

Extractors for Binary Elliptic Curves

Reza Rezaeian Farashahi Ruud Pellikaan
Andrey Sidorenko

October 11, 2006

Outline

- Introduction

Outline

- Introduction
- Preliminaries

Outline

- Introduction
- Preliminaries
- Result
 - Two extractors for a binary elliptic curve

Outline

- Introduction
- Preliminaries
- Result
 - Two extractors for a binary elliptic curve
 - Two extractors for a subgroup

Outline

- Introduction
- Preliminaries
- Result
 - Two extractors for a binary elliptic curve
 - Two extractors for a subgroup
 - Proof of the main theorem for the first extractor

Outline

- Introduction
- Preliminaries
- Result
 - Two extractors for a binary elliptic curve
 - Two extractors for a subgroup
 - Proof of the main theorem for the first extractor
- Conclusion

Introduction

- A deterministic extractor for a set is a function that converts a random point of the set to a bit-string, which is statistically close to a uniformly random bit-string.

Introduction

- A deterministic extractor for a set is a function that converts a random point of the set to a bit-string, which is statistically close to a uniformly random bit-string.
- Extractors have several cryptographic applications,

Introduction

- A deterministic extractor for a set is a function that converts a random point of the set to a bit-string, which is statistically close to a uniformly random bit-string.
- Extractors have several cryptographic applications,
 - Key exchange protocols
 - Cryptographically secure pseudo-random generators

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- A and B would like to establish a common secret key.

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- A and B would like to establish a common secret key.
- Let G be an additive cyclic group of order q , with generator P .

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- A and B would like to establish a common secret key.
- Let G be an additive cyclic group of order q , with generator P .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{A} & \text{B} \\ a \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q, & aP & \longrightarrow aP \end{array}$$

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- A and B would like to establish a common secret key.
- Let G be an additive cyclic group of order q , with generator P .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{A} & & \text{B} \\ a \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q, aP & \longrightarrow & aP \\ & & \longleftarrow bP, b \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q \\ & & bP \end{array}$$

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- A and B would like to establish a common secret key.
- Let G be an additive cyclic group of order q , with generator P .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{A} & & \text{B} \\ a \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q, aP & \longrightarrow & aP \\ & & bP, b \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q \\ bP & \longleftarrow & \\ a(bP) = b(aP) & & \end{array}$$

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- A and B would like to establish a common secret key.
- Let G be an additive cyclic group of order q , with generator P .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{A} & & \text{B} \\ a \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q, aP & \longrightarrow & aP \\ & & bP, b \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q \\ bP & \longleftarrow & \\ a(bP) = b(aP) & & \end{array}$$

- A and B come up with the common secret abP .

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- A and B would like to establish a common secret key.
- Let G be an additive cyclic group of order q , with generator P .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{A} & \text{B} \\
 a \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q, & aP & \longrightarrow aP \\
 & bP & \longleftarrow bP, b \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q \\
 & a(bP) = b(aP) &
 \end{array}$$

- A and B come up with the common secret abP .
- **Decisional Diffie-Hellman** assumption, (**DDH**) for G : abP is indistinguishable from a random element of G .

Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange

- A and B would like to establish a common secret key.
- Let G be an additive cyclic group of order q , with generator P .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{A} & \text{B} \\
 a \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q, & aP & \longrightarrow aP \\
 & bP & \longleftarrow bP, b \in_R \mathbb{Z}_q \\
 & a(bP) & = b(aP)
 \end{array}$$

- A and B come up with the common secret abP .
- **Decisional Diffie-Hellman** assumption, (**DDH**) for G : abP is indistinguishable from a random element of G .
- The goal is to convert abP into random bits.

Previous Works

- Deterministic extractors for a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* ,
 - Subgroup of quadratic residues, where $p = 2q + 1$, and q is also a prime, by O. Chevassut et al, 2005.
 - Subgroup of order q , where $q > \sqrt{p}$, by P.-A. Fouque, 2006.

Previous Works

- Deterministic extractors for a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* ,
 - Subgroup of quadratic residues, where $p = 2q + 1$, and q is also a prime, by O. Chevassut et al, 2005.
 - Subgroup of order q , where $q > \sqrt{p}$, by P.-A. Fouque, 2006.
- Deterministic extractors for elliptic curves
 - TAU technique, by O. Chevassut et al, 2005
 - Elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , by N. Gürel, 2005
 - Elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_p , by N. Gürel, 2005

Previous Works

- Deterministic extractors for a subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_p^* ,
 - Subgroup of quadratic residues, where $p = 2q + 1$, and q is also a prime, by O. Chevassut et al, 2005.
 - Subgroup of order q , where $q > \sqrt{p}$, by P.-A. Fouque, 2006.
- Deterministic extractors for elliptic curves
 - TAU technique, by O. Chevassut et al, 2005
 - Elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_{p^2} , by N. Gürel, 2005
 - Elliptic curves over \mathbb{F}_p , by N. Gürel, 2005
- No efficient extractor for binary elliptic curves has been proposed so far!

Finite Field \mathbb{F}_{2^N}

- $N = 2\ell$, where ℓ is a positive integer.

Finite Field \mathbb{F}_{2^N}

- $N = 2\ell$, where ℓ is a positive integer.
- Consider \mathbb{F}_{2^N} as a quadratic extension of \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} .

Finite Field \mathbb{F}_{2^N}

- $N = 2\ell$, where ℓ is a positive integer.
- Consider \mathbb{F}_{2^N} as a quadratic extension of \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} .
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^N} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}[t]/(t^2 + t + c)$, where $t^2 + t + c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}[t]$ is irreducible.

Finite Field \mathbb{F}_{2^N}

- $N = 2\ell$, where ℓ is a positive integer.
- Consider \mathbb{F}_{2^N} as a quadratic extension of \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} .
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^N} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}[t]/(t^2 + t + c)$, where $t^2 + t + c \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}[t]$ is irreducible.
- For all $x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^N}$, we can write $x = x_0 + x_1t$, where $x_0, x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$.

Binary Elliptic Curve

Let E be an ordinary elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{F}_{2^N} , that is

$$E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{2^N} \times \mathbb{F}_{2^N} : y^2 + xy = f(x) = x^3 + ax^2 + b\} \cup \{\mathcal{O}_E\},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^N}$ and \mathcal{O}_E denotes the point at infinity.

Note that $b \neq 0$, since the curve is nonsingular.

Statistical Distance

Definition. Let X and Y be S -valued random variables, where S is a finite set. Then the *statistical distance* $\Delta(X, Y)$ of X and Y is

$$\Delta(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} |\Pr[X = s] - \Pr[Y = s]|.$$

Statistical Distance

Definition. Let X and Y be S -valued random variables, where S is a finite set. Then the *statistical distance* $\Delta(X, Y)$ of X and Y is

$$\Delta(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s \in S} |\Pr[X = s] - \Pr[Y = s]|.$$

Let U_S denote a random variable uniformly distributed on S .

We say that a random variable X on S is *δ -uniform*, if $\Delta(X, U_S) \leq \delta$.

Deterministic Extractor

Definition. Let U_k be a random variable uniformly distributed on $\{0, 1\}^k$. Consider the function

$$\text{Ext} : S \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}^k.$$

Deterministic Extractor

Definition. Let U_k be a random variable uniformly distributed on $\{0, 1\}^k$. Consider the function

$$\text{Ext} : S \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}^k.$$

We say that Ext is a *δ -deterministic extractor* from S if $\text{Ext}(U_S)$ is δ -uniform on $\{0, 1\}^k$. That means

$$\Delta(\text{Ext}(U_S), U_k) \leq \delta.$$

New Extractors for E

The extractor \mathcal{H}_0 :

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_0(x, y) = x_0,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_0(O_E) = 0.$$

New Extractors for E

The extractor \mathcal{H}_0 :

$$\mathcal{H}_0 : E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_0(x, y) = x_0,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_0(O_E) = 0.$$

The extractor \mathcal{H}_1 :

$$\mathcal{H}_1 : E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1(x, y) = x_1,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_1(O_E) = 0.$$

Analysis of the Extractor \mathcal{H}_0

Let X_0 be a \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} -valued random variable that is defined as

$$X_0 = \mathcal{H}_0(P) \text{ for } P \in_R E$$

Analysis of the Extractor \mathcal{H}_0

Let X_0 be a \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} -valued random variable that is defined as

$$X_0 = \mathcal{H}_0(P) \text{ for } P \in_R E$$

Proposition. The random variable X_0 is statistically close to the uniform random variable $U_{\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}$.

$$\Delta(X_0, U_{\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}) \leq \frac{2 + \epsilon(\ell)}{\sqrt{2^\ell}}.$$

If $\ell \geq 4$ then $\epsilon(\ell) < 1$.

Analysis of the Extractor \mathcal{H}_0

Let X_0 be a \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} -valued random variable that is defined as

$$X_0 = \mathcal{H}_0(P) \text{ for } P \in_R E$$

Proposition. The random variable X_0 is statistically close to the uniform random variable $U_{\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}$.

$$\Delta(X_0, U_{\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}) \leq \frac{2 + \epsilon(\ell)}{\sqrt{2^\ell}}.$$

If $\ell \geq 4$ then $\epsilon(\ell) < 1$.

Corollary. The extractor \mathcal{H}_0 is a $\frac{3}{\sqrt{2^\ell}}$ -deterministic extractor from E , for $\ell \geq 4$.

Analysis of the Extractor \mathcal{H}_1

Let X_1 be a \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} -valued random variable that is defined as

$$X_1 = \mathcal{H}_1(P) \text{ for } P \in_R E$$

Analysis of the Extractor \mathcal{H}_1

Let X_1 be a \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} -valued random variable that is defined as

$$X_1 = \mathcal{H}_1(P) \text{ for } P \in_R E$$

Proposition. The random variable X_1 is statistically close to the uniform random variable $U_{\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}$.

$$\Delta(X_1, U_{\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}) \leq \frac{1 + \epsilon(\ell)}{\sqrt{2^\ell}}.$$

If $\ell \geq 4$ then $\epsilon(\ell) < 1$.

Analysis of the Extractor \mathcal{H}_1

Let X_1 be a \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} -valued random variable that is defined as

$$X_1 = \mathcal{H}_1(P) \text{ for } P \in_R E$$

Proposition. The random variable X_1 is statistically close to the uniform random variable $U_{\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}$.

$$\Delta(X_1, U_{\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}) \leq \frac{1 + \epsilon(\ell)}{\sqrt{2^\ell}}.$$

If $\ell \geq 4$ then $\epsilon(\ell) < 1$.

Corollary. The extractor \mathcal{H}_1 is a $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2^\ell}}$ -deterministic extractor from E , for $\ell \geq 4$.

DDH for E

- For ordinary elliptic curve E , $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N})$ is even.

DDH for E

- For ordinary elliptic curve E , $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N})$ is even.
- DDH is insecure for E .

DDH for E

- For ordinary elliptic curve E , $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N})$ is even.
- DDH is insecure for E .
- E has the point T of order 2.

DDH for E

- For ordinary elliptic curve E , $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N})$ is even.
- DDH is insecure for E .
- E has the point T of order 2.
- $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) = 2^k m$, where m is odd.

DDH for E

- For ordinary elliptic curve E , $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N})$ is even.
- DDH is insecure for E .
- E has the point T of order 2.
- $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) = 2^k m$, where m is odd.
- Let G be a subgroup of E of order m .

DDH for E

- For ordinary elliptic curve E , $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N})$ is even.
- DDH is insecure for E .
- E has the point T of order 2.
- $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) = 2^k m$, where m is odd.
- Let G be a subgroup of E of order m .
- If m is prime, then **DDH** for G is believed to be intractable.

Extractors for G , (Setup)

- Let E has minimal 2-torsion, then $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) = 2m$, where m is odd.

Extractors for G , (Setup)

- Let E has minimal 2-torsion, then $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) = 2m$, where m is odd.
- Let G be the subgroup of E , which has the odd order m .

Extractors for G , (Setup)

- Let E has minimal 2-torsion, then $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) = 2m$, where m is odd.
- Let G be the subgroup of E , which has the odd order m .
- E has the point T of order 2.

Extractors for G , (Setup)

- Let E has minimal 2-torsion, then $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) = 2m$, where m is odd.
- Let G be the subgroup of E , which has the odd order m .
- E has the point T of order 2.
- If $P = (x, y) \in E$, then $-P = (x, x + y)$.

Extractors for G , (Setup)

- Let E has minimal 2-torsion, then $\#E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) = 2m$, where m is odd.
- Let G be the subgroup of E , which has the odd order m .
- E has the point T of order 2.
- If $P = (x, y) \in E$, then $-P = (x, x + y)$.
- Let β be a *bit distinguishing* P from $-P$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &: E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) \longrightarrow \{0, 1\} \\ \beta(P) &= 0, \text{ if } P = -P, \\ \beta(P) + \beta(-P) &= 1, \text{ if } P \neq -P.\end{aligned}$$

Extractors for G , (Definition)

The extractor \mathcal{H}_i , for $i \in \{0, 1\}$, are defined as $\mathcal{H}_i : E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$ by

$$\mathcal{H}_i(x, y) = x_i,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_i(O_E) = 0.$$

Extractors for G , (Definition)

The extractor \mathcal{H}_i , for $i \in \{0, 1\}$, are defined as $\mathcal{H}_i : E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$ by

$$\mathcal{H}_i(x, y) = x_i,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_i(O_E) = 0.$$

Define the extractor Ext_i as

$$\text{Ext}_i : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$$

$$\text{Ext}_i(P) = \mathcal{H}_i(P + \beta(P)T).$$

Extractors for G , (Definition)

The extractor \mathcal{H}_i , for $i \in \{0, 1\}$, are defined as $\mathcal{H}_i : E(\mathbb{F}_{2^N}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$ by

$$\mathcal{H}_i(x, y) = x_i,$$

$$\mathcal{H}_i(O_E) = 0.$$

Define the extractor Ext_i as

$$\text{Ext}_i : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$$

$$\text{Ext}_i(P) = \mathcal{H}_i(P + \beta(P)T).$$

That is :

$$\text{Ext}_i(P) = \begin{cases} x_i, & \text{if } \beta(P) = 0 \\ \left(\frac{\sqrt{b}}{x}\right)_i, & \text{if } \beta(P) = 1. \end{cases}$$

Analysis of the Extractors Ext_i

Proposition. $\#\mathcal{H}_i^{-1}(z) = 2 \#\text{Ext}_i^{-1}(z)$, for all z in \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} .

Analysis of the Extractors Ext_i

Proposition. $\#\mathcal{H}_i^{-1}(z) = 2 \#\text{Ext}_i^{-1}(z)$, for all z in \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} .

Then

Proposition. The extractor Ext_0 is a $\frac{3}{\sqrt{2^\ell}}$ -deterministic extractor from G , for $\ell \geq 4$.

Analysis of the Extractors Ext_i

Proposition. $\#\mathcal{H}_i^{-1}(z) = 2 \#\text{Ext}_i^{-1}(z)$, for all z in \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} .

Then

Proposition. The extractor Ext_0 is a $\frac{3}{\sqrt{2^\ell}}$ -deterministic extractor from G , for $\ell \geq 4$.

and

Proposition. The extractor Ext_1 is a $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2^\ell}}$ -deterministic extractor from G , for $\ell \geq 4$.

Example for the Extractor Ext_1

- Let $\ell = 89$, so $N = 2\ell = 178$.

Example for the Extractor Ext_1

- Let $\ell = 89$, so $N = 2\ell = 178$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{89}} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\alpha]/(\alpha^{89} + \alpha^6 + \alpha^5 + \alpha^3 + 1)$.

Example for the Extractor Ext_1

- Let $\ell = 89$, so $N = 2\ell = 178$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{89}} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\alpha]/(\alpha^{89} + \alpha^6 + \alpha^5 + \alpha^3 + 1)$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{178}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^{89}}[t]/(t^2 + t + 1)$, where $t^2 + t + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{89}}[t]$ is irreducible.

Example for the Extractor Ext_1

- Let $\ell = 89$, so $N = 2\ell = 178$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{289} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\alpha]/(\alpha^{89} + \alpha^6 + \alpha^5 + \alpha^3 + 1)$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2178} \cong \mathbb{F}_{289}[t]/(t^2 + t + 1)$, where $t^2 + t + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_{289}[t]$ is irreducible.
- $E/\mathbb{F}_{2178} : y^2 + xy = x^3 + ax^2 + b$, where $a = t, b = (\alpha^6 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^3 + \alpha) + t$.

Example for the Extractor Ext_1

- Let $\ell = 89$, so $N = 2\ell = 178$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{89}} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\alpha]/(\alpha^{89} + \alpha^6 + \alpha^5 + \alpha^3 + 1)$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{178}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^{89}}[t]/(t^2 + t + 1)$, where $t^2 + t + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{89}}[t]$ is irreducible.
- $E/\mathbb{F}_{2^{178}} : y^2 + xy = x^3 + ax^2 + b$, where $a = t, b = (\alpha^6 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^3 + \alpha) + t$.
- E has minimal 2-torsion, since $\text{Tr}(a) = 1$.

Example for the Extractor $E_{\times t_1}$

- Let $\ell = 89$, so $N = 2\ell = 178$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{89}} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\alpha]/(\alpha^{89} + \alpha^6 + \alpha^5 + \alpha^3 + 1)$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{178}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^{89}}[t]/(t^2 + t + 1)$, where $t^2 + t + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{89}}[t]$ is irreducible.
- $E/\mathbb{F}_{2^{178}} : y^2 + xy = x^3 + ax^2 + b$, where $a = t, b = (\alpha^6 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^3 + \alpha) + t$.
- E has minimal 2-torsion, since $\text{Tr}(a) = 1$.
- $\#E = 383123885216472214589586757666800084537735179434295566$.
 $\#E = 2 \times 191561942608236107294793378833400042268867589717147783$.

Example for the Extractor Ext_1

- Let $\ell = 89$, so $N = 2\ell = 178$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{89}} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\alpha]/(\alpha^{89} + \alpha^6 + \alpha^5 + \alpha^3 + 1)$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{178}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^{89}}[t]/(t^2 + t + 1)$, where $t^2 + t + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{89}}[t]$ is irreducible.
- $E/\mathbb{F}_{2^{178}} : y^2 + xy = x^3 + ax^2 + b$, where $a = t, b = (\alpha^6 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^3 + \alpha) + t$.
- E has minimal 2-torsion, since $\text{Tr}(a) = 1$.
- $\#E = 383123885216472214589586757666800084537735179434295566$.
 $\#E = 2 \times 191561942608236107294793378833400042268867589717147783$.
- G be subgroup E of prime order order m .
 $m = 191561942608236107294793378833400042268867589717147783$.

Example for the Extractor Ext_1

- Let $\ell = 89$, so $N = 2\ell = 178$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{89}} \cong \mathbb{F}_2[\alpha]/(\alpha^{89} + \alpha^6 + \alpha^5 + \alpha^3 + 1)$.
- $\mathbb{F}_{2^{178}} \cong \mathbb{F}_{2^{89}}[t]/(t^2 + t + 1)$, where $t^2 + t + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{89}}[t]$ is irreducible.
- $E/\mathbb{F}_{2^{178}} : y^2 + xy = x^3 + ax^2 + b$, where $a = t, b = (\alpha^6 + \alpha^4 + \alpha^3 + \alpha) + t$.
- E has minimal 2-torsion, since $\text{Tr}(a) = 1$.
- $\#E = 383123885216472214589586757666800084537735179434295566$.
 $\#E = 2 \times 191561942608236107294793378833400042268867589717147783$.
- G be subgroup E of prime order order m .
 $m = 191561942608236107294793378833400042268867589717147783$.
- Ext_1 is a 2^{-44} -deterministic extractor from G .

The Number of Points on E with Fixed x_0

Theorem 1. Let $N_{x_0} = \#\mathcal{H}_0^{-1}(x_0)$, where x_0 is in \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} . Then for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}^*$,

$$|N_{x_0} - 2^\ell| \leq 2 \lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor,$$

The Number of Points on E with Fixed x_0

Theorem 1. Let $N_{x_0} = \#\mathcal{H}_0^{-1}(x_0)$, where x_0 is in \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} . Then for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}^*$,

$$|N_{x_0} - 2^\ell| \leq 2 \lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor,$$

and for $x_0 = 0$,

$$|N_0 - (2^\ell + 1)| \leq \lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor.$$

The Number of Points on E with Fixed x_1

Theorem 2. Let $N_{x_1} = \#\mathcal{H}_1^{-1}(x_1)$, where x_1 is in \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} . Then for all $x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}^*$,

$$|N_{x_1} - 2^\ell| \leq \lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor + 1.$$

The Number of Points on E with Fixed x_1

Theorem 2. Let $N_{x_1} = \#\mathcal{H}_1^{-1}(x_1)$, where x_1 is in \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} . Then for all $x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}^*$,

$$|N_{x_1} - 2^\ell| \leq \lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor + 1.$$

and for $x_1 = 0$, in case that $b_1 \neq 0$, then

$$|N_0 - (2^\ell + 1)| \leq 1.$$

The Number of Points on E with Fixed x_1

Theorem 2. Let $N_{x_1} = \#\mathcal{H}_1^{-1}(x_1)$, where x_1 is in \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} . Then for all $x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}^*$,

$$|N_{x_1} - 2^\ell| \leq \lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor + 1.$$

and for $x_1 = 0$, in case that $b_1 \neq 0$, then

$$|N_0 - (2^\ell + 1)| \leq 1.$$

and if $x_1 = b_1 = 0$, then

$$|N_0 - (2^\ell + 1)| \leq 2^\ell - 1.$$

Outline of the Proof of Theorem 1

- Define the Weil restriction of E , then fix x_0 .

Outline of the Proof of Theorem 1

- Define the Weil restriction of E , then fix x_0 .
- Define the curve \mathcal{C}_{x_0} in this restriction, by two equations and variables x_1, y_0 and y_1 .

Outline of the Proof of Theorem 1

- Define the Weil restriction of E , then fix x_0 .
- Define the curve \mathcal{C}_{x_0} in this restriction, by two equations and variables x_1, y_0 and y_1 .
- Define the curve \mathcal{C}'_{x_0} by the elimination of y_1 in the equations of \mathcal{C}_{x_0} .

Outline of the Proof of Theorem 1

- Define the Weil restriction of E , then fix x_0 .
- Define the curve \mathcal{C}_{x_0} in this restriction, by two equations and variables x_1, y_0 and y_1 .
- Define the curve \mathcal{C}'_{x_0} by the elimination of y_1 in the equations of \mathcal{C}_{x_0} .
- Define the curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} by a transformation from \mathcal{C}'_{x_0} .

Outline of the Proof of Theorem 1

- Define the Weil restriction of E , then fix x_0 .
- Define the curve \mathcal{C}_{x_0} in this restriction, by two equations and variables x_1, y_0 and y_1 .
- Define the curve \mathcal{C}'_{x_0} by the elimination of y_1 in the equations of \mathcal{C}_{x_0} .
- Define the curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} by a transformation from \mathcal{C}'_{x_0} .
- Compute $\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell})$.

Outline of the Proof of Theorem 1

- Define the Weil restriction of E , then fix x_0 .
- Define the curve \mathcal{C}_{x_0} in this restriction, by two equations and variables x_1, y_0 and y_1 .
- Define the curve \mathcal{C}'_{x_0} by the elimination of y_1 in the equations of \mathcal{C}_{x_0} .
- Define the curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} by a transformation from \mathcal{C}'_{x_0} .
- Compute $\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell})$.
- Show that $\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell})$.

Weil Decent

Let $W_{\mathbb{F}_{2^N}/\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}(E)$ be the Weil restriction of $E : y^2 + xy = f(x)$

Weil Decent

Let $W_{\mathbb{F}_{2N}/\mathbb{F}_{2\ell}}(E)$ be the Weil restriction of $E : y^2 + xy = f(x)$

Let $x = \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t$ and $y = \mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t$.

Weil Decent

Let $W_{\mathbb{F}_{2N}/\mathbb{F}_{2\ell}}(E)$ be the Weil restriction of $E : y^2 + xy = f(x)$

Let $x = \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t$ and $y = \mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t$.

Then $E : (\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t)^2 + (\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t)(\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t) = f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t)$.

Weil Decent

Let $W_{\mathbb{F}_{2N}/\mathbb{F}_{2\ell}}(E)$ be the Weil restriction of $E : y^2 + xy = f(x)$

Let $x = \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t$ and $y = \mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t$.

Then $E : (\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t)^2 + (\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t)(\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t) = f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t)$.

After expansion

$$\mathbf{y}_0^2 + c\mathbf{y}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{y}_0 + c\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1 + (\mathbf{y}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1)t = f_0(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1) + f_1(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1)t,$$

Weil Decent

Let $W_{\mathbb{F}_{2N}/\mathbb{F}_{2\ell}}(E)$ be the Weil restriction of $E : y^2 + xy = f(x)$

Let $x = \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t$ and $y = \mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t$.

Then $E : (\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t)^2 + (\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t)(\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t) = f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t)$.

After expansion

$$\mathbf{y}_0^2 + c\mathbf{y}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{y}_0 + c\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1 + (\mathbf{y}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1)t = f_0(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1) + f_1(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1)t,$$

Hence $W_{\mathbb{F}_{2N}/\mathbb{F}_{2\ell}}(E)$ of E is :

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{y}_0^2 + c\mathbf{y}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{y}_0 + c\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1 + f_0(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1) = 0 \\ \mathbf{y}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1 + f_1(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Weil Decent

Let $W_{\mathbb{F}_{2N}/\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}(E)$ be the Weil restriction of $E : y^2 + xy = f(x)$

Let $x = \mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t$ and $y = \mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t$.

Then $E : (\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t)^2 + (\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t)(\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{y}_1 t) = f(\mathbf{x}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1 t)$.

After expansion

$$\mathbf{y}_0^2 + c\mathbf{y}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{y}_0 + c\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1 + (\mathbf{y}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1)t = f_0(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1) + f_1(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1)t,$$

Hence $W_{\mathbb{F}_{2N}/\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}(E)$ of E is :

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{y}_0^2 + c\mathbf{y}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{y}_0 + c\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1 + f_0(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1) = 0 \\ \mathbf{y}_1^2 + \mathbf{x}_0\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_0 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1 + f_1(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $W_{\mathbb{F}_{2N}/\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}}(E)(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) = E(\mathbb{F}_{2N})$.

Proof of Theorem 1 (Definition of \mathcal{C}_{x_0})

- Consider the Weil restriction of E to \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} and fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$.

Proof of Theorem 1 (Definition of \mathcal{C}_{x_0})

- Consider the Weil restriction of E to \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} and fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$.
- The points of $\mathcal{H}_0^{-1}(x_0)$ form a curve \mathcal{C}_{x_0} in this restriction as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1) = c\mathbf{y}_1^2 + c\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_0^2 + x_0\mathbf{y}_0 + f_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0 \\ \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1) = \mathbf{y}_1^2 + (\mathbf{x}_1 + x_0)\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_0 + f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (Definition of \mathcal{C}_{x_0})

- Consider the Weil restriction of E to \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} and fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$.
- The points of $\mathcal{H}_0^{-1}(x_0)$ form a curve \mathcal{C}_{x_0} in this restriction as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1) = c\mathbf{y}_1^2 + c\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_0^2 + x_0\mathbf{y}_0 + f_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0 \\ \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1) = \mathbf{y}_1^2 + (\mathbf{x}_1 + x_0)\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_0 + f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where \mathbf{x}_1 , \mathbf{y}_0 and \mathbf{y}_1 are variables and

$$f_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = c\mathbf{x}_1^3 + c(x_0 + a_1 + a_0)\mathbf{x}_1^2 + x_0^3 + a_0x_0^2 + b_0$$

$$f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) = (c + 1)\mathbf{x}_1^3 + (x_0 + a_1 + a_0 + ca_1)\mathbf{x}_1^2 + x_0^2\mathbf{x}_1 + a_1x_0^2 + b_1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (Definition of \mathcal{C}_{x_0})

- Consider the Weil restriction of E to \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} and fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$.
- The points of $\mathcal{H}_0^{-1}(x_0)$ form a curve \mathcal{C}_{x_0} in this restriction as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1) = c\mathbf{y}_1^2 + c\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_0^2 + x_0\mathbf{y}_0 + f_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0 \\ \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1) = \mathbf{y}_1^2 + (\mathbf{x}_1 + x_0)\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_0 + f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0$ and \mathbf{y}_1 are variables and

$$f_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = c\mathbf{x}_1^3 + c(x_0 + a_1 + a_0)\mathbf{x}_1^2 + x_0^3 + a_0x_0^2 + b_0$$

$$f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) = (c + 1)\mathbf{x}_1^3 + (x_0 + a_1 + a_0 + ca_1)\mathbf{x}_1^2 + x_0^2\mathbf{x}_1 + a_1x_0^2 + b_1.$$

- $N_{x_0} = \#\mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell})$, for $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}^*$ and $N_0 = \#\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) + 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1 (Definition of \mathcal{C}_{x_0})

- Consider the Weil restriction of E to \mathbb{F}_{2^ℓ} and fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$.
- The points of $\mathcal{H}_0^{-1}(x_0)$ form a curve \mathcal{C}_{x_0} in this restriction as follows.

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1) = c\mathbf{y}_1^2 + c\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_0^2 + x_0\mathbf{y}_0 + f_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0 \\ \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1) = \mathbf{y}_1^2 + (\mathbf{x}_1 + x_0)\mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_0 + f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0$ and \mathbf{y}_1 are variables and

$$f_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = c\mathbf{x}_1^3 + c(x_0 + a_1 + a_0)\mathbf{x}_1^2 + x_0^3 + a_0x_0^2 + b_0$$

$$f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) = (c + 1)\mathbf{x}_1^3 + (x_0 + a_1 + a_0 + ca_1)\mathbf{x}_1^2 + x_0^2\mathbf{x}_1 + a_1x_0^2 + b_1.$$

- $N_{x_0} = \#\mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell})$, for $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}^*$ and $N_0 = \#\mathcal{C}_0(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) + 1$.
- Compute $\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell})$

Proof of Theorem 1 (Definition of \mathcal{C}'_{x_0})

- Let \mathcal{C}'_{x_0} be the plane curve that is defined by the affine equation

$$F_{x_0}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0) = \text{Res}_{\mathbf{y}_1}(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1) = \mathbf{y}_0^4 + g_2(\mathbf{x}_1)\mathbf{y}_0^2 + x_0g_1(\mathbf{x}_1)\mathbf{y}_0 + g_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (Definition of \mathcal{C}'_{x_0})

- Let \mathcal{C}'_{x_0} be the plane curve that is defined by the affine equation

$$F_{x_0}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0) = \text{Res}_{\mathbf{y}_1}(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1) = \mathbf{y}_0^4 + g_2(\mathbf{x}_1)\mathbf{y}_0^2 + x_0g_1(\mathbf{x}_1)\mathbf{y}_0 + g_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0$$

where

$$g_2(\mathbf{x}_1) = c^2\mathbf{x}_1^2 + cx_0\mathbf{x}_1 + x_0^2 + cx_0^2$$

$$g_1(\mathbf{x}_1) = c(c\mathbf{x}_1^2 + x_0\mathbf{x}_1 + x_0^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(\mathbf{x}_1) &= f_1^2(\mathbf{x}_1) + cx_0(\mathbf{x}_1 + x_0)f_0(\mathbf{x}_1) + c^2f_2^2(\mathbf{x}_1) + c^2x_0\mathbf{x}_1f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ &= c^4\mathbf{x}_1^6 + c^3(x_0 + ca_1^2)\mathbf{x}_1^4 + \text{l.o.t.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (Definition of \mathcal{C}'_{x_0})

- Let \mathcal{C}'_{x_0} be the plane curve that is defined by the affine equation

$$F_{x_0}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0) = \text{Res}_{\mathbf{y}_1}(\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1) = \mathbf{y}_0^4 + g_2(\mathbf{x}_1)\mathbf{y}_0^2 + x_0g_1(\mathbf{x}_1)\mathbf{y}_0 + g_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0$$

where

$$g_2(\mathbf{x}_1) = c^2\mathbf{x}_1^2 + cx_0\mathbf{x}_1 + x_0^2 + cx_0^2$$

$$g_1(\mathbf{x}_1) = c(c\mathbf{x}_1^2 + x_0\mathbf{x}_1 + x_0^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(\mathbf{x}_1) &= f_1^2(\mathbf{x}_1) + cx_0(\mathbf{x}_1 + x_0)f_0(\mathbf{x}_1) + c^2f_2^2(\mathbf{x}_1) + c^2x_0\mathbf{x}_1f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ &= c^4\mathbf{x}_1^6 + c^3(x_0 + ca_1^2)\mathbf{x}_1^4 + \text{l.o.t.} \end{aligned}$$

If $x_0 = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0) &= \mathbf{y}_0^4 + c^2\mathbf{x}_1^2\mathbf{y}_0^2 + (f_0(\mathbf{x}_1) + cf_1(\mathbf{x}_1))^2 \\ &= (\mathbf{y}_0^2 + c\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_0 + c^2\mathbf{x}_1^3 + c^2a_1\mathbf{x}_1^2 + b_0 + cb_1)^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (Definition of \mathcal{A}_{x_0})

- Define the affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1 (Definition of \mathcal{A}_{x_0})

- Define the affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} as follows.
 - For $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}^*$, let $\mathbf{z}_0 = \mathbf{y}_0(\mathbf{y}_0 + x_0)$. Then define \mathcal{A}_{x_0} , by

$$G_{x_0}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{z}_0) = \mathbf{z}_0^2 + g_1(\mathbf{x}_1)\mathbf{z}_0 + g_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 1 (Definition of \mathcal{A}_{x_0})

- Define the affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} as follows.
 - For $x_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}^*$, let $\mathbf{z}_0 = \mathbf{y}_0(\mathbf{y}_0 + x_0)$. Then define \mathcal{A}_{x_0} , by

$$G_{x_0}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{z}_0) = \mathbf{z}_0^2 + g_1(\mathbf{x}_1)\mathbf{z}_0 + g_0(\mathbf{x}_1) = 0.$$

- For $x_0 = 0$, define \mathcal{A}_0 by the equation

$$G_0(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_0) = \mathbf{y}_0^2 + c\mathbf{x}_1\mathbf{y}_0 + c^2\mathbf{x}_1^3 + c^2a_1\mathbf{x}_1^2 + b_0 + cb_1 = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- If $x_0 \neq 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq 2\lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- If $x_0 \neq 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq 2\lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is absolutely irreducible.

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- If $x_0 \neq 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq 2\lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is absolutely irreducible.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is nonsingular.

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- If $x_0 \neq 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq 2\lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is absolutely irreducible.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is nonsingular.
 - The projective closure of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} , is singular at infinity.

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- If $x_0 \neq 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq 2\lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is absolutely irreducible.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is nonsingular.
 - The projective closure of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} , is singular at infinity.
 - From the Newton polygon of G_{x_0} , the genus of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is at most 2, where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is the nonsingular model of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} .

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- If $x_0 \neq 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq 2\lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is absolutely irreducible.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is nonsingular.
 - The projective closure of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} , is singular at infinity.
 - From the Newton polygon of G_{x_0} , the genus of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is at most 2, where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is the nonsingular model of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} .
 - Use Hasse-Weil or Serre bound for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$.

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- If $x_0 \neq 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq 2\lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is absolutely irreducible.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is nonsingular.
 - The projective closure of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} , is singular at infinity.
 - From the Newton polygon of G_{x_0} , the genus of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is at most 2, where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is the nonsingular model of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} .
 - Use Hasse-Weil or Serre bound for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$.
- If $x_0 = 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq \lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- If $x_0 \neq 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq 2\lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is absolutely irreducible.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is nonsingular.
 - The projective closure of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} , is singular at infinity.
 - From the Newton polygon of G_{x_0} , the genus of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is at most 2, where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is the nonsingular model of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} .
 - Use Hasse-Weil or Serre bound for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$.
- If $x_0 = 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq \lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - If $b_0 + cb_1 \neq 0$, then \mathcal{A}_0 is an elliptic curve.

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- If $x_0 \neq 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq 2\lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is absolutely irreducible.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is nonsingular.
 - The projective closure of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} , is singular at infinity.
 - From the Newton polygon of G_{x_0} , the genus of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is at most 2, where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is the nonsingular model of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} .
 - Use Hasse-Weil or Serre bound for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$.
- If $x_0 = 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq \lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - If $b_0 + cb_1 \neq 0$, then \mathcal{A}_0 is an elliptic curve.
 - If $b_0 + cb_1 = 0$, then \mathcal{A}_0 is singular and the genus of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ is 0.

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- If $x_0 \neq 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq 2\lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is absolutely irreducible.
 - The affine curve \mathcal{A}_{x_0} is nonsingular.
 - The projective closure of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} , is singular at infinity.
 - From the Newton polygon of G_{x_0} , the genus of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is at most 2, where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$ is the nonsingular model of \mathcal{A}_{x_0} .
 - Use Hasse-Weil or Serre bound for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{x_0}$.
- If $x_0 = 0$, then $|\#\mathcal{A}_0(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) - 2^\ell| \leq \lfloor 2^{(\ell+2)/2} \rfloor$.
 - If $b_0 + cb_1 \neq 0$, then \mathcal{A}_0 is an elliptic curve.
 - If $b_0 + cb_1 = 0$, then \mathcal{A}_0 is singular and the genus of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$ is 0.
 - Use Hasse-Weil or Serre bound for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_0$.

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0} = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- $\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell})$.

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0} = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- $\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell})$.

– Define the projection map $\pi_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$, by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x_1, y_0, y_1) = x_1$$

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0} = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- $\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell})$.

- Define the projection map $\pi_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$, by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x_1, y_0, y_1) = x_1$$

- Define the projection map $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$, by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1, z_0) = x_1.$$

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0} = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- $\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell})$.

- Define the projection map $\pi_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$, by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x_1, y_0, y_1) = x_1$$

- Define the projection map $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$, by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1, z_0) = x_1.$$

- $\#\pi_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(x_1) = \#\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(x_1)$, for all $x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$.

Proof of Theorem 1 ($\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0} = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$)

- $\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell})$.
 - Define the projection map $\pi_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$, by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{C}}(x_1, y_0, y_1) = x_1$$

- Define the projection map $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$, by

$$\pi_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1, z_0) = x_1.$$

- $\#\pi_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(x_1) = \#\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(x_1)$, for all $x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}$.
- Then

$$\#\mathcal{C}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}) = \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}} \#\pi_{\mathcal{C}}^{-1}(x_1) = \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}} \#\pi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(x_1) = \#\mathcal{A}_{x_0}(\mathbb{F}_{2^\ell}).$$

Summary

- Two extractors \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 for a binary elliptic curve E/\mathbb{F}_{2^N} , where N is even.

Summary

- Two extractors \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 for a binary elliptic curve E/\mathbb{F}_{2^N} , where N is even.
- Two extractors Ext_0 and Ext_1 for the subgroup G of E , where $\#E = 2m$ and $\#G = m$, for odd number m .

Summary

- Two extractors \mathcal{H}_0 and \mathcal{H}_1 for a binary elliptic curve E/\mathbb{F}_{2^N} , where N is even.
- Two extractors Ext_0 and Ext_1 for the subgroup G of E , where $\#E = 2m$ and $\#G = m$, for odd number m .
- Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1 that shows the bound for $\#\mathcal{H}_0^{-1}(x_0)$.

Thank You!

Questions?