

*Proc. Konink. Ned. Ac. Wetensch. A vol. 92, pp. 471-470, 1989*  
= *Indagationes Math. vol. 51, pp. 471-470, 1989*

MATHEMATICS

Proceedings A 92 (4), December 18, 1989

---

**Multiplicative structures on the minimal resolution of determinantal rings**

by Ruud Pellikaan

*Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of Mathematics and Computing Science,  
P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, the Netherlands*

---

Communicated by Prof. W.T. van Est at the meeting of March 20, 1989

ABSTRACT

It is shown that the minimal resolution of a determinantal ring has the structure of an associative differential graded algebra.

INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with a unit. For an  $R$  linear map  $\phi : R^m \rightarrow R^n$ , Buchsbaum and Rim [4] defined complexes  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda^q \phi)$  of length  $m - n + 1$ , which are free resolutions of  $\text{coker } (\Lambda^q \phi)$  in case  $\text{grade } I_n(\phi) = m - n + 1$ , where  $I_n(\phi)$  is the ideal generated by the  $(n \times n)$ -minors of a matrix of  $\phi$ . Later Gover [6] was able to define a multiplicative structure on  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda^q \phi)$  in such a way that  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda^n \phi)$  becomes an associative differential graded algebra and  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda^q \phi)$  is a differential graded module over  $\mathbb{K}(\Lambda^n \phi)$ . Although the complexes have the right length  $m - n + 1$ , they are not minimal, except in the cases  $m = n$  or  $m = n + 1$ . Srinivasan [8] showed in her thesis that the Eagon Northcott complex of  $\phi$  [5] has a graded commutative and associative differential graded algebra structure in case  $R$  contains the rationals. This Eagon Northcott complex is a minimal resolution of  $\text{coker } (\Lambda^n \phi)$  in case  $\text{grade } I_n(\phi) = m - n + 1$  and the entries of  $\phi$  are in the maximal ideal of the local ring  $R$ .

It is always possible to find a free resolution of  $R/I$  which has the structure of a differential graded algebra, for every ideal  $I$  in  $R$ . Buchsbaum and Eisenbud [2] showed that the minimal resolution of  $R/I$  has the structure of a graded commutative differential graded algebra, but this needs not to be associative.

Avramov [1] defined an obstruction to the existence of an associative differential graded algebra on the minimal resolution of  $R/I$ . We will show that there is an associative differential graded algebra structure on the minimal resolution of coker  $(A^n\phi)$ , without assuming that  $R$  contains the rationals as Srinivasan did. On the other hand our multiplication is not graded commutative if  $m \geq n + 2$ .

§ 1. THE EAGON-NORTHCOTT COMPLEX

(1.1) DEFINITION. A differential graded algebra over  $R$  is a triple  $(\mathbb{L}, d, *)$  where  $\mathbb{L} = \bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} L_r$  is a direct sum of  $R$ -modules and  $L_0 = R$  and  $d: \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  is a differential, that is to say  $d$  is  $R$  linear and  $d^2 = 0$  and  $d(L_{r+1}) \subseteq L_r$  for all  $r$ . Furthermore there is an  $R$  bilinear map  $\mu: \mathbb{L} \otimes \mathbb{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}$  such that

$$d(a*b) = da*b + (-1)^r a*db$$

for all  $a \in L_r$  and  $b \in L_s$ , where  $\mu(a, b)$  is denoted by  $a*b$ . We say for short that  $(\mathbb{L}, d, *)$  is a DG algebra. If moreover the product  $*$  is associative then we say it is an associative DG algebra, ADG algebra for short. If  $*$  is graded commutative, that is to say

$$a*b = (-1)^{rs} b*a \text{ and } c*c = 0$$

for all  $a \in L_r$  and  $b \in L_s$  and  $c \in L_{2r+1}$ ; then we say it is a graded commutative DG algebra, CDG algebra for short.

(1.2) Let  $\phi: F \rightarrow G$  be an  $R$  linear map between free finitely generated  $R$ -modules of rank  $m$  and  $n$  respectively with  $m \geq n$ .

$SG$  is the symmetric algebra of  $G$ , it is a graded algebra  $SG = \bigoplus S_t G$ , we will give the elements of  $S_t G$  degree  $2t$ . We denote by  $DG$  the divided power algebra of  $G$ .  $DG$  is a graded algebra  $DG = \bigoplus D_t G$ , we will give the elements of  $D_t G$  degree  $2t$ .  $D_t G$  is a free  $R$ -module with basis  $g_1^{(p_1)} \dots g_n^{(p_n)}$ , where  $p_1 + \dots + p_n = t$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  is a basis of  $G$ . There is an isomorphism of graded algebras  $D(G^*) \cong (SG)_{qr}^*$ , the graded dual of  $SG$ . From now on we will denote  $(SG)_{qr}^*$  by  $(SG)^*$  and identify it with  $D(G^*)$ .  $\Lambda F$  is the exterior algebra of  $F$ . It is graded  $\Lambda F = \bigoplus \Lambda^q F$  and the elements of  $\Lambda^q F$  have degree  $q$ . The algebra's  $SG$ ,  $DG$  and  $\Lambda F$  are graded commutative and associative and  $\Lambda F^* \otimes SG$  is a bigraded algebra and one can view  $\Lambda F \otimes (SG)^*$  as a module over  $\Lambda F^* \otimes SG$ , see Buchsbaum and Eisenbud [3] for more details and proofs. The map  $\phi: F \rightarrow G$  is an element of  $\text{Hom}(F, G)$  which is isomorphic with  $F^* \otimes G$ . We identify  $F^* \otimes G$  with  $\Lambda^1 F^* \otimes S_1 G$  which is contained in  $\Lambda F^* \otimes SG$ . We denote this element by  $c_\phi$  and it has bidegree  $(1, 2)$ , hence  $c_\phi^2 = 0$ . So the action of  $c_\phi$  on  $\Lambda F \otimes (SG)^*$ , which we will denote by  $d$ , is a map of a complex  $(\Lambda F \otimes (SG)^*, d)$ . If we identify  $(S_t G)^*$  with  $D_t(G^*)$  then we can write the action of  $d$  as follows. Let  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  be a basis of  $F$  and  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  a basis of  $G^*$ , dual to a basis  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  of  $G$ .

Define

$$D_i(\gamma_1^{(p_1)} \dots \gamma_n^{(p_n)}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p_i = 0 \\ \gamma_1^{(q_1)} \dots \gamma_n^{(q_n)} & \text{if } p_i > 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$q_j = \begin{cases} p_j & \text{if } j \neq i \\ p_j - 1 & \text{if } j = i \end{cases}$$

$\Lambda F$  is a  $\Lambda F^*$  module. For  $\gamma \in \Lambda G^*$  and  $f \in \Lambda F$  we denote by  $\gamma(f)$  the action of  $\gamma$  on  $f$ . The map  $d$  is now equal to the map

$$d: \Lambda^{n+p+1} F \otimes D_{p+1}(G^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^{n+p} F \otimes D_p(G^*)$$

$$a \otimes \alpha \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i(a) \otimes D_i(\alpha).$$

It is possible to augment this complex by the term

$$\Lambda^n \phi: \Lambda^n F \rightarrow \Lambda^n G.$$

The complex we get in this way is called  $\mathbb{L}_1^n(\phi)$  by Buchsbaum and Eisenbud [3], we will denote it by  $(\mathbf{A}, d)$ , that means  $A_0 = \Lambda^n G$ ,  $A_{r+1} = \Lambda^{n+r} F \otimes D_r(G^*)$ , for  $r \geq 0$ .

## § 2. A MULTIPLICATIVE STRUCTURE ON $\mathbf{A}$

In the appendix of Northcott [7] a map  $\sigma: A_r \rightarrow A_{r+1}$  is defined for every choice of an element  $f_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{i_n}$  with  $i_1 < \dots < i_n$  of  $A_1 = \Lambda^n F$ , such that

$$d\sigma(x) = d(f_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{i_n})x - \sigma(dx),$$

where  $d(f_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{i_n})$  is an element of  $\Lambda^n G$ , which is identified with  $R$ , and multiplication with  $x$  is the scalar multiplication. In other words there is a map  $\mu: A_1 \otimes A_r \rightarrow A_{r+1}$  such that  $d(x*y) = dx*y - x*dy$ , where we denote  $\mu(x, y)$  by  $x*y$ , and where  $A_0 \otimes A_r \rightarrow A_r$  is the scalar multiplication with elements in  $A_0 = R$ . It is possible to extend this map to a graded map  $*$ :  $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$  in such a way that  $(\mathbf{A}, d, *)$  becomes an associative  $DG$  algebra.

For any monomial  $\alpha \in D_r(G^*)$  with  $\alpha = \gamma_1^{(p_1)} \dots \gamma_n^{(p_n)}$  let

$$m(\alpha) := \min \{i \mid p_i \neq 0\} \text{ and } M(\alpha) := \max \{i \mid p_i \neq 0\}$$

and  $m(1) = n$  and  $M(1) = 1$ .

For  $x = \lambda g_1 \wedge \dots \wedge g_n \in A_0$  and  $y \in A_r$  define

$$x*y = y*x = \lambda y.$$

For  $a \in \Lambda^{n+r} F$  and  $b \in \Lambda^{n+s} F$  define

$$\gamma_k(a, b) = ((\gamma_{k-1} \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_1)(a)) \wedge ((\gamma_n \wedge \dots \wedge \gamma_{k+1})(b)) \in \Lambda^{n+r+s+1} F.$$

We will denote  $\gamma_{k-1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \gamma_1(a)$  by  $\gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a$ .

Let  $x = a \otimes \alpha \in \Lambda^{n+r} F \otimes D_r(G^*) = A_{r+1}$  and  $y = b \otimes \beta \in \Lambda^{n+s} F \otimes D_s(G^*) = A_{s+1}$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are monomials. Define

$$x * y = \sum_{M(\beta) \leq k \leq m(\alpha)} (-1)^{(k+1)r} \gamma_k(a, b) \otimes \alpha \beta \gamma_k.$$

Extend  $*$  bilinearly to  $\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ .

(2.1) PROPOSITION. The product  $*$  on  $\mathbf{A}$  is associative.

PROOF. Let  $a \otimes \alpha \in \Lambda^{n+r} F \otimes D_r(G^*)$ ,  $b \otimes \beta \in \Lambda^{n+s} F \otimes D_s(G^*)$  and  $c \otimes \gamma \in \Lambda^{n+l} F \otimes D_l(G^*)$  with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  monomials. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ((a \otimes \alpha) * (b \otimes \beta)) * (c \otimes \gamma) &= \sum_{M(\beta) \leq k \leq m(\alpha)} (-1)^{(k+1)r} (\gamma_k(a, b) \otimes \alpha \beta \gamma_k) * (c \otimes \gamma) \\ &= \sum_{M(\beta) \leq k \leq m(\alpha)} (-1)^{(k+1)r} \sum_{M(\gamma) \leq l \leq m(\alpha \beta \gamma_k)} (-1)^{(l+1)(r+s+1)} \gamma_{l-1} \cdots \gamma_1 (\gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \\ &\quad \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b) \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{l+1} c \otimes \alpha \beta \gamma \gamma_k \gamma_l. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A$  be this last expression. Now

$$l \leq m(\alpha \beta \gamma_k) \leq m(\beta) \leq M(\beta) \leq k.$$

So  $l \leq k$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{l-1} \cdots \gamma_1 (\gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b) &= \\ = (-1)^{(l-1)(r+1)} \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_{l-1} \cdots \gamma_1 b. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \sum_{\substack{M(\beta) \leq k \leq m(\alpha) \\ M(\gamma) \leq l \leq m(\alpha \beta \gamma_k)}} (-1)^{(k+1)r + (l+1)(r+s+1) + (l-1)(r+1)} \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \\ &\quad \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_{l-1} \cdots \gamma_1 b \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{l+1} c \otimes \alpha \beta \gamma \gamma_k \gamma_l. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression we denote by  $B$ .

In the same way one has

$$\begin{aligned} (a \otimes \alpha) * ((b \otimes \beta) * (c \otimes \gamma)) &= \\ \sum_{\substack{M(\beta \gamma_l) \leq k \leq m(\alpha) \\ M(\gamma) \leq l \leq m(\beta)}} (-1)^{(k+1)r + (l+1)s} \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_{l-1} \cdots \gamma_1 b \wedge \\ &\quad \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{l+1} c \otimes \alpha \beta \gamma \gamma_k \gamma_l. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression we denote by  $C$ .

The signs in the expressions  $B$  and  $C$  are the same, since

$$(k+1)r + (l+1)(r+s+1) + (l-1)(r+1) \equiv (k+1)r + (l+1)s \pmod{2}.$$

Also the set of pairs  $(k, l)$  in the summations of  $B$  and  $C$  are the same, since

$$M(\beta) \leq k \leq m(\alpha) \text{ and } M(\gamma) \leq l \leq m(\alpha \beta \gamma_k)$$

implies  $l \leq k$ , as we have already seen. Moreover

$$M(\beta\gamma\gamma_l) = \max \{M(\beta), M(\gamma), l\}.$$

Assume  $M(\beta) \leq k$  and  $M(\gamma) \leq l \leq k$ . Then  $M(\beta\gamma\gamma_l) \leq k$ .

Further  $l \leq m(\alpha\beta\gamma_k)$  implies  $l \leq m(\beta)$ . Thus if  $M(\beta) \leq k \leq m(\alpha)$  and  $M(\gamma) \leq l \leq m(\alpha\beta\gamma_k)$  then  $M(\beta\gamma\gamma_l) \leq k \leq m(\alpha)$  and  $M(\gamma) \leq l \leq m(\alpha)$ .

The converse is proved similarly. This proves the associativity of the product  $*$ .

(2.2) PROPOSITION. If  $x \in A_r$  and  $y \in A_s$ , then

$$d(x*y) = dx*y + (-1)^r x*dy.$$

PROOF. There is nothing to prove if  $x \in A_0$  or  $y \in A_0$ . If  $r=1$  or  $s=1$  then the proof is in Northcott [7] appendix. So we may suppose  $r \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 2$ . Let  $x = a \otimes \alpha \in \Lambda^{n+r} F \otimes D_r(G^*)$  and  $y = b \otimes \beta \in \Lambda^{n+s} F \otimes D_s(G^*)$ . Suppose  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are monomials.

Let  $M = M(\beta)$  and  $m = m(\alpha)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x*y) &= d\left(\sum_{k=M}^m (-1)^{(k+1)r} \gamma_k(a, b) \otimes \alpha\beta\gamma_k\right) = \\ &= \sum_{k=M}^m (-1)^{(k+1)r} \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_i \gamma_k(a, b) \otimes D_i(\alpha\beta\gamma_k) \\ &= \sum_{k=M}^m \sum_{i=1}^n A(i, k), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(i, k) &= (-1)^{(k+1)r} (\gamma_i \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b + \\ &+ (-1)^{r+1} \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_i b) \otimes D_i(\alpha\beta\gamma_k). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $D_k(\alpha\beta\gamma_k) = \alpha\beta$ , the last summation is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=M}^m \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n A(i, k) + \sum_{k=M}^m (-1)^{(k+1)r} (\gamma_k \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b + \\ &+ (-1)^{r+1} \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_k b) \otimes \alpha\beta \\ &= \sum_{k=M}^m \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n A(i, k) + (-1)^{(m+1)r} (\gamma_m \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{m+1} b) \otimes \alpha\beta + \\ &+ \sum_{k=M+1}^m \{(-1)^{kr} (\gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_k b) \otimes \alpha\beta + \\ &+ (-1)^{(k+1)r+r+1} (\gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_k b) \otimes \alpha\beta\} + \\ &+ (-1)^{(M+1)r+r+1} (\gamma_{M-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_M b) \otimes \alpha\beta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k=M}^m \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n A(i, k) + (-1)^{(m+1)r} (\gamma_m \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{m+1} b) \otimes \alpha \beta + \\
&+ (-1)^{(M+1)r+r+1} (\gamma_{M-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_M b) \otimes \alpha \beta \\
&= A_1 + A_2 + B_1 + B_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= \sum_{k=M}^m \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n (-1)^{(k+1)r} (\gamma_i \gamma_k \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b) \otimes D_i(\alpha \beta \gamma_k) \\
A_2 &= (-1)^{(m+1)r} (\gamma_m \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{m+1} b) \otimes \alpha \beta \\
B_1 &= \sum_{k=M}^m \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^n (-1)^{(k+1)r+r+1} (\gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_i b) \otimes D_i(\alpha \beta \gamma_k) \\
B_2 &= (-1)^{(M+1)r+r+1} (\gamma_{M-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_M b) \otimes \alpha \beta.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $i < k$  then  $\gamma_i \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a = 0$ . If  $i \geq k$  and  $M \leq k \leq m$  then  $D_i(\alpha \beta \gamma_k) = D_i(\alpha) \beta \gamma_k$ . In the same way, if  $i > k$  then  $\gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_i b = 0$ . If  $i \leq k$  and  $M \leq k \leq m$  then  $D_i(\alpha \beta \gamma_k) = \alpha D_i(\beta) \gamma_k$ . Hence

$$A_1 = \sum_{k=M}^m \sum_{i \geq k} (-1)^{(k+1)r} (\gamma_i \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b) \otimes D_i(\alpha) \beta \gamma_k$$

and

$$B_1 = \sum_{k=M}^m \sum_{i \leq k} (-1)^{(k+1)r+r+1} (\gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_i b) \otimes \alpha D_i(\beta) \gamma_k.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
d(a \otimes \alpha) * (b \otimes \beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma_i a \otimes D_i(\alpha)) * (b \otimes \beta) = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{M \leq k \leq m(D_i(\alpha))} (-1)^{(k+1)(r-1)} \gamma_k \gamma_i(a, b) \otimes D_i(\alpha) \beta \gamma_k.
\end{aligned}$$

Call this last expression  $C$ .

If  $i \neq m$  then  $D_i(\alpha) = 0$  or  $m(D_i(\alpha)) = m$ . If  $k > i$  then

$$\gamma_k \gamma_i(a, b) = \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 \gamma_i a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b = 0.$$

If  $k < m$  then  $D_k(\alpha) = 0$  hence

$$\begin{aligned}
C &= \sum_{M \leq k \leq m(D_i(\alpha))} \sum_{i \geq k} (-1)^{(k+1)(r-1)} (\gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b) \otimes D_i(\alpha) \beta \gamma_k \\
&= \sum_M^m \sum_{i \geq k} (-1)^{(k+1)(r-1) + (k-1)} (\gamma_i \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b) \otimes D_i(\alpha) \beta \gamma_k \\
&+ (-1)^{(m+1)(r-1) + (m-1)} (\gamma_m \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{m+1} b) \otimes D_m(\alpha) \beta \gamma_m \\
&= A_1 + A_2,
\end{aligned}$$

since  $(k+1)(r-1) + (k-1) \equiv (k+1)r \pmod{2}$  and  $D_m(\alpha) \beta \gamma_m = \alpha \beta$ .

Similarly one proves

$$(-1)^r(a \otimes \alpha) * d(b \otimes \beta) = B_1 + B_2.$$

This proves the proposition.

(2.3) THEOREM.  $(\mathbf{A}, d, *)$  is an ADG algebra.

PROOF. This is a consequence of Propositions (2.1) and (2.2).

(2.4) LEMMA. If  $m = n$  or  $m = n + 1$  then  $(\mathbf{A}, d, *)$  is also graded commutative.

PROOF. If  $m = n$  then  $\mathbf{A}$  has length one, so there is nothing to prove. Suppose  $m = n + 1$ . Let  $a, b \in A_1 = \Lambda^n F$ . Then  $(\gamma_{k-2} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b) \in \Lambda^{n+2} F \cong \Lambda^{n+2} R^{n+1} = 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \gamma_{k-1}(\gamma_{k-2} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b) = \\ &= \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b + \gamma_{k-2} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_{k-1} b. \end{aligned}$$

With induction one proves that

$$\gamma_k(a, b) = \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} b = (-1)^{k-1} a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 b.$$

In the same way

$$\gamma_k(b, a) = (-1)^{n-k} \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 b \wedge a.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k(a, b) &= (-1)^{k-1} a \wedge \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 b \\ &= (-1)^{k-1+n} \gamma_n \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \gamma_{k-1} \cdots \gamma_1 b \wedge a = -\gamma_k(b, a). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$a * b = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k(a, b) \otimes \gamma_k = - \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_k(b, a) \otimes \gamma_k = -b * a.$$

Hence  $(\mathbf{A}, *)$  is graded commutative.

#### REFERENCES

1. Avramov, L.L. - Obstructions to the existence of multiplicative structures on minimal free resolutions, Amer. J. Math. **103**, 1-31 (1981).
2. Buchsbaum, D.A. and D. Eisenbud - Algebra structures for finite free resolutions, and some structure theorems for ideals of codimension 3, Amer. J. Math. **99**, 447-485 (1977).
3. Buchsbaum, D.A. and D. Eisenbud - Generic free resolutions and a family of generically perfect ideals, Adv. Math. **18**, 245-301 (1975).
4. Buchsbaum, D.A. and D. Rim - A generalized Koszul complex II. Depth and multiplicity Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **111**, 197-224 (1964).
5. Eagon, J.A. and D.G. Northcott - Ideals defined by matrices and a certain complex associated with them, Proc. Royal Soc. A **269**, 188-204 (1962).

6. Gover, E.H. – Multiplicative structure of generalized Koszul complexes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **185**, 287-307 (1973).
7. Northcott, D.G. – *Finite free resolutions*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics **71**, Cambridge University Press, 1976.
8. Srinivasan, H. – *Multiplicative structures on some canonical resolutions*, thesis Brandeis University, 1986.