}\left(k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right)(r(x_i) + \varepsilon_i - r(x))\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{f^2(x)} \frac{1}{nh^2} \text{Var}\left(k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right)(r(x_i) - r(x) + \varepsilon_i)\right)$$

independent
formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} A, B &\downarrow \\ E[AB] &= \frac{1}{f^2(x)} \frac{1}{nh^2} \text{Var}\left(k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right)(r(x_i) - r(x))\right) + \frac{1}{f^2(x)} \frac{1}{nh^2} \text{Var}\left(k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right)\varepsilon_i\right) \end{aligned}$$

A B

Now since $E[B] = 0$ we get

(6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Var}(B) &= E\left[k^2\left(\frac{x-x_1}{h}\right)\varepsilon_i^2\right] = \sigma^2 E\left[k^2\left(\frac{x-x_1}{h}\right)\right] \\
 &= \sigma^2 \int k^2\left(\frac{x-t}{h}\right)f(t)dt \\
 &= \sigma^2 h \int k^2(u) f(x-hu) du \\
 &= \sigma^2 h f(x) \int k^2(u) (f(x) + \Theta(1)) du \\
 &= \sigma^2 h f(x) \int k^2(u) du + \Theta(h)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Var}(A) \leq E\left[k^2\left(\frac{x-x_1}{h}\right)(Y(x_1) - Y(x))^2\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int k^2\left(\frac{x-t}{h}\right)(f(t) - f(x))^2 f(t) dt \\
 &= h \int k^2(u) (f(x-hu) - f(x))^2 f(x-hu) du \\
 &= h \int k^2(u) (\Theta(1)) \Theta(h)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\text{Var}(\hat{a}(x)) = \frac{1}{nh} \sigma^2 \frac{1}{f(x)} \int k^2(u) du + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{nh}\right), \text{ provide } \lim_{nh \rightarrow \infty}$$

This is the second term in the rhs of the theorem.

This, together with the lemma above concludes the proof.

Exercise: Prove the lemma, in particular show that $E[\hat{f}(x)] = f(x) + \Theta(h)$ and $\text{Var}(\hat{f}(x)) = \frac{1}{nh} \int k^2(u) du + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{nh}\right)$, which implies $\hat{f}(x) \xrightarrow{L^2} f(x)$.

(7)

The boundary bias issue:

Suppose now the density $\hat{f}(x)$ has finite support $[a, b]$, namely

$\hat{f}(x) = g(x) \mathbf{1}\{\bar{x} \in [a, b]\}$, and $g(x)$ is twice cont. differentiable,

For any point in the interior of $[a, b]$ the reasoning above holds, but for $x=a$ or $x=b$ something ~~funny~~ happens:

For simplicity assume $K(x)$ is symmetric, and that it has support $[-1, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{m}(t)] &= \frac{1}{h} \int_a^b K\left(\frac{x-t}{h}\right) \underbrace{r(t+h)}_{m(t)} dt \\ &= \int_{\frac{x-a}{h}}^{\frac{x-b}{h}} K(u) r(x-hu) g(x-hu) du \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{If } x=a \text{ we get } E[\hat{m}(t)] = m(x) \left(\int_{-\frac{a}{h}}^0 K(u) du - m'(x) h \int_{-\frac{a}{h}}^0 u k(u) du \right) + \dots$$

$$\text{so, for } h \text{ small enough} \quad = \frac{1}{2} m(x) + m'(x) h \int_{-\infty}^0 u k(u) du + O(h)$$

$$\text{Likewise } E[\hat{f}(x)] = \frac{1}{2} g(x) + g'(x) h \int_{-\infty}^0 u k(u) du + O(h)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } E[\hat{\alpha}(x)] &= \frac{1}{\hat{f}(x)} \left(\frac{1}{2} r(x) g(x) + (r'(x) g(x) + r(x) g'(x)) h \int_{-\infty}^0 u k(u) du \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} r(x) g(x) \right) + O(h) \\ &= \cancel{r'(x) h \int_{-\infty}^0 u k(u) du} + O(h) \end{aligned}$$

(8)

So the bias is of the order h near the boundary. It is not hard to see that the variance is still of the order $\frac{1}{nh}$, therefore The estimator is going to suffer from extra bias near the boundaries.

This is a problem, that can be resolved by using local polynomial approaches.